



REMOVAL OF NICKEL USING VARIOUS BIOSORBENTS: REVIEW

Akoh Benjamin, Iyoha Lucky Sylvester and Iwuajoku Goodluck

Department of Chemical Engineering, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria.
+2348068068074

ABSTRACT: *Nickel ion is one of the non-biodegradable toxic heavy metals commonly found in industrial effluents which causes severe health challenges when excessively accumulated in the food chain of various living organisms and the environment through mutagenesis and carcinogenicity. Different physicochemical conventional techniques have been used for nickel ion removal from wastewaters. However, these methods are energy-consuming, expensive and generate secondary pollutants as by-products. Hence, the need for a potential alternative to these existing techniques. The use of agricultural and biological materials as biosorbent for heavy metals removal has been found to be the potential alternatives to these existing conventional methods. This study is aimed at the review of various reports of various authors on the removal of nickel ion from wastewaters using biosorbents of agricultural and biological origin. The use of algal, bacteria, fungi as biosorbent under the growing, living, non-living conditions in batch and continuous operation were reviewed and reported. Langmuir and Freundlich's models were observed to be widely reported to fit in the biosorption of the Nickel ions from wastewater. Also, the study revealed that living, dead and non-living cells of these microorganisms were widely used as biosorbents as compared growing cells due to its toxicity.*

KEYWORDS: Nickel Ion, Microorganisms, Biosorbents, Conventional Method, Heavy Metals, Non-Biodegradable

INTRODUCTION

Heavy metals have proven to be one of the major contaminants in drinking water and causes of serious threat to the animals, humans and the environment (Hau *et al.*, 2012; Singh *et al.*, 2010; Patrick, 2006). These metals are widely found in the wastewater from many industries such as metallurgical, alloying, steel, pigments, petrol, plastic industries and among others (Podder and Majumder, 2016; Hemidouche *et al.*, 2016). Nickel ion is one of the non-biodegradable toxic heavy metals ion commonly present in effluents from industries such as galvanization, smelting, mining, dyeing operation, batteries manufacturing, and steel factories and among others (Langård, 1994). The WHO, through water sanitation and hygiene, has estimated the allowable toxic limit of Nickel concentration in insoluble compounds of 1.0mg/m⁻³, nickel carbonyl of 0.05-0.12mg/m⁻³, and nickel sulfide of 1.0mg/m⁻³ (Hadadian *et al.*, 2018). At an amount beyond the allowable concentration, several diseases such as cancer, renal edema, skin dermatitis, gastrointestinal disorder (Langård, 1994), reduced central nervous function, the respiratory and hepatic disorder may occur (Hemidouche *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, the need for the removal of Ni ion from effluents before it is discharged into the environment.



Different conventional Physicochemical methods have been used for the removal of heavy metals from solution, these include reverse osmosis (Ozaki *et al.*, 2002; Petrinic *et al.*, 2015), precipitation (Sakai *et al.*, 2009; Charerntanyarak, 1999), phyto-extraction, ultra-filtration (Barakat and Schmidt, 2010), membrane technologies, evaporation recovery, sedimentation (Konsowa, 2010; Dean *et al.*, 1972), ion exchange (Zewail and Yousef, 2015; Dąbrowski *et al.*, 2004) and adsorption. These techniques are ineffective in removing metals ion present in trace from effluents. Also, they are expensive and energy-consuming, and their operation generates a secondary pollutant as a by-product (Bai and Abraham, 2001). This has necessitated the development of the biosorption technique, which is an eco-friendly process to remove heavy metals without generating any form of dangerous waste as by-products.

Biological Treatment Methods

Biosorption involves the removal of pollutants such as heavy metals from effluent using biological materials (Sahmoune, 2018). This method has been recognized as a potential alternative to conventional techniques for the treatment of contaminated wastewater (Stratten, 1987; Volesky, 1990). The strong binding strength between these biosorbents and the various functional groups like alcohol, aldehydes, ketones, phenolic, carboxylic and ether of various effluents make the use of these biosorbent attractive for the removal of heavy metals (Sud *et al.*, 2008; Ahluwalia and Goyal, 2007; Demirbas, 2008). Various biomasses, both chemical pretreated and untreated, have been studied for Ni ion removal from solutions. Among the factors that influence the adsorption capacities of biomasses are adsorbent dose, contact time, temperature, initial metal concentration, and the pH of the solution (Bilal *et al.*, 2013). However, pH has been reported to be one of the most important factors influencing the metal uptake.

The application of growing, resting and non-living cells of micro-organisms have been reported to remove Ni ion from effluents (Martins *et al.*, 2016; Mahmood, *et al.*, 2015; Sahmoune, 2018; Kleinubing *et al.*, 2011; Miono *et al.*, 2019). However, non-living cell microorganisms have mostly been used for the removal of the Ni ion. This is due to the absence of both toxicity limitations and the need for media growth and nutrients. Although, growing cells have an advantage over non-living and resting cells, in that the metal can be removed and at the same time as the microorganism is growing. However, the use of living cells is limited because the high metal concentration inhibits the cell growth of the microorganism. This problem can readily be solved using metal tolerant organisms (Sen and Dastidar, 2010). The removal of metal ions from aqueous solution is largely dependent on the tolerance and removal capacities of the growing biomass used.

Biosorption of Ni ion using alga, fungal or bacterial biomass, and agricultural waste has been adopted as a potential alternative to the conventional technique of wastewater treatment and drinking water. Low cost of operation, non-generation of a secondary pollutant, high adsorption capacity, generation and reuse of the biosorbent and easy metal recovery are the advantages of biosorption over the existing methods.

Agricultural Waste

The application of cellulosic, lignocellulosic and agricultural waste or derivative of the agricultural waste for Ni ion removal has been studied and reported by various authors. Table 1.0 shows the reports and findings of some of these authors. The presence of lignin, cellulose



and various functional groups on the agricultural biomasses has made it suitable for heavy metal removal (Lesmana *et al.*, 2009)

Table 1: Application of Agricultural Wastes

Biosorbent	Reactor/ condition	Initial Ni ion concentration	Maximum Ni removal	Best fit model	Reference
Rice Husk	Batch Reactor $P^H=2-6$, dose=20 g/l, time=10-180 min, 180 rpm	100 mg/l	51.8% ($P^H=6.0$, dose= 20 g/l, 180 rpm, $t= 25^\circ C$, $q_{max} =8.86$ mg/g, $b= 0.011$ l/mg, R^2 $=0.8919$, $K_f =$ 0.18 mg/g, $n=$ 1.56 l/mg, $R^2 =$ 0.898 , $q_D = 2.56$, $B_D= 0.32$ mol ² kJ ² , $E_D =1.25$ kJ/mol, $R^2 = 0.5689$	Langmuir and Freundlich	Bansal <i>et al.</i> , 2009
Coffee Husk Spent Coffee	Batch Reactor $P^H =6$, dose $=1$ g/l	30 - 120 mg/l	57.14 mg/g ($P^H=6$, dose =1 mg/l) 51.91 mg/g ($P^H=6$, dose =1 mg/l)	Langmuir	Rodriquez <i>et al.</i> , 2017
Teff straw	Batch Reactor $P^H = 1-11$, $25^\circ C-73^\circ C$, 30 – 70 min, dose = 3.53 mg/l	3.53 mg/l	88% (60 min, P^H $= 6.5$, $75^\circ C$) q_{max} $= 41.2$ mg/g, $b =$ 0.189 l/mg, $R^2 =$ 0.998 , $k_f =1.24$, n $=1.10$, $R^2 =$ 0.748 .	Langmuir	Desta, 2013.
Eggshell	Batch Reactor Dose = 0.2 g, 0-180 min,300 rpm, $25\pm 2^\circ C$.	100 mg/l	85% (60 min)	Langmuir and Pseudo- second order	Deangelis <i>et al.</i> , 2017.
<i>Fern</i> <i>Asplenium</i> <i>nidusl</i>	Batch Reactor Dose= 0.2 g, $P^H = 1-7$, 60- 180 rpm, $27^\circ C$	1 -20 mg/l	58% ($P^H = 7$, $27^\circ C$, 5 mg/l, 30 min)	Langmuir, Freundlich and Pseudo- second order	Dissanyake <i>et al.</i> , 2016
Dalbergia bean	Batch reactor $P^H = 2-10$, dose= 0.1 – 0.3 g, 2 hrs, 120 rpm	5- 25 mg/l	70% (dose = 0.2 g, 120 rpm, 20 min)	Temkin and Elovich second order	Lahot and Tiwari 2017



Biosorbent	Reactor/ condition	Initial Ni ion concentration	Maximum Ni removal	Best fit model	Reference
Olive stone	Batch Reactor $P^H = 2 - 7.5$, Dose = 0.2 g, $20 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$, 30 rpm	1.5×10^{-4} M	85% ($P^H = 5.5$, 60 min, dose = 0.2 g) $Q_{\max} = 3.63 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/g, $b = 3.16 \times$ 10^3 l/mol, $k_f = 2.4$ $\times 10^{-5}$, $1/n = 0.20$.	Langmuir and Pseudo- second order	Fiol <i>et al.</i> , 2016
<i>Callinectes sapidus</i> crab	Batch Reactor $P^H = 2-9$, $25 -$ 55°C , dose= 1 $- 20$ g/l, 3- 130 min	10 mg/l	95.1 % ($P^H = 6$, 60 min, 25°C , dose = 3 g/l, 10mg/l) $q_{\max} =$ 29.15 mg/g, $k =$ 0.1611 L/mg, $R^2 =$ 0.9677, $n = 1.937$, $k_f = 5.003$, $R^2 =$ 0.9901, DS = - 17.79 J/ molK ⁻¹ , DH = -26.26 kJ/mol	Freundlich	Foroutan <i>et al.</i> , 2019.
Sugarcane baggasse	Batch reactor $P^H = 2.5 -$ 7.52, dose =500-1500 mg/l, 100 – 200 rpm, 25°C , 5-120 min	50 mg/L	75% ($P^H = 7.52$, 60 min, 150 rpm, 50 mg/L, 25°C)		Garg <i>et al.</i> , 2008
<i>Cassia fistula</i> (Golden shower)	Batch Reactor $P^H = 3-8$, dose = 0.05-0.3 g/100 ml, 24 hr, 100 rpm, 30°C .	0.1 g/100 ml	100% ($P^H = 6$, dose = 0.1 g/ 100 ml, 25 mg/L, 720 min)	Langmuir and second order	Hanif <i>et al.</i> , 2007
<i>Syzygiumcum im</i> leaves	Batch reactor $P^H = 1-7$, Dose=0.5-2.0 g/L, $10-35^\circ\text{C}$.	50 – 200 mg/l	80% ($P^H = 6.0$, dose = 1.5 g, 12 hrs, 50 mg/l, 30°C)	Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and Dubini- Radushkevich and pseudo- second order	Kaur <i>et al.</i> , 2013.
Popular deltoid leaves			75%		



Biosorbent	Reactor/ condition	Initial Ni ion concentration	Maximum Ni removal	Best fit model	Reference
Exhausted coffee	Batch reactor 50-300 rpm, dose =50- 1800 mg, 5- 120 min	50 mg/l	72% ($P^H=6$, dose= 1.8 mg, 60 min, 1.2 g/l)	Freundlich, Temkin and Pseudo- second order	Mahajan and Sud, 2013
Arachis hypogea shells in natural (AHSN) Arachis hypogea shells in bead form (AHSB)			AHSN: ($k_f=$ 0.6857, $n=1.012$, $R^2=0.8265$, q_{max} $=2.82$, $b=0.042$, $R^2=0.9021$, $B=8.08$, $A=1620.4$, $R^2=$ 0.9354. AHSB: ($k_f=$ 0.6852, $n=1.024$, $R^2=0.8254$, q_{max} $=2.79$, $b=0.038$, $R^2=0.9112$, $B=8.18$, $A=1626.2$, $R^2=$ 0.9364.		
Cucumis melo peel	Batch reactor $P^H=6$, 10- 210 min, dose= 250 mg, $25\pm 2^\circ C$	100-400 mg/L	98.78% ($P^H=6$, dose = 250 mg, 180 min, 250 mg/L)	Elovich, pseudo-first order	Manjulade vi <i>et al.</i> , 2018
Shelled moringa oleifera seed powder	Batch reactor $P^H=4.5-8.5$, dose = 2-6	10-100 mg/L	75.64% (dose = 4 g, 25 mg/L, $P^H=$ 6.5, 40 min)		Raj <i>et al.</i> , 2010
Coconut copra meal	Batch reactor $P^H=2-7$, dose = 0.1-20 g/50 ml, 50 rpm, 15, 35 and $65^\circ C$	60, 100 and 120 mg/l	3.77 mg/g ($P^H=$ 5.0, dose = 20 g/l, 120 mg/l, 2 hrs, 150 rpm) $k_1=$ 0.0036, R^2 =0.994, $k_f=4.08$, $n=2.216$, $R^2=$ 0.976	Freundlich and Pseudo- second order	Saleem <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2015.
Citrus Limetta Peels	Batch Reactor $P^H=3-7$, dose = 1-20 mg/l, 0-180 min, $30^\circ C$	25-500 mg/l	27.78 mg/g ($P^H=$ 6, dose = 2 g/l, 45 min, 250 mg/l)	Langmuir and pseudo- second order	Singh and Shukla, 2017



Biosorbent	Reactor/ condition	Initial Ni ion concentration	Maximum Ni removal	Best fit model	Reference
<i>Acacia Leucocephala</i> bark	Batch reactor $P^H = \pm 5$, dose = 0.1-0.7 mg/l, 150 rpm, 30-50°C	30-200 mg/l	91% ($P^H = 5$, 120 min)	Langmuir and pseudo- second order	Subbaiah <i>et al.</i> , 2009
Teak leaves	Batch reactor $P^H = 2-8$, dose = 1-10g/l, 5- 120 min, 303- 323K	25-200 mg/l	75.64% (50 mg/l, $P^H = 6$, dose = 8 g/l, 30 min, 303K)	Langmuir and pseudo- second order	Vilvanath <i>an et al</i> ,,2014
<i>Pleurotus ostreatus</i> spent mushroom	Batch reactor $P^H = 4-8$, dose = 0.1 – 8g, 0.5-30 min, 125 rpm	10-250 mg/l	3.04 mg/g (30 min, dose = 0.7 g, 50 mg/l)	Langmuir and pseudo- second order	Tay <i>et al.</i> , 2011
<i>Ficus Religiosa</i> (Peepal) leaves	$P^H = 1-7$, dose = 10g/l, 10-90 min, 200 rpm, 33°C	100 mg/l	6.35 mg/g (60 min, $P^H = 6$, dose = 10 g/l, 33°C) $q_{max} = 25.71$ mg/g, $b = 0.0129$, $R^2 = 0.85$ $K_f = 1.25$, $n=2.44$, $R^2 = 6.94$	Freundlich and Pseudo- second order	Aslam <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2010

Algal Biomass

Algae are common photosynthetic microorganisms that exist in both marine and freshwaters. The suitability of algae in the uptake of heavy metals is largely due to its multilayer cells and the presence of various functional groups on the biomass (Wang and Chen, 2009). The adsorption using algal biomass can be described as follows: the first step is the cell surface binding where the Ni ion binds to the algal biomass. The process occurs independently. The second step is the slower stage which involves the intramolecular accumulation of the metal in the algal due to the concurrent growth and the adsorption process (Sen and Dastidar 2010). Various reports on the use of algal biomass for Ni removal are shown in Table 2.

**Table 2: The Use of Algal Biomass**

Biosorbent	Reactor/ condition	Initial Ni concentration	Maximum Ni removal	Best fit model	Reference
<i>Sargassum filipendulla</i>	Fixed bed column Dose= 3 g, v = 5 ml/min, 21°C, P ^H =4.5	2.15 mmol/L	1.07 mmol/g (P ^H =4.5, 5 ml/min) q _{max} = 1.070, b=4.0654 mmol ⁻¹ , R ² =0.998	Langmuir	Kleinübing <i>et al.</i> ,2011)
<i>Durvillaea antarctica</i>	Batch reactor P ^H = 5-11, 5- 240 min, 160 rpm, 20°C	7.5-300 mg/l	32.85 mg/g (P ^H = 5, 240 min) k _f = 1.289, n= 1.597, R ² =0.941. q _{max} =51.28 mg/g, k=0.0009, R ² = 0.942	Sips	Guarin- Romero <i>et al.</i> , 2019.
<i>Padinasantae- crucis</i>	Batch reactor P ^H = 2-8, dose = 1-4 g/l, 200 rpm, 5-60 min, 25-55°C	80 mg/l	78.74 mg/g (P ^H = 5, 60 min, dose =3 g/l)		Foroutan <i>et al.</i> , 2018
<i>Caulerpa racemose</i> <i>Ulva lactuca</i>	Batch reactor 10-90 min, 80 rpm, dose= 2g		28.84% (dose 2 g, 50 min, 25°C) 36.77% (dose 2 g, 60 min, 25°C)	Langmuir and Freundlich, Pseudo- second order	Pandya <i>et al.</i> , 2018
<i>Ulva lactuca</i>	Batch reactor P ^H = 2-8, 0- 250 min, 288K- 308K, dose= 0.5-5g/l	0-400 mg/l	38.28 mg/g (dose =0.5 g/l, P ^H = 5, 30 min)	Langmuir and Pseudo- second order	Long <i>et al.</i> , 2018
<i>Gracilaria</i> (marine alga)	Batch reactor P ^H = 1-9, dose =0.2-1, 200 rpm, 25°C	5-50 mg/l	83.55% (P ^H =5, dose =2.5 g, 30,40 mg/l, 15 min)	Langmuir and second order	Esmaeili <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2011
<i>Chlorella sorokiniana</i>	Batch reactor Immobilized cell P ^H = 2-6, 5- 120 min, 25°C, 100 rpm,	2.5-200 mg/l	60.57 mg/g (200 mg/l, P ^H =5, dose= 1 g/l, 25°C)	Langmuir and Freundlich	Akhtar <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2004



Biosorbent	Reactor/ condition	Initial Ni concentration	Maximum Ni removal	Best fit model	Reference
<i>Ulva reticulata</i> (marine green alga)	Packed column H=15, 20, 25 cm, 5-20 ml/min	100 mg/l	46.5 mg/g (P ^H =3, h= 25 cm, 30°C, P ^H =4, 5 ml/min, 100 mg/l)	Thomas	Vijayaraghavan <i>et al.</i> , 2005
<i>Undaria pinnatifida</i> (CaCl ₂ treated)	Batch reactor P ^H =3-7, dose= 0.1-0.5g	5-50 mg/l	24.71 mg/g (P ^H =4.7, dose= 0.1 g, 30 mg/l, 120 min)	Langmuir and Pseudo-second order	Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2005
<i>Cyanophyta</i> (Blue Algae)	Batch reactor Dead blue cell 0-140 min, 298-232K, P ^H =2-8, 0.1-2.0 g	10-120 mg/l	82.1% (P ^H = 5, 90 min, dose= 0.6 g, 200 rpm) q _{max} =19.43 mg/g, b = 0.0093, R ² =0.9961. k _f =3.5250, n =2.525, R ² = 0.881	Langmuir	Abbas and Ali, 2018.
<i>Oedogobium hatei</i> (untreated) Acid treated	Batch reactor P ^H =2.2-7, dose= 0.1-1g/l, 298-318K	100 and 200 mg/l	40.9mg/g (P ^H = 5, dose = 0.7g/l, 200 mg/l) 44.1 mg/g	Freundlich, Langmuir, Pseudo-first and second order	Gupta <i>et al.</i> , 2010
<i>Sargassum filipendula</i> (Alginate extraction residue)	Batch reactor Dead cell	0.1-18 mmol/l	61.1 mg/g (P ^H = 3.5, 323K, dose =2 g/l)	Langmuir	Moino <i>et al.</i> , 2019
<i>Sargassum sp</i> (marine brown algae) Untreated Acid treated	Batch reactor Living cell P ^H = 2.2-9.2, dose=0.1-0.7, 50-500 rpm	500 ppm	181.5 mg/g (P ^H =5, 30°C, 120 min, dose =0.5 g) 250mg/l	Langmuir and Pseudo-first order	Kalyani <i>et al.</i> , 2003

Bacteria Biomass

The suitability and application of bacterial have been reported by different authors. The unique microstructure of the organisms (bacterial and cyanobacterial) which contains polysaccharides, proteins, lipids with different functional groups enable the adsorbents to remove heavy metals from effluents through the interaction of the metal ions with the functional group (Volesky, 2007). Living, dead and immobilized cells of the biomass are capable of Ni ion removal and shown in table 3.

**Table 3: The Use of Bacteria Biomass**

Biosorbent	Reactor/ condition	Initial Ni concentration	Maximum Ni removal	Best fit model	Reference
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescense</i> (living cell)	Batch reactor P ^H = 3-8, 1 hr, 150 rpm	50-300 mg/l	91.4mg/g (100 mg/l, 30°C, P ^H =7, 1 hr)		Werzba and Latala, 2010
<i>Bacillus pamilus</i> (non-living cell)			73.9 mg/g		
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Batch reactor P ^H =2-7, 30°C, 0-60 min, 200 rpm, dose=1 g/l	14.3-245.8 mg/l	58.7 mg/g (P ^H =6, 50 mg/l)	Langmuir	Wierzba, 2015
<i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i>			54.3 mg/g (45.6 mg/l)		
<i>Brevundimonas vesicularis</i>	Batch reactor Non-living cell P ^H =2-10, dose=40-200mg, 150 rpm, 1-8 hr	25-200mg/l	52.4% (P ^H = 6, 100 mg/l, 90 min, dose=0.1 g)	Langmuir	Singh and Gadi, 2012
<i>Rhodococcus opacus</i>	Batch reactor P ^H =2-6, 175 rpm, 25-45°C, dose=2-5 g/l, 0-180 min	50mg/l	92% (P ^H = 5, dose=3 g/l, 40 mg/l, 20 min)	Freundlich	Cayllahua <i>et al.</i> , 2009.
<i>Microcollus species</i>	Batcg reactor Isolates P ^H = 3-11, 150 rpm, 29-36°C	50-500 mg/l	55% (50 mg/l, P ^H = 7, 55 hr, 55°C)		Congeraram <i>et al.</i> , 2007
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> ASU 6a	Batch reactor Living cell P ^H = 2-7, 5-60 min	0-160 ppm	113.6 mg/g (P ^H = 7, 30°C, 30 min, dose = 1g, 50 mg/l)	Langmuir and Freundlich	Gabr <i>et al.</i> , 2008
<i>Pseudomonas sp</i>	Batch reactor Living cells P ^H =3-8, 10-600 mg, dose=6 g/l, 4500rpm	50 mg/l	50.8 mg/g (P ^H = 5, dose=1 g/l)	Freundlich	Gialamouidu <i>et al.</i> , 2009
<i>Staphylococcus xylosus</i>			89mg/g (P ^H =6, dose = 1 g/l, 1.5 hr)		



Biosorbent	Reactor/ condition	Initial Ni concentration	Maximum Ni removal	Best fit model	Reference
<i>Bacillus lacterosporus</i> (MTCC 1628)	Batch reactor Dead biomass P ^H =3-9, 0-180 min, 20-40°C, dose= 2-40g/l, 150 rpm	10-50 mg/l	44.44 mg/g (P ^H = 7, 2 hr, 50 mg/l, 30°C)	Langmuir and Pseudo- second order	Kulkarni <i>et al.</i> , 2014
<i>Bacillus brevis</i>	Batch reactor Immobilized biomass P ^H = 2-6, 125 rpm, 25-40°C	10-60 mg/l	75.21% (P ^H =6, 40°C, 35 mg/l)	Second order polynomial equation	Kurmar <i>et al.</i> , 2009
<i>Curtobacterium sp</i> (FM0I)	Batch reactor Dead biomass P ^H = 3-8, dose =1-30 mg, 10- 50°C	0.125 -0.5 mM	140.99 mg/g (1.5 mM, P ^H = 6, 40 min)	Langmuir, Pseudo- first and second order	Masoumi <i>et al.</i> , 2016
<i>Bacillus cerus</i> M ₁₆	Batch reactor Bacterial strain P ^H = 3-8, dose =1-6 g/l, 20- 40°C, 0-24 hr, 5500 rpm	25-1100 mg/l	88.5% (P ^H = 7, 40°C, dose= 2 g/l, 26.95 mg/l)	Redlich- Peterson and Pseudo- second order	Naskar <i>et al.</i> , 2015
<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> (Vegetative cell)	Batch reactor P ^H =4-8, 25- 45°C, 13000 rpm	25-250 mg/l	21.5 mg/g (P ^H = 6, 35°C, dose=1 g/l, 100 mg/l, 5 min)	Langmuir	Oztuk, 2007
<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> (spore-crystal mixture)			34.3 mg/g (P ^H = 6, 35°C, dose=1 g/l, 100 mg/l, 5 min)		
<i>Streptomyces rimosus</i> (NaOH treated)	Batch reactor Dead cell	10-600 mg/l	32.6 mg/g (P ^H = 6.5, dose= 3 g/l, 2 hr, 100 mg/l, 250 rpm)	Langmuir	Selatnia <i>et al.</i> , 2004
<i>Pseudomonas p</i> (treated)	Batch reactor P ^H = 2.5 -9.5, 5-120 min, 9000 rpm	50-250 mg/l	336.84 mg/g (P ^H = 4.5, 60 min, 45°C)	Langmuir	Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2004



Fungi Biomass

Fungi and yeast are the common eukaryotic biomass which is readily used as adsorbents for heavy metal removal. Their easy growth under a wide range of conditions to produce enzymes enhances their ability to remove heavy metals from wastewater (Sen and Dastidar, 2010). Fungal biomass is generally resistant to a higher concentration of heavy metals. Heavy metals in trace amounts act as a micronutrient for fungus growth hence its suitability in removing trace metals from wastewaters. The reports of various authors on the use of fungi and yeast in the removal of Ni from wastewaters are shown in table 4.

Table 4: The Use of Fungi Biomass

Biosorbent	Reactor/condition	Initial Ni concentration	Maximum Ni removal	Best fit model	Reference
<i>Yarrowia lipolytica</i>	Batch reactor Dead biomass P ^H = 3-8, dose = 0.5-3 g/l, 20-40°C, 120 rpm	10-300 mg/l	14.08 mg/g (P ^H = 6, dose = 2 g/l, 60 min, 100 mg/l, 30°C)	Langmuir	Wierzba <i>et al.</i> , 2017
<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>	Batch reactor Living cell P ^H = 3-7, 10-40°C, 4-8 days	50 mg/l	90.2 % (P ^H = 4.5, 50 g/l, 7 days, 30°C) q _{max} = 383.9, b = 0.212, R ² = 0.939, k _f = 2.605, 1/n = 1.84, R ² = 0.874	Langmuir and Freundlich	Sarka <i>et al.</i> , 2010
<i>Pleurotus mutilus</i>	Batch reactor Non-living cells P ^H = 3-10, 2-120 min, 24°C.	50-500 mg/l	47.10 mg/g (P ^H = 8, 479 mg/l, 3 hr)	Langmuir and Pseudo-second order	Daoud <i>et al.</i> , 2018
<i>Phomopsis sp</i>	Batch reactor Treated biomass P ^H = 4-6	0.1-1.0 mM	110 μmol/g (24 hr, P ^H = 4-6, dose = 20 mg, 1.0 mmol/l)	Langmuir and Freundlich	Saiano <i>et al.</i> , 2005
<i>Rhizomucor tauricus</i>	Batch reactor Immobilized cell P ^H = 3-6, 10-50°C, dose = 2-10 mg	25-100 mg/l	394 mg/g (P ^H = 6, 4 hr, 30°C)	Langmuir and Freundlich	Kumar <i>et al.</i> , 2012
<i>Aspergillus niger</i> (Alkali treated)	Batch reactor Dried biomass P ^H = 2.8-7.2, dose = 1.6-6 g/l	24-90 mg/l	1.6 mg/g (P ^H = 6.01, 89.93 mg/l, dose = 5.22 g/l)		Amini and Younesi, 2009



<i>Aspergillus tamaraii</i>	Batch reactor Dead biomass P ^H = 1-6, 10- 200 min, dose= 0.5-1.5 g, 20- 50°C, 50-200 rpm	5-50 mg/l	58.74% (P ^H = 6, 150 rpm, 25°C, dose= 0.5 g)		Sahin <i>et al.</i> , 2012
<i>Aspergillus species</i>	Batch reactor P ^H = 3-11, 26 hr	50-500 mg/l	90% (P ^H = 5, 30 hr, 50 mg/l, 35°C)		Congeevar am <i>et al.</i> , 2007
<i>Pleurotus mutilus</i>	Batch reactor Non-living cell P ^H = 3-10, 30- 90 min, 250 rpm, 24°C, particle size= 50-300µm	50-500 mg/l	48.94 mg/g (P ^H =8, 79 min, 488 mg/l)	OVAT (one variable at a time) and Taguchi model	Daoud and Seleatnia 2019
<i>Aspergillus flavas</i> (CaCl ₂)	Batch reactor P ^H = 2-8, 5-150 min, dose= 1-8 g/l, 298.15- 323.15K	50 mg/l	32.26 mg/g(50 mg/l, dose =4 g/l, 60 min, 298.15 K) b=0.147, R ² = 0.9349, n= 1.65, k _f = 4.845, R ² = 0.9124.	Freundlich and Langmuir	Foroutan <i>et al.</i> , 2017
<i>Pencillium fellutinum</i> (composite with bentonite)	Batch reactor P ^H =2-6, 10-120 min, 30-60°C, 0.05-0.3 g	50-225 mg/l	161 mg/g (P ^H =6, 200 mg/l, 30 min, dose= 0.05 g/l)	Langmuir and Pseudo- second order	Rashid <i>et al.</i> , 2016
<i>Mucor hiemalis</i>	Batch reactor Dead biomass P ^H =2-8, dose= 0.05-3 g/l, 10- 60°C, 60-210 rpm	50-500 mg/l	15.83 mg/g (P ^H =8, 50 mg/l, dose= 0.5g/l, 30°C, 120 rpm, 60 min)	Freundlich and Langmuir	Shroff and Vaidy, 2011.
<i>Penicillium janthinellum</i> (Untreated)	Batch reactor P ^H = 2.0 -7, 90 min, dose = 0.1- 2 g/l	150 mg/l	47% (P ^H = 4.5, 33 mg/l, 89min, dose= 1.6 g/l)		Aytar <i>et al.</i> , 2013
<i>Trametes versicolor</i> (Rainbow)	Batch reactor P ^H = 2-7, dose = 0.1-0.6g/01L, 210 min	20-100 mg/l	212.5 mg/g (P ^H = 4, dose =0.6g/0.1L, 210min, 100 mg/l)	Langmuir	Subbaiah and Yun, 2013



CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The literature reviewed shows that non-living and resting cells of microorganisms (Algal, bacterial, Fungi) were mostly used in the reports with little information on the use of growing cells due to their toxicity level. The review shows that the batch method was mainly utilized in the adsorption studies of the various biosorbent, thereby limiting the extent to which the effluent can be studied and the number of studied factors. Further research should be carried out using continuous column adsorption experiments to enhance the handling of a large amount of wastewater and the provision of a wide range of variables for the study. Synthetic wastewater was used in most of the literature reviewed to study the uptake capacity of the biosorbent, this, as a result, provided information on the studies which more would have been gotten from the study of the interaction of other trace elements in the treated wastewater if actual industrial wastewater was used in the operation. Finally, the uptake of the Ni ion was studied at a lower concentration of the ion. There is a need for further study of the adsorption capacity at higher metal ion concentration.

REFERENCES

- Abbas S.H. & Ali W.H. (2017), Evaluation of biomass type blue *Cyanophyta* algae for the sorption of Cr(III), Zn(II) and Ni(II) from aqueous solution using batch operation system: Equilibrium, kinetic and thermodynamic studies, Global NEST Journal, 20(X), XX-XX.
- Ahluwalia, S. S. & Goyal, D. (2007). Microbial and plant derived biomass for removal of heavy metals from wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*, 98(12):2243–2257.
- Akhtar, N. (2004). Removal and recovery of nickel (II) from aqueous solution by loofa sponge-immobilized biomass of *Chlorella sorokiniana*: characterization studies. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 108(1-2):85–94. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2004.01.002
- Amini, M. & Younesi, H. (2009). Biosorption of Cd(II), Ni(II) and Pb(II) from Aqueous Solution by Dried Biomass of *Aspergillus niger*: Application of Response Surface Methodology to the Optimization of Process Parameters. *CLEAN - Soil, Air, Water*, 37(10):776–786. doi:10.1002/clen.200900090
- Aslam, M, Z., Ramzan, N., Naveed, S. & Feroze, N. (2010). Ni(Ii) Removal By Biosorption Using *Ficus Religiosa* (Peepal) Leaves. *Journal of the Chilean Chemical Society*, 55(1). doi:10.4067/s0717-97072010000100019
- Aytar, P., Gedikli, S., Buruk, Y. et al. Lead and nickel biosorption with a fungal biomass isolated from metal mine drainage: Box–Behnken experimental design. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 11, 1631–1640 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-013-0354-5>
- Bai, R.S. & Abraham, T (2001). Biosorption of Cr (VI) from aqueous solution by *Rhizopus Nigricans*. *Bioresource Technology*, 79(1):73–81. doi:10.1016/s0960-8524(00)00107-3
- Bansal, M., Singh, D., Garg, V.K. & Rose, P. (2009). Use of Agricultural Waste for the Removal of Nickel Ions from Aqueous Solutions: Equilibrium and Kinetics Studies. *International Scholarly and Scientific Research & Innovation*, 3(3): 174-180
- Barakat, M. A. & Schmidt, E. (2010). Polymer-enhanced ultrafiltration process for heavy metals removal from industrial wastewater. *Desalination*, 256(1-3):90–93. doi:10.1016/j.desal.2010.02.008



- Bilal, M., Shah, J. A., Ashfaq, T., Gardazi, S. M. H., Tahir, A. A., Pervez, A. & Mahmood, Q. Waste biomass adsorbents for copper removal from industrial wastewater—A review. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 263(2013):322–333. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.07.071
- Cayllahua, J. E. B., de Carvalho, R. J. & Torem, M. L. (2009). Evaluation of equilibrium, kinetic and thermodynamic parameters for biosorption of nickel (II) ions onto bacteria strain, *Rhodococcus opacus*. *Minerals Engineering*, 22(15):1318–1325. doi:10.1016/j.mineng.2009.08.003
- Charerntanyarak, L. (1999). *Water Science and Technology*; London 39(10-11):135-138.
- Chen, Z., Ma, W. & Han, M. (2008). Biosorption of nickel and copper onto treated alga (*Undaria pinnatifida*): Application of isotherm and kinetic models. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 155(1-2):327–333. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.11.064
- Congeevaram, S., Dhanarani, S., Park, J., Dexilin, M. & Thamaraiselvi, K. (2007). Biosorption of chromium and nickel by heavy metal resistant fungal and bacterial isolates. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 146(1-2):270–277. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.12.017
- Dąbrowski, A., Hubicki, Z., Podkościelny, P. & Robens, E. (2004). Selective removal of the heavy metal ions from waters and industrial wastewaters by ion-exchange method. *Chemosphere*, 56(2):91–106. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.03.006
- Daoud, N., Selatnia, A. & Benyoussef, E.H. (2018). Nickel removal from aqueous solution by non-living *Pleurotus mutilus*: kinetic, equilibrium, and thermodynamic studies, *Algerian J. Env. Sc. Technology*, 4(3):806-819
- Daoud, N., Selatnia, A. Taguchi Optimization Method for Nickel Removal from Aqueous Solutions Using Non-living *Pleurotus mutilus*. *Arab J Sci Eng* 44, 10067–10077 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-019-04108-x>
- De Angelis, G., Medeghini, L., Conte, A. M., & Mignardi, S. (2017). Recycling of eggshell waste into low-cost adsorbent for Ni removal from wastewater. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 164, 1497–1506. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.07.085
- Dean, J. G., Bosqui, F. L. & Lanouette, K. H. (1972). Removing heavy metals from waste water. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 6(6):518–522. doi:10.1021/es60065a006
- Demirbas, A. (2008). Heavy metal adsorption onto agro-based waste materials: A review. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 157(2-3), 220–229. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.01.024
- Desta, M. B. (2013). Batch Sorption Experiments: Langmuir and Freundlich Isotherm Studies for the Adsorption of Textile Metal Ions onto Teff Straw (*Eragrostis tef*) Agricultural Waste. *Journal of Thermodynamics*, 1–6. doi:10.1155/2013/375830
- Dissanayake, D. M. R. E. A., Wijesinghe, W. M. K. E. H., Iqbal, S. S., Priyantha, N. & Iqbal, M. C. M. (2016). Isotherm and kinetic study on Ni(II) and Pb(II) biosorption by the fern *Asplenium nidus L.* *Ecological Engineering*, 88:237–241. doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2015.12.028
doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2005.12.006
- Esmaili, A., Beirami, P. & Ghasemi, S. (2011) Evaluation of the Marine Algae *Gracilaria* and its Activated Carbon for the Adsorption of Ni(II) from Wastewater. *E-Journal of Chemistry*, 8(4):1512-1521
- Fiol, N., Villaescusa, I., Martínez, M., Miralles, N., Poch, J. and Serarols, J. (2006). Sorption of Pb(II), Ni(II), Cu(II) and Cd(II) from aqueous solution by olive stone waste. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 50(1):132–140. doi:10.1016/j.seppur.2005.11.016



- Foroutan, R., Esmaili, H., Rishehri, S. D., Sadeghzadeh, F., Mirahmadi, S., Kosarifard, M. & Ramavandi, B. Zinc, nickel, and cobalt ions removal from aqueous solution and plating plant wastewater by modified *Aspergillus flavus* biomass: A dataset. Data in Brief, 12(2017):485–492. doi:10.1016/j.dib.2017.04.031
- Foroutan, R., Esmaili, H., Sanati, A.M., Ahmadi, M. & Ramavan, B. Adsorptive removal of Pb(II), Ni(II), and Cd(II) from aqueous media and leather wastewater using *Padinasanctae-crucis* biomass. Desalination and Water Treatment, 135 (2018):236–246
- Foroutan, R., Mohammadi, R., Farjadfard, S., Esmaili, H., Saberi, M., Sahebi, S. & Ramavandi, B. (2019). Characteristics and performance of Cd, Ni, and Pb bio-adsorption using *Callinectes sapidus* biomass: real wastewater treatment. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 26(7): 6336–6347. doi:10.1007/s11356-018-04108-8
- Gabr, R. M., Hassan, S. H. A. & Shoreit, A. A. M. (2008). Biosorption of lead and nickel by living and non-living cells of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ASU 6a. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation, 62(2):195–203. doi:10.1016/j.ibiod.2008.01.008
- Garg, U. K., Kaur, M. P., Garg, V. K. & Sud, D. (2008). Removal of Nickel (II) from aqueous solution by adsorption on agricultural waste biomass using a response surface methodological approach. Bioresource Technology, 99(5):1325–1331. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2007.02.011
- Gialamouidis, D., Mitrakas, M. & Liakopoulou-Kyriakides, M. (2009). Biosorption of nickel ions from aqueous solutions by *Pseudomonas sp.* and *Staphylococcus xylosus* cells. Desalination, 248(1-3):907–914. doi:10.1016/j.desal.2008.10.011
- Guarín-Romero, J. R., Rodríguez-Estupiñán, P., Giraldo, L. & Moreno-Piraján, J. C. (2019). Simple and Competitive Adsorption Study of Nickel(II) and Chromium(III) on the Surface of the Brown Algae *Durvillaea antarctica* Biomass. ACS Omega. doi:10.1021/acsomega.9b02061
- Gupta, V.K., Rastogi, A. & Nayak, A. (2010). Biosorption of nickel onto treated alga (*Oedogonium hatei*): Application of isotherm and kinetic models. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 342(2):533–539. doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2009.10.074
- Hadadian, M., Goharshadi, E. K., Fard, M. M. & Ahmadzadeh, H. (2018). Synergistic effect of graphene nanosheets and zinc oxide nanoparticles for effective adsorption of Ni (II) ions from aqueous solutions. Applied Physics A, 124(3). doi:10.1007/s00339-018-1664-8
- Hanif, M. A., Nadeem, R., Bhatti, H. N., Ahmad, N. R. & Ansari, T. M. (2007). Ni(II) biosorption by *Cassia fistula* (Golden Shower) biomass. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 139(2): 345–355. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.06.040
- Hemidouche, S., Boudriche, L., Boudjemaa, A. & Hamoudi, S. (2016). Removal of lead (II) and cadmium (II) cations from water using surface-modified graphene. The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering, 95(3):508–515. doi:10.1002/cjce.22693
- Hua, M., Zhang, S., Pan, B., Zhang, W., Lv, L. & Zhang, Q. (2012). Heavy metal removal from water/wastewater by nanosized metal oxides: A review. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 211-212:317–331. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.10.016
- Kalyani, S., Srinivasa Rao, P. & Krishnaiah, A. (2004). Removal of nickel (II) from aqueous solutions using marine macroalgae as the sorbing biomass. Chemosphere, 57(9):1225–1229. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.08.057



- Kaur, R., Singh, J., Khare, R., Cameotra, S. S. & Ali, A. (2013). Batch sorption dynamics, kinetics and equilibrium studies of Cr(VI), Ni(II) and Cu(II) from aqueous phase using agricultural residues. *Applied Water Science*, 3(1), 207–218. doi:10.1007/s13201-012-0073-y
- Kleinübing, S. J., da Silva, E. A., da Silva, M. G. C. & Guibal, E. (2011). Equilibrium of Cu(II) and Ni(II) biosorption by marine alga *Sargassum filipendula* in a dynamic system: Competitiveness and selectivity. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(7):4610–4617. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2010.12.049
- Kleinübing, S. J., da Silva, E. A., da Silva, M. G. C. & Guibal, E. (2011). Equilibrium of Cu(II) and Ni(II) biosorption by marine alga *Sargassum filipendula* in a dynamic system: Competitiveness and selectivity. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(7):4610–4617. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2010.12.049
- Konsowa, A. H. (2010). Intensification of the rate of heavy metal removal from wastewater by cementation in a jet reactor. *Desalination*, 254(1-3):29–34. doi:10.1016/j.desal.2009.12.018
- Kulkarni, R. M., Shetty, K. V. & Srinikethan, G. (2014). Cadmium (II) and nickel (II) biosorption by *Bacillus laterosporus* (MTCC 1628). *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*, 45(4):1628–1635. doi:10.1016/j.jtice.2013.11.006
- Kumar, K.K., Prasad, M.K., Sarada, B. & Murthy, C.V.R. (2012). Studies on biosorption of nickel using immobilized fungus, *Rhizomucor tauricus*. *Bioresources* 7(4):5059-5073.
- Kumar, R., Singh, R., Kumar, N., Bishnoi, K. & Bishnoi, N. R. (2009). Response surface methodology approach for optimization of biosorption process for removal of Cr (VI), Ni (II) and Zn (II) ions by immobilized bacterial biomass *sp. Bacillus brevis*. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 146(3):401–407. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2008.06.020
- Lahot, P. and Tiwari, D.P. (2017). Influence of parameters and kinetic study of nickel (II) and cadmium (III) metals on *Dalbergia* derived adsorbent. *Int J Epidemiol Res.*, 4(2): 134-144.
- Langård, S. (1994). Nickel-related cancer in welders. *Science of the Total Environment*, 148(2-3):303–309. doi:10.1016/0048-9697(94)90408-1
- Lesmana, S. O., Febriana, N., Soetaredjo, F. E., Sunarso, J. & Ismadji, S. (2009). Studies on potential applications of biomass for the separation of heavy metals from water and wastewater. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 44(1):19–41. doi:10.1016/j.bej.2008.12.009
- Long, J., Huang, X., Fan, X., Peng, Y. & Xia, J. (2018). Effective adsorption of nickel (II) with *Ulva lactuca* dried biomass: isotherms, kinetics and mechanisms. *Water Science and Technology*, wst2018253. doi:10.2166/wst.2018.253
- Mahajan, G., & Sud, D. (2013). Application of ligno-cellulosic waste material for heavy metal ions removal from aqueous solution. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 1(4):1020–1027. doi:10.1016/j.jece.2013.08.013
- Mahmood, T., Khan, A., Naeem, A., Hamayun, M., Muska, M., Farooq, M. & Hussain, F. (2015). Adsorption of Ni(II) ions from aqueous solution onto a fungus *Pleurotus ostreatus*. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 57(16):7209–7218. doi:10.1080/19443994.2015.1022802
- Manjuladevi, M., Anitha, R., & Manonmani, S. (2018). Kinetic study on adsorption of Cr(VI), Ni(II), Cd(II) and Pb(II) ions from aqueous solutions using activated carbon prepared from Cucumis melo peel. *Applied Water Science*, 8(1). doi:10.1007/s13201-018-0674-1



- Martins, L. R., Lyra, F. H., Rugani, M. M. H. & Takahashi, J. A. (2016). Bioremediation of Metallic Ions by Eight *Penicillium* Species. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 142(9), C4015007. doi:10.1061/(asce)ee.1943-7870.0000998
- Masoumi, F., Khadivinia, E., Alidoust, L., Mansourinejad, Z., Shahryari, S., Safaei, M. & Noghabi, K. A. (2016). Nickel and lead biosorption by *Curtobacterium sp.* FM01, an indigenous bacterium isolated from farmland soils of northeast Iran. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 4(1):950–957. doi:10.1016/j.jece.2015.12.025
- Moino, B. P., Costa, C. S. D., Carlos da Silva, M. G. & Vieira, M. G. A. (2019). Reuse of the alginate extraction waste from *Sargassum filipendula* for Ni(II) biosorption. *Chemical Engineering Communications*, 1–14. doi:10.1080/00986445.2018.1564909
- Naskar, A., Guha, A.K., Mukherjee, M. & Ray, L. (2016). Adsorption of nickel onto *Bacillus cereus* M116: A mechanistic approach, *Separation Science and Technology*, 51(3):427–438. DOI: 10.1080/01496395.2015.1115069
- Ozaki, H., Sharma, K., & Saktaywin, W. (2002). Performance of an ultra-low-pressure reverse osmosis membrane (ULPROM) for separating heavy metal: effects of interference parameters. *Desalination*, 144(1-3), 287–294. doi:10.1016/s0011-9164(02)00329-6
- Ozturk, A. (2007). Removal of nickel from aqueous solution by the bacterium *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 147(1-2):518–523. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.01.047
- Pandya, K.Y., Rinku V. P, Rakesh T.J. & Brahmabhatt,N.(2018). Biosorption of Ni (ii) From Industrial Effluent by *Caulerpa Racemosa* and *Ulva Lactuca*. *RJLBPCS*, 4(1):133
- Patrick, L. (2016). Lead Toxicity Part II: The Role of Free Radical Damage and the Use of Antioxidants in the Pathology and Treatment of Lead Toxicity. *Alternative Medicine Review*. 11(2):114-127
- Petricic, I., Korenak, J., Povodnik, D. & Hélix-Nielsen, C. A feasibility study of ultrafiltration/reverse osmosis (UF/RO)-based wastewater treatment and reuse in the metal finishing industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 101(2015):292–300. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.04.022
- Podder, M. S., & Majumder, C. B. (2016). Biosorptive Performance of *Bacillus arsenicus* MTCC 4380: Biofilm Supported on Sawdust/MnFe₂O₄ Composite for the Removal of As(III) and As(V). *Water Conservation Science and Engineering*, 1(2), 103–125. doi:10.1007/s41101-016-0007-x
- Raj, K.R., Kardam, A., Arora, J.K., Srivastava, M.M. & Srivastava., S. Neural Network Modeling for Ni(II) Removal from Aqueous System Using Shelled *Moringa Oleifera* Seed Powder as an Agricultural Waste. *J. Water Resource and Protection*, 2(2010):331-338
- Rashid, A., Bhatti, H. N., Iqbal, M. & Noreen, S. Fungal biomass composite with bentonite efficiency for nickel and zinc adsorption: A mechanistic study. *Ecological Engineering*, 91(2016):459–471. doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2016.03.014
- Rodriguez, H.M., Yperman, J., Carleer, R., Maggen, J., Dadi, D., Gryglewicz, G. & Otero Calvis, A. (2018). Adsorption of Ni(II) on spent coffee and coffee husk based activated carbon. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 6(1):1161–1170. doi:10.1016/j.jece.2017.12.045
- Şahin, İ., Keskin, S. Y. & Keskin, C. S. (2013). Biosorption of cadmium, manganese, nickel, lead, and zinc ions by *Aspergillus tamarisii*. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 51(22-24):4524–4529. doi:10.1080/19443994.2012.752332



- Sahmoune, M. N. Performance of *Streptomyces rimosus* biomass in biosorption of heavy metals from aqueous solutions. *Microchemical Journal*, 141(2018):87–95. doi:10.1016/j.microc.2018.05.009
- Saiano, F., Ciofalo, M., Olga Cacciola, S. & Ramirez, S. (2005). Metal ion adsorption by *Phomopsis sp.* biomaterial in laboratory experiments and real wastewater treatments. *Water Research*, 39(11):2273–2280. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2005.04.022
- Sakai, H., Matsuoka, S., Zinchenko, A. A. & Murata, S. (2009). Removal of heavy metal ions from aqueous solutions by complexation with DNA and precipitation with cationic surfactant. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 347(1-3):210–214. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfa.2009.04.031
- Saleem, M., Wongsrisujarit, N. & Boonyarattanakalin, S. (2015). Removal of nickel (II) ion by adsorption on coconut copra meal biosorbent. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 57(12):5623–5635. doi:10.1080/19443994.2015.1005155
- Sarkar, S., Satheshkumar, A., Jayanthi, R. & Premkumar, R. (2010). Biosorption of Nickel by live Biomass of *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Research journal of Agricultural sciences*, 1(2):69-74.
- Selatnia, A., Bakhti, M. Z., Madani, A., Kertous, L. & Mansouri, Y. (2004). Biosorption of Cd^{2+} from aqueous solution by a NaOH-treated bacterial dead *Streptomyces rimosus* biomass. *Hydrometallurgy*, 75(1-4):11–24. doi:10.1016/j.hydromet.2004.06.005
- Sen, M. & Dastidar, G.M. (2010). Chromium removal using various biosorbents: Review. *Journal of Environmental Health science and engineering*, 7(3):182-190.
- Shroff, K. A., & Vaidya, V. K. (2011). Kinetics and equilibrium studies on biosorption of nickel from aqueous solution by dead fungal biomass of *Mucor hiemalis*. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 171(3), 1234–1245. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2011.05.034
- Singh, A., Sharma, R. K., Agrawal, M. & Marshall, F. M. (2010). Health risk assessment of heavy metals via dietary intake of foodstuffs from the wastewater irrigated site of a dry tropical area of India. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 48(2):611–619. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2009.11.041
- Singh, N. & Gadi, R. (2012). Bioremediation of Ni(II) and Cu(II) from wastewater by the nonliving biomass of *Brevundimonas vesicularis*. *Journal of Environmental Chemistry and Ecotoxicology*, 4(8):137-142. DOI: 10.5897/JECE12.003
- Singh, S. & Shukla, S. R. (2017). Theoretical studies on adsorption of Ni(II) from aqueous solution using *Citrus limetta* peels. *Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy*, 36(3):864–872. doi:10.1002/ep.12526
- Stratten, G. W. (1987). Review, In *environmental Toxicology* ed. Hodson E., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 85-94.
- Subbaiah, M. V., Vijaya, Y., Kumar, N. S., Reddy, A. S. & Krishnaiah, A. (2009). Biosorption of nickel from aqueous solutions by *Acacia leucocephala* bark: Kinetics and equilibrium studies. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 74(1):260–265. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2009.07.028
- Subbaiah, M.V. & Yun, Y.S. Biosorption of Nickel(II) from aqueous solution by the fungal mat of *Trametes versicolor* (rainbow) biomass: equilibrium, kinetics, and thermodynamic studies. *Biotechnol Bioproc E* 18(2013):280–288. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12257-012-0401-y>
- Sud, D., Mahajan, G. & Kaur, M. (2008). Agricultural waste material as potential adsorbent for sequestering heavy metal ions from aqueous solutions – A review. *Bioresource Technology*, 99(14):6017–6027. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2007.11.064



- Tay, C.C., Liew, H.H., Redzwan, G., Yong, S.K., Surif, S. & Abdul-Talib, S. (2011). *Pleurotus ostreatus* spent mushroom compost as green biosorbent for nickel (II) biosorption. *Water Science and Technology*, 64(12):2425–2432. doi:10.2166/wst.2011.805
- Vijayaraghavan, K., Jegan, J., Palanivelu, K. & Velan, M. (2005). Biosorption of copper, cobalt and nickel by marine green alga *Ulva reticulata* in a packed column. *Chemosphere*, 60(3):419–426. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.12.016
- Vilvanathan, S. & Shanthakumar, S. (2014). Removal of Ni(II) and Co(II) ions from aqueous solution using teak (*Tectona grandis*) leaves powder: adsorption kinetics, equilibrium and thermodynamics study. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 57(9):3995–4007. doi:10.1080/19443994.2014.989913
- Volesky, B. (1990). Biosorption and biosorbents in Biosorption of Heavy Metals, ed. B. Volesky, CRC press Inc, Boca Raton.
- Volesky, B. (2007). Biosorption and me. *Water Research*, 41(18):4017–4029. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2007.05.062
- Wang, J. & Chen, C. (2009). Biosorbents for heavy metals removal and their future. *Biotechnology Advances*, 27(2):195–226. doi:10.1016/j.biotechadv.2008.11.002
- Wierzba, S. & Latała, A. (2010). Biosorption lead(II) and nickel(II) from an aqueous solution by bacterial biomass. *Polish Journal of Chemical Technology*, 12(3). doi:10.2478/v10026-010-0038-6
- Wierzba, S. (2015). Biosorption of lead(II), zinc(II) and nickel(II) from industrial wastewater by *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* and *Bacillus subtilis*. *Polish Journal of Chemical Technology*, 17(1):79-87.
- Wierzba, S. (2017). Biosorption of nickel (II) and zinc (II) from aqueous solutions by the biomass of yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica*. *Polish Journal of Chemical Technology*, 19(1), 1–10
- Zewail, T. M. & Yousef, N. S. (2015). Kinetic study of heavy metal ions removal by ion exchange in batch conical air spouted bed. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 54(1):83–90. doi:10.1016/j.aej.2014.11.008
- Zhang, J., Yang, K., Wang, H., Lv, B. & Ma, F. (2014). Biosorption of copper and nickel ions using *Pseudomonas* sp in single and binary metal systems. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 57(6):2799–2808. doi:10.1080/19443994.2014.983177