AN APPRAISAL OF ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN LABOUR ARBITRATION

Ibekwe Emmanuel Chidi

Lead City University, Ibadan.

Tel.: +2349010779070

ABSTRACT: *Labour arbitration is another important method of settling an employment relation dispute since it is less acrimonious and is cheaper compared to going to court. However, ethical issues in labor arbitration present some real difficulties as regards the principles of the arbitration procedure. The following is a discussion of critical ethical concerns: objectivity, the disclosure of important information, self-interest, and the balance of power between company and employee. A qualitative analysis of the case studies and the ethical codes shows the frequently violated ethics such as bias of arbitrators and nondisclosure that significantly affect the employees. The findings of the study indicate that ethical misconduct is rife and mostly results in bias towards the employer. It provides suggestions for stronger ethical standards of arbitrators, increased awareness and practice for arbitrators, and the application of technology in order to give greater efficiency, equality, and neutrality. Thus, in the course of the paper, the necessity of reforms in connection with increasing concern for ethical issues in labor arbitration has been considered with the aim to maintain its credibility and fairness for employees and employers.*

KEYWORDS: Labor arbitration, Ethics, Arbitrator bias, Power imbalance, Transparency, Conflicts of interest, Dispute resolution, Ethical guidelines.

INTRODUCTION

As the workplaces come under more diverse and multi-dimensional, arbitration has emerged as one of the most acceptable means for solving disputes. Labour arbitration as one of the forms of the system of ADR is actively utilized for handling employment disputes, involving wages and/or unfair dismissals. Its selling point is the fact that it provides a speedier, non-adversarial, and less expensive form of dispute resolution than does legal action. Nevertheless, the arbitration process is not shielded from ethical problems that may affect its success stories. For instance, the ethical aspect of a given process is primarily informed by the behavior of arbitrators, therefore, issues to do with bias, bias free hearings, and fairness of the procedures called into questions by ethical dilemmas.

Arbitration can be described as having less formality than other courts; however, this principle probably gives rise to these ethical issues. The major controversy raises the question of neutrality of the arbitrator and his/her impartialities. As Lund (2020) opines, arbitration is based on the belief that the person selected to handle the dispute will not be partial to either party and that both have a chance to state their cases. However, the fact is when arbitrators undertake labor arbitration, they come across a number of circumstances where their neutrality is an issue under debate, that may be because of the previous interaction with a certain party or that they are biased towards the employers who are generally more powerful in labor relations.

Due to heightened corporate adoption of arbitration agreements, especially mandatory arbitration clauses, ethical considerations on the practice have heightened. Increasingly, employers mandate arbitration as a condition of employment with the clue being that the employee is restricted when it comes to litigation. As this helps in deporting the disputes in a faster way, it also makes the employees vulnerable especially if the arbitrator is deemed to be partial to the employer. This is a clear violation of fairness and has some devastating ethical considerations on the overall arbitration process. This is why Sugarman and Bingham (2022) correctly posit that forced arbitration has given rise to a private justice system where the odds are always skewed in favor of the stronger party, namely the employer. This can skew the ethical playing field of arbitration because arbitrators are forced to work with clients who often seek arbitration services and corporations.

In addition, the matters of ethics do not end with the issue of impartiality. Some of the issues that people have an issue with include the following: One more important difference is that whereas court proceedings are not confidential, arbitration is usually confidential. This confidentiality may help cover unscrupulous actions, and leave little room for the public to blow the whistle. Mcpherson and Roberts (2021) argue that even though confidentiality serves to prevent the disclosure of identity of parties it may also be utilized to cover up arbitrators or parties in the event of an ethical violation. For instance, when an arbitrator makes a decision with more bias towards a certain party, there is no way to challenge such a trend again because the proceedings are private.

Furthermore, there is so much that has been said regarding how power relations influence the ethical issues in labor arbitration. This is often the case, hence power relations between employers and employees are often distorted, resulting in unfair implications which favor the employers (Dunsworth, 2020); employees are thus statistically disadvantaged in arbitration because they are not legally equipped as employers are. Again, this creates an ethical crisis as arbitrators might be inclined to award judgment in favor of employers with a view of being

appointed again in similar matters. Another issue for concern is the lack of disclosure of conflict of interest by some of the arbitrators, which degrades the process.

That is why, considering the identified ethical dilemmas, there is a rather active discussion of the possible enhancements of ethical standards and regulation of arbitrators. Mills and Tyson (2023 for example) refrain that bias and lack of transparency in arbitration results in professionalism and professionalism thus resulting in another unfair method of solving labor related issues. To this end, there have been demands for changes which will enhance responsiveness and help check arbitrators, who are supposed to uphold high standards of ethics. In other words, labor arbitration continues to play an important role in eradicating disputes; however, its efficiency depends on the ethical standards of the arbitrators. In light of the increasing number of disputes resolved by arbitration, coupled with the growing trend of mandatory arbitration agreements coupled with a new generation of lawyers who have little knowledge of the principles of ethical behavior, there is more than ever a rationale for clear and effective ethical provisions. Without such standards, we are faced with a question mark as to the integrity of labor arbitration as a mechanism for dispute solution (Kelly & Walters, 2019).

Research Aims and Objectives

The primary objectives of this research are as follows:

1. To examine the impact of ethical violations, such as bias and conflicts of interest, on the fairness of labor arbitration outcomes;

2. To analyze the existing ethical frameworks and standards that govern the conduct of labor arbitrators;

3. To explore potential measures to prevent or mitigate ethical misconduct in labor arbitration, with a focus on impartiality and transparency; and

4. To investigate the influence of power imbalances between employers and employees on the ethical considerations in labor arbitration.

Research Questions

1. How do ethical violations, such as bias and conflicts of interest, impact the fairness of labor arbitration outcomes?

2. What ethical frameworks and standards are currently in place to govern the conduct of labor arbitrators?

3. How can ethical misconduct in labor arbitration, particularly regarding impartiality and transparency, be effectively prevented or mitigated?

4. In what ways does the imbalance of power between employers and employees affect the ethical dynamics in labor arbitration?

Significance of the Study

Consequently, the following reasons suffice to justify this study for the following reasons. First, it touches on a rather limited strand of literature that carefully discusses ethical dilemmas that may occur within the framework of labor arbitration and explains how they influenced the fairness of this process. Due to the disclosure of how ethical breaches affect the results, this work supplements the knowledge of the problems and weaknesses of the current arbitration system. Second, it is important because at the present time there is a trend increase in the number of labor disputes, which are considered through arbitration, as the courts are overloaded. Since more companies are using arbitration in their dispute resolution systems, the key issue of ethical integrity in arbitration becomes very important to promote workplace justice and organizational civility.

Third, the study provides an empirical prescription of how the ethical framework guiding labor arbitration may be enhanced. This has implications for all or most of the players in arbitration including the arbitrators themselves, the policymakers, the labor unions, employers, and employees who seek arbitration of their disputes. In this respect, the study aims to contribute for the improvement of ethical standards of the labor relations systems proposing reforms in this area of justice.

Scope and Limitations

As a result, the field of this study is mainly concerned with labor arbitration where the conflict is established between employers and employees and the important ethical considerations relevant to arbitration. Although the research adopts a worldwide outlook, most of the cases investigated below will be from the United States and Europe, as labor arbitration is most developed there.

Thus, the major flaw of the study is that it is based on secondary data only, such as legal cases, case laws, and other materials. Although this enables one to come up with a wide ranging analysis of ethical concerns, it may not capture all the ethical dimensions that can be realized in several specific labor arbitration settings. Furthermore, the research does not include any forms of empirical data, for example surveys, interviews with arbitrators or parties involved in arbitration processes, which contain detailed aspects that clarify the ethical issues present. Nevertheless, the paper gives a coarse picture of the major ethical issues in labor arbitration and presents potential amendments to reform.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Ethical issues in labor arbitration remain a research imperative due to the important role that arbitration plays in the settlement of most employment disputes. This subject of the literature discusses various theoretical approaches, various ethical aspects and practical issues which affect the bias associated with arbitration. In this section, the author will discuss important ethical theories in the context of labor arbitration, review empirical literature on the pragmatic difficulties of upholding ethical principles in arbitration, and discuss the limitations of existing ethical frameworks.

Theoretical Frameworks

Labour arbitration is a process that is based on power, rules of law and principles of ethics. Two prominent ethical theories, deontological theories and utilitarianism, normally inform discourses on ethical behavior in arbitration.

Deontological Ethics: Immanuel Kant posit that the actions should be done in conformity to rules regardless of consequences. This theory in labor arbitration holds that arbitrators must not violate and must uphold, to the absolute letter, ethics that are owed to the parties involved in arbitration, such as neutrality, discretion, and the fair dealing process irrespective of the influence or force brought upon the arbitrator during the arbitration process. Arbitrators are bound to the obligation to be impartial and independent and be not influenced by the parties or by anything which flows from the relationship with the parties or through that relationship may derive any advantage.

Utilitarianism Theory: This is pioneered by the English philosophers of the nineteenth century namely Bentham and Mill. It supports an action by its results in a way that improves the highest amount of happiness in the largest number of people. In labor arbitration, this conception may endorse goals that are likely to be in the interest of the majority such as the workforce or the organization if this is to the detriment of say, the union, in the short run.

The two frameworks are helpful in analyzing arbitration's ethical issues but also reveal contradictions. An application of deontological principles might leave out practical concerns as to how conflicts are to be solved, while an overly utilitarian approach may inadmissibly harm an individual's rights in order to serve the general good.

Labour arbitration has been well studied in prior research, with emphasis on six major ethical issues to do with arbitrator impartiality, equity and bias, and self-interest. An ethical consideration that is closely related to arbitrators is impartiality: There is perhaps no other area of labor arbitration that presents a potentially more difficult ethical dilemma than the problem of impartiality. As Green (2017) has stated, arbitrators are usually chosen from business circles that means that there are hidden biases or preferences. In the worst of cases, arbitrators are likely to have affinity with one party, particularly employers who are more likely to seek services of the arbitrators more often than employees. Steyn et al. also show that if the appearance of bias prevails then there are negative effects on the arbitration process.

In the current literature, there is a regular reference to the effects of non-disclosure of conflict of interest on the biased arbitration results. Smith (2020) provides examples that showed that some arbitrators had direct financial or professional relationship with one of the disputing parties, and inevitably issued a prejudiced decision in favor of the powerful employer. As

modern ethical standards assert in AAA's Code of Ethics for Arbitrators in Commercial Disputes, the disclosure and elimination of the conflict of interests are crucial, but the problem persists. In many arbitration bodies, the arbitrator is expected to complete and submit the disclosure statement voluntarily which in most cases is incomplete or contains partial information.

The process is characterized by more often than not profound power disparities between employers and employees; power dynamics make the search for ethical solutions even more delicate. Davis (2019) pointed out that the employer will most often be in a better position in terms of bargaining power and/or access to legal advice than the employee. This imbalance provokes some serious ethical questions regarding procedural justice. Consequently, due to lack of adequate protection, employees can be coerced into signing arbitration agreements that have per-tilt favoring the employers and therefore produce results that just enhance inequities.

Ethical Standards and Code of Ethics

These concerns have been answered by the following ethical codes and guidelines. However, the NAA and the AAA both offer detailed ethical guidelines addressing basically all the key issues, including impartiality, disclosure, competence and fairness. While these guidelines are intended to minimize instances of ethical risk, its success relies on compliance and perhaps sanction. Green (2017) continues by noting that, even though these guidelines provide information on right practices, it is rare for them to include measures of enforcement. Indeed, a cultural truism about arbitrators indicates that they are often not punished for ethical breaches even where the evidence of misconduct is forcing. This lack of accountability is more so worrying when in binding arbitration the parties are restricted on their ways of appealing or challenging the arbitral award. Therefore, it is possible to observe multiple studies calling for increased transparency and regulation of the process and mandatory professionalism courses for arbitrators.

Critical Analysis of Literature

The prior literature on ethical concerns in labor arbitration offers a clear although by no means exhaustive background for the ethical issues to be confronted but also suggests certain shortcomings and oversights. A common weakness, perhaps, is that most of them are concerned solely with specific actions of the arbitrators and do not regard the allowed systemic problems in the entire framework of arbitration. For instance, Smith (2020) points out that power relations between employers and employees are addressed as mere frills but are fundamental in the unfairness of the arbitration. Furthermore, the emphasis is more towards the USA and some other Western legal systems, whereas little evidence exists regarding roles of the ethical issues in different cultures and legal environments. This paucity of cultural research in the literature hampers the applicability of conclusions drawn from the samples used in research and also results in ignoring how culture may frame adherence and deviation of fairness, impartiality and ethical practices in arbitration.

However, there is scarce literature available concerning the applicability of ethical guidelines. As the majority of scholars call for a tighter regulation of the ethical standards, there is a lack of research on the efficacy of the current measures in the minimization of ethical misconduct and enhancement of arbitration results. According to Davis (2019), more research should be carried out to determine if actual ethical standards of professional responsibility and the idea of fairness help in improving the outcome of arbitrations.

METHODOLOGY

This scholarly work is qualitative in nature, the case assessments and legal overviews of ethical concerns are utilized in relation to labor arbitration. The sample consists of five important arbitration cases in the USA and Europe where ethical issues were at the core of the conflict. Sources of data required for collection include arbitration decisions and awards, legal opinions, and ethical codes of arbitrators. It is employed to determine typical ethical conflicts shared between cases like bias and disclosure regulations.

RESULTS

Based on five essential arbitration cases that are examined in this paper, the main ethical concerns that occur in labor arbitration are revealed. Such problems as the restraint of bias of arbitrators, conflicting interests, opacity of the procedure, and Asymmetric information between the employers and the employees. These ethical violations affected most of the outcomes under study in most of the cases under analysis, which were generally detrimental to the employees.

Table 1: Summary of Ethical Issues Identified Across Cases

Case	Ethical Issue	Outcome	Party Benefiting from Violation
A	Arbitrator Bias	Employer Victory	Employer
B	Conflict of Interest	Employer Victory	Employer
C	Lack of Transparency	Employee Loss	Employer
D	Power Imbalance	Employee Loss	Employer
E	Arbitrator Bias	Neutral Outcome	N/A

The insights shown in the paper indicate that unethical behavior, especially when performed by arbitrators, puts bias to the labor relations and gives preferences to employers more than employees should receive. The published calculations also imply that ethical standards should be enforced more strictly and consistently so that arbitrators do not violate their neutrality and impartiality norms.

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The study also underlines the enormity of ethical violation in labor arbitration, since it affects the dispute resolution process and labor relations regime in the country. The issues of ethical concern that have been explained particularly the issue of impartiality and bias show a lot of vices in the present systems that regulate labor arbitration.

Impartiality and Bias

Undoubtedly, one of the most important and most acute problems of labor arbitration is the question of the impartiality of the arbitrator. Arbitrators are in fact not to have biases in any case for or against any of the parties involved in a case and thus take an unbiased stand. Nonetheless, the findings presented in the case studies indicate that, although perhaps inadvertently or at times knowingly, arbitrators develop preferences for one party, most commonly the employer. This is mainly because repeat arbitrator-employer relationships predispose arbitrators to lean in favor of employers in arbitration in order to secure future opportunities in arbitration. Research by Green (2017) supports this trend indicating that self-interest capability may be eroded if arbitrators expect to do business with such companies over time. This discovery indicates that a crucial ethical issue is the measures that help to ensure that arbitrators rely on their independence rather than frequent clients. Possible reform could include addition of a random or rotating mechanism for referring arbitrators similar to the mechanisms which are adopted in the court appointments. This would minimize a situation where we have arbitrators carrying bias likely in favor of the party that appointed them and ensure that the arbitrators have no connection with the parties involved in the dispute.

Transparency and Disclosure

The second is the issue of neutrality for arbitrators. In this case, they must reveal any possible biases towards parties involved. Unlike most arbitration bodies that possess ethical guidelines that compel such disclosures, enforcement varies. A number of cases examined in this study involved arbitrators who did not disclose prior business or social acquaintances with one of the disputing parties that would prejudice the final award made. For example, in one of the high-profile cases for a multinational corporation, where the arbitrator had a past consultancy with the company, and thus did not disclose it, brought with him/her bias into a decision that favored the employer strongly. There is the need to increase the enforcement of ethical standards and regulation. It is suggested that arbitrators should come under certain screening requirements, and any previously undeclared conflicts of interest should be grounds for an arbitrator's removal. Furthermore, transparency may be improved if arbitrators are requested to fill in ethic reports similar to financial declarations prior to a case and during the arbitration stating all connections to the parties to the case.

Power Imbalances

There is always a clear understanding that there is always a force majeure or in other words there is always an exploitation of the worker by employers. Employers may be in a better position in terms of resource endowment, quality and quantity of counsel as well as familiarity with the arbitrational procedures than the employees. Ethical issues arise consciously or unconsciously; the arbitrators take the side of the systematically powerful in the manner described above. Therefore, based on the emerging literature, we can identify the two broad areas of ethical obligations of the arbitrator: firstly, arbitrators are unethical if they are biased

in any way and, secondly, arbitrators ought to avoid or mitigate the impact of power imbalances.

To overcome this problem, reforms may concern the increased rights of employees to have an attorney during arbitration. In addition, arbitrators should learn the cardinal principle of equality, and have a legal obligation not to allow one party any advantage over the other. This might include providing an extension to employees to come up with a defense or to guarantee that a party with few resources for a case could access free legal aid services.

Enforcement of Ethical Standards

These findings also suggest that ethical compliance remains weak across labor arbitration with few exceptions. Despite most arbitration bodies having developed their ethical codes, terrible infringements remain uncompensated given the lack of accountability mechanisms. Arbitration is a highly self-governed system; in which there is always the risk of ethical misconduct going unnoticed. When the process is not monitored by an external regulatory authority, this research also reveals that ethical wrongdoings are likely to prevail hence demoralizing the public's trust on arbitration procedures like the one done by Smith (2020).

It means that introducing independent ethics committees or external auditors in control of labor arbitration processes may have a huge impact in terms of accountability. These bodies can of course have a responsibility for assessing arbitrators for ethical misconduct with regard to the ethical code applied, thus guaranteeing that ethical standards are maintained non-variably throughout all cases. Furthermore, ethical breaches should attract the following severe penalties such as disbarment from arbitration work or even fines.

Technological Solutions

Advanced technology presents several solutions for the improvement of the openness and ethical regulation of labor dispute resolution. For instance, blockchain applied to a process could mean that disclosures, documents regarding cases and rulings of arbitrators would be safely stored and accessible for referencing or perusal. This would generate an immutable history that researchers could use to possibly notice any patterns of prejudice or miscreants. Similarly, the use of AI could, in the same way, help in the selection of arbitrators by scanning through their past decisions to look for signs of prejudicial or otherwise ethical impropriety while allocating arbitrators to cases.

Comparison with Previous Research

The conclusions made from this study are in concordance and extend the conclusions of Green (2017) and Smith (2020). In earlier papers, different aspects of arbitration ethics were discussed but the present paper presents some real life examples how ethical violations can happen. The above comparison gives more foundation to the statement that ethical breach is not simply hypothetical threats affecting arbitration but real difficulties that undermine the outcomes. It can also be observed with the help of the given examples in this study that the problem requires more empirical research to provide better solutions. This study's findings have application to both practice and policy making. It may well be that there is a growing demand for increasing the ethical knowledge of the practitioners and their commitment to fair and clear practices, especially in light of how many arbitrations take place internationally. An application of the research findings for policymakers is to streamline regulation reforms to create separate

supervisory agencies and increase penalties for ethical infringements in arbitration. Appropriate behavior in labor arbitration depends not only on the desired result of the case under consideration but also on the principles of reliability in the system of labor arbitration as a whole.

CONCLUSION

The concluding part of this paper emphasizes how the question of ethics is crucial to the process of arbitration. From the foregoing, it is evident that if ethical supervision is not well implemented then labor arbitration can easily be dominated by the so-called 'mighty' manufacturers, the employers inclusive, and the customers, therefore exposing employees to biased arbitral awards. There is one very important message that can be seen as a carry-out from the given research, the need for greatly enhanced and more rigid global ethical standards and their consistent enforcement. Some of the problems highlighted within the case studies including, arbitrator bias, conflicts of interest and lack of transparency are rooted in ethical regulatory complexities. On these bases, it can be proposed that despite the formalization of ethical standards, their application and sanctioning are variable across the multiplicity of jurisdictions. This call for more rigorous criteria is not just in order to have more objective ethical norms, but also to say when norms are breached someone should be held responsible.

Moreover, the proposal for the ethical training of arbitrators to be mandatory is quite relevant as well. As the important decision makers, arbitrators must be informed about not only the provisions of the labor legislation but also ethical concepts in relation to decision making. Training could assist arbitrators in identifying and thereby minimizing tendencies of bias and ethical implications which may be unconscious or unwanted in the arbitration procedure. Thus, having better ethical sensitivity of arbitrators could make the arbitration process more proportionate and fair.

The paper is briefly suggestive of the possible contribution of technology in enhancing the procedural transparency of arbitration. It is something that should be further discussed in further detail. For instance, digital platforms may capture arbitration hearings or identify the trend in arbitrators' decisions, and hence establish bias. Online tools of dispute resolution may also guarantee that both the conflicting parties had an equal access to evidence and documents, which is likely to be an advantage in the case of the employee who has limited resources at his or her disposal.

Last, the paper suggests that there are always needs for further research and policy development on the ethical issues of labor arbitration. The legal structure changes with the development of labor relations in new models of work relationships (example of gig economy and distant work) as does the arbitration ethical standards. It is recommended that further studies should address the subject of how these changes affect the progress of arbitration and whether the present ethical code provides sufficient protection against bias in new types of employment conflicts.

To sum up, the conclusion of the paper states that ethical issues in labor arbitration need solutions that would involve raising the level of ethical rules compliance, increasing the ethical standards of arbitrators, implementing new technologies to improve their ethical augmenting, and further empirical research on incorporating the constantly evolving principles of labor

relationships. If these measures are followed, the labor arbitration process is likely to be seen as more credible and fair for all deserving a better deal in arbitration.

REFERENCES

American Arbitration Association (AAA). (2018). *Code of Ethics for Arbitrators in Commercial Disputes.*

Brown, C., & Cone, R. (2021). *The Ethics of Labour Arbitration: Impartiality, Bias, and Fairness in Dispute Resolution*. Journal of Labour Relations, 45(2), 112-128.

Davis, T. (2019). *Arbitration and Ethical Dilemmas: A Critical Review.* Journal of Labour Relations, 34(3), 112-128.

Dunsworth, M. (2020). *Power Dynamics in Employment Arbitration: The Ethical Implications of Imbalance*. International Journal of Employment Law, 38(3), 56-77.

Green, S. (2017). *Ethics in Arbitration: A Balancing Act.* Dispute Resolution Quarterly, 23(2), 45-67.

Kelly, S., & Walters, T. (2019). *Labour Arbitration in a Changing Workplace: Challenges and Ethical Considerations*. Journal of Workplace Dispute Resolution, 29(4), 215-233.

Lund, S. (2020). *Maintaining Neutrality: Ethical Dilemmas for Labour Arbitrators in the 21st Century*. Dispute Resolution Quarterly, 37(1), 89-104.

McPherson, J., & Roberts, L. (2021). *Confidentiality in Arbitration: The Ethical Paradox of Private Justice*. Arbitration Journal, 54(3), 162-179.

Mills, P., & Tyson, R. (2023). *Reforming Labour Arbitration: Ensuring Ethical Integrity in a Modern Workforce*. Industrial Relations Review, 60(1), 19-42.

National Academy of Arbitrators (NAA). (2020). *Code of Professional Responsibility for Arbitrators of Labour-Management Disputes.* Retrieved from [NAA website].

Smith, L. (2020). *Power Dynamics in Labour Arbitration.* International Journal of Employment Studies, 15(1), 78-89.

Sugarman, P., & Bingham, L. (2022). *The Ethics of Mandatory Arbitration in Employment Contracts: A Growing Concern*. Journal of Business Ethics, 48(4), 301-316.

Taylor, R. (2021). *AI and the Future of Labour Arbitration: Ethical Implications.* Journal of Dispute Resolution Technology, 11(4), 133-149.