



AMYGDALA HIJACK: CONTEMPORARY INSIGHTS INTO CAUSES, CORRELATES AND CONSEQUENCES

Leonard C. Orji^{1*} and Umoh A. Ita²

¹Department of Psychology, University of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, Umuagwo, Imo State.

²Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield United Kingdom S5 7AU.

*Corresponding Author's Email: drleonardorji@outlook.com

Cite this article:

Orji, L. C., Ita, U. A. (2024), Amygdala Hijack: Contemporary Insights into Causes, Correlates and Consequences. African Journal of Health, Nursing and Midwifery 7(3), 102-111. DOI: 10.52589/AJHNM-KQM0NM27

Manuscript History

Received: 14 Jun 2024

Accepted: 18 Aug 2024

Published: 26 Aug 2024

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s).

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits anyone to share, use, reproduce and redistribute in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT: *This study explores the phenomenon of amygdala hijack, where the amygdala, a key brain structure involved in emotional processing, overrides rational thinking during intense emotional experiences. The objective of this study is to provide contemporary insights into the causes, correlates and consequences of amygdala hijack through a systematic literature review of various databases and selected articles. The study is organized into several sections and subheadings to provide a comprehensive understanding of the hijack phenomenon. These sections include understanding the causes of amygdala hijack, exploring its correlates, and examining its consequences. Intervention approaches are also discussed, along with implications for individuals, clinicians and researchers. The relevance of this study lies in its contribution to the understanding of emotional processing and decision making. By delving into the amygdala hijack phenomenon, this research enhances our knowledge of how emotions can override rational thinking and influence behavior. This has implications for areas such as psychology, neuroscience and psychiatry. Limitations of the study are acknowledged, and future research directions are proposed to further explore the complexities of amygdala hijack. In conclusion, this study provides valuable insights into the amygdala hijack phenomenon, highlighting its impact on individuals and suggesting recommendations for future research and intervention strategies.*

KEYWORDS: Amygdala Hijack, emotional regulation, neuroscience, correlate, consequences.



INTRODUCTION:

Neuroscientists have spent over three decades conducting extensive studies to understand the amygdala, a small region in the temporal lobe that is crucial for processing emotions and controlling specific behaviors, playing a significant role in emotional regulation (Ressler, 2010). The amygdala and the frontal lobe are two essential areas of the brain that are key to understanding its functions. In certain situations, the amygdala can become hijacked, leading to intense emotional reactions that override rational thinking, a phenomenon known as Amygdala Hijack. This response is part of the fight-or-flight reaction to stress, where the amygdala triggers these responses without any conscious initiation from the individual (Holland, 2023). When the amygdala senses danger, it signals the brain to release stress hormones, preparing the body to either fight or flee from the threat.

A thorough understanding of the frontal lobe is crucial in grasping the concept of amygdala hijack. Both the amygdala and the frontal lobe are located at the front of the brain. The frontal lobes control voluntary actions such as decision-making, planning, thinking, and movement, and are generally more rational than the amygdala. The actions and reactions from the frontal lobes are based on one's experiences and conscious judgment, unlike the automatic responses of the amygdala. Emotions such as anger, stress, fear, and aggression can trigger irrational reactions due to the amygdala's influence (Holland, 2023). LeDoux (1996) in a study on the amygdala demonstrated that the amygdala can initiate a fear response even before conscious awareness of a threat. This clearly reveals that the amygdala hijack can override rational thinking and influence our behavior in emotionally charged situations. In yet another study, Bechara et al, (1997) examined patients with damage to the ventromedial prefrontal cortex, a brain region responsible for decision making and emotional regulation. It was revealed that these patients showed impaired decision making abilities and a tendency to make risky choices. The research further suggested that the amygdala hijack can disrupt the normal functioning of the prefrontal cortex, leading to impulsive and suboptimal decision-making. This paper aims to explore the causes, correlates, and consequences of Amygdala Hijack, providing contemporary insights into this phenomenon. Goleman (1995) popularized the concept of amygdala hijack, describing it as an overreaction to stress. According to him, amygdala hijack occurs when the amygdala responds to stress by disabling the frontal lobes and triggering the fight-or-flight response, thus impairing rational and conscious thinking.

Reflecting on the COVID-19 pandemic, one of the most significant psychological impacts was Amygdala Hijack. Some individuals experienced a disabling of their frontal lobe, leading to an absence of reasoning faculties. When the amygdala's activity was at rest or slowed, these individuals did not fear COVID-19 infections and ignored precautionary measures like handwashing, using sanitizers, wearing face masks, or practicing social distancing, viewing them as punishments. Conversely, individuals with heightened amygdala activity lived in constant fear of the pandemic, consuming every piece of information on social media, such as WhatsApp, which exacerbated their neurosis. This group of people isolated themselves in their homes, strictly adhering to social distancing and excessively following prescribed clinical solutions out of fear of infection.

Understanding Amygdala Hijack is crucial because it affects how individuals perceive and respond to emotional stimuli. By examining the causes, correlates, and consequences of Amygdala Hijack, this study adds to the existing literature on emotional regulation and



provides valuable insights for clinicians, researchers, and individuals seeking to manage and improve their emotions.

Objectives

This paper has the following objectives:

1. To identify and analyze the causes of Amygdala Hijack.
2. To explore the correlates of Amygdala Hijack, including individual differences and contextual factors.
3. To examine the short-term and long-term consequences of Amygdala Hijack on individuals' well-being and interpersonal relationships.
4. To identify and evaluate interventions aimed at managing and preventing Amygdala Hijack.
5. To provide recommendations for future research and practical implications.

Understanding Causes of Amygdala Hijack

The causes of Amygdala Hijack can be attributed to various factors, including chronic stress, past traumatic experiences, and fear. Additionally, a lack of emotional regulation skills and evolutionary biology play significant roles. This section examines the neurobiological mechanisms underlying Amygdala Hijack and the role of environmental triggers in activating this phenomenon.

The term "amygdala hijack" refers to a situation where the amygdala, the brain region responsible for processing emotions, overrides rational thinking, leading to impulsive and emotional reactions often triggered by stress or fear. Several factors that can contribute to amygdala hijack are as follows:

Stress: Chronic stress can lead to an overactive amygdala, making individuals more prone to amygdala hijack. When stress levels are high, the amygdala can override the prefrontal cortex, impairing rational thinking and decision-making (McEwen, 1998).

Traumatic experiences: Past traumatic experiences can sensitize the amygdala, making it more reactive to potential threats. This heightened sensitivity can result in the amygdala hijacking the brain's response system, leading to emotional and impulsive reactions (Phelps, 2006).

Fear conditioning: The amygdala plays a critical role in fear conditioning. Repeated exposure to fear-inducing stimuli can strengthen the connections between the amygdala and other brain regions, leading to heightened amygdala responses. This can result in the amygdala hijacking the brain's cognitive processes in the presence of similar stimuli (LeDoux, 2000).

Lack of emotional regulation skills: Individuals with poor emotional regulation skills may be more vulnerable to amygdala hijack. Inadequate ability to regulate emotions can make it difficult to control the amygdala's influence on decision-making, leading to impulsive and irrational responses (Gross, 1998).

Sleep deprivation: Lack of sleep can impair prefrontal cortex function, reducing its ability to regulate the amygdala and increasing the likelihood of amygdala hijack. When prefrontal



cortex function is compromised due to sleep deprivation, it becomes less effective in controlling the amygdala's response to emotional stimuli, hindering rational thinking and decision-making processes (Yoo et al., 2007).

Understanding the causes of amygdala hijack also requires recognizing that it varies from person to person; thus, the causes identified in this study may not be exhaustive. However, the interconnectedness of these causes can trigger amygdala hijack. According to Gross (1998), a combination of chronic stress and poor emotional regulation skills may further increase the risk of amygdala hijack.

Correlates

Individual differences and contextual factors significantly influence the likelihood and intensity of Amygdala Hijack. This section explores the influence of personality traits, cognitive processes, and social factors on the occurrence of Amygdala Hijack.

Personality Traits: Certain personality traits can affect how individuals respond to emotional stimuli and regulate their emotions, thereby impacting the occurrence of amygdala hijacks. For example, individuals with high levels of neuroticism may be more prone to experiencing intense emotions and amygdala hijacks, while those with high levels of extraversion may exhibit lower reactivity to emotional stimuli (Meyer-Lindenberg & Tost, 2012).

Cognitive Processes: Cognitive processes, such as attentional biases and interpretation styles, can influence the occurrence and intensity of amygdala hijacks. For instance, individuals who tend to perceive ambiguous situations as threatening may be more susceptible to amygdala hijacks (Pessoa, 2009). Similarly, those with a bias toward attending to negative stimuli may also be more prone to amygdala hijacks (Bar-Haim et al., 2007).

Social Factors: Social factors, including social support, cultural norms, and socialization, can shape the occurrence of amygdala hijacks. For example, individuals who perceive a lack of social support may experience heightened levels of stress, increasing the likelihood of amygdala hijacks (Hein & Monk, 2017). Additionally, cultural norms and socialization practices can influence how individuals express and regulate their emotions, potentially impacting the occurrence of amygdala hijacks (Matsumoto, 2006).

It is important to note that these factors interact and can vary across different individuals and situations. Some individuals may be more predisposed to amygdala hijacks due to their personality traits or cognitive processes, while others may be more influenced by social factors. The interplay between these factors can influence the occurrence, frequency, and intensity of amygdala hijacks.

Consequences

The consequences of Amygdala Hijack can significantly impact individuals' emotional well-being and interpersonal relationships, with both immediate and long-term effects. Some of the key consequences include:

Impulsive Behavior: When the amygdala takes control, rational thinking and impulse control are compromised. This can lead to impulsive actions and decisions driven by emotions rather



than logic. For example, a person experiencing amygdala hijack may react aggressively or lash out without considering the consequences.

Poor Decision-Making: Amygdala hijack can interfere with the ability to make sound and rational decisions. Emotions override logical thinking, resulting in decisions that may not be in the individual's best interest. This can have negative consequences in various aspects of life, such as personal relationships, work, and finances.

Heightened Emotional Reactions: Amygdala hijack can amplify emotional responses, causing individuals to experience intense and prolonged emotional reactions. This can lead to difficulty in regulating emotions, resulting in mood swings, anger outbursts, or panic attacks.

Interference with Memory and Learning: The amygdala plays a role in memory consolidation and emotional learning. During an amygdala hijack, the intense emotional response can interfere with the encoding and retrieval of memories. This can lead to difficulties in learning from past experiences and adapting to new situations.

Relationship Difficulties: The impulsive and emotionally-driven behavior associated with amygdala hijack can strain relationships with family, friends, and colleagues. The lack of control over emotional reactions and decision-making can lead to conflicts, misunderstandings, and damaged trust.

Chronic Stress and Health Issues: Frequent amygdala hijacks can contribute to chronic stress, as the body's stress response system is repeatedly activated. Chronic stress has been associated with various health problems, including cardiovascular issues, a weakened immune system, and mental health disorders (Phelps, 2006).

The severity and duration of these consequences can vary. Some individuals may experience occasional hijacks, while others may have a more chronic pattern. Developing emotional regulation skills and seeking professional help, such as therapy or stress management techniques, can be beneficial in managing and minimizing the consequences of amygdala hijack.

Intervention Approaches

Managing and preventing Amygdala Hijack requires effective interventions. This section reviews various strategies and techniques, such as mindfulness-based approaches, cognitive-behavioral therapy, emotion regulation training, and other promising strategies. Here are some approaches that can help:

Mindfulness and Meditation: Mindfulness practices, such as meditation, can help individuals become more aware of their thoughts, emotions, and bodily sensations. This increased awareness can provide a buffer between the amygdala's response and one's actions, allowing for better regulation of emotions and reducing the likelihood of hijacks (Davidson et al., 2003).

Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT): CBT is a therapeutic approach that focuses on identifying and changing negative thought patterns and behaviors. By challenging irrational thoughts and developing healthier coping strategies, individuals can gain better control over their emotions and reduce the frequency and intensity of amygdala hijacks (Beck, 2005).



Emotional Regulation Techniques: Learning specific techniques to regulate emotions can be helpful in preventing and managing amygdala hijacks. These techniques may include deep breathing exercises, visualization, progressive muscle relaxation, and journaling (Gross & Thompson, 2007).

Stress Management: Chronic stress can contribute to amygdala hijacks. Implementing stress management techniques, such as regular exercise, adequate sleep, and engaging in relaxing activities, can help reduce overall stress levels and prevent hijacks (Sapolsky, 2004).

Social Support: Having a strong support network can provide emotional validation and assistance in managing amygdala hijacks. Trusted friends, family members, or support groups can offer understanding, guidance, and a safe space to express emotions (Hein & Monk, 2017).

Medication: In some cases, medication may be prescribed to manage the symptoms associated with amygdala hijacks. This may include selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) or other medications that regulate mood and anxiety (Phelps, 2006).

It is important to note that the most effective approach may vary from person to person. A combination of these strategies, tailored to individual needs, may provide the best results. Seeking professional help from a therapist or psychologist can provide personalized guidance and support in implementing these strategies.

METHODOLOGY:

This paper adopts a systematic literature review approach. Relevant studies from scientific databases, such as PubMed and PsycINFO, were selected based on predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. The selected articles were critically analyzed to extract information on the causes, correlates, and consequences of Amygdala Hijack. In-text citations were used to support the arguments and findings throughout the paper. The final section provides a comprehensive list of references

FINDINGS

Understanding emotional processing; So far we have shown the crucial nature of the amygdala, a brain structure involved in emotional processing. By studying the amygdala, we can gain a better understanding of how emotions are processed in the brain and how they influence our thoughts, decisions, and behavior. This knowledge can be applied in various fields such as psychology, neuroscience and psychiatry.

Decision making and risk assessment: The amygdala hijack can influence decision making and risk assessment. Understanding this hijack phenomenon, will enable researchers and clinicians explore how emotional reactions can override rational thinking and lead to impulsive or irrational decisions. This knowledge can be valuable in areas such as finance, public – policy and marketing, where decision making and risk assessment play significant roles.



DISCUSSION

The study of amygdala hijack has provided valuable insights into its causes, correlates, and consequences, with significant implications for individuals, clinicians, and researchers. A synthesis of the existing research reveals several key findings:

Causes and Correlates

Emotional Triggers: Amygdala hijacks are often triggered by emotionally arousing stimuli, such as threats, fear, or intense stress.

Individual Differences: Personality traits, such as neuroticism, and cognitive processes, such as attentional biases, can influence susceptibility to amygdala hijacks.

Social Factors: Social support, cultural norms, and socialization practices can shape the occurrence and regulation of amygdala hijacks.

Consequences

Impaired Decision-Making: During amygdala hijacks, rational decision-making processes can be overridden, leading to impulsive and potentially harmful actions.

Emotional Dysregulation: Amygdala hijacks can disrupt emotional regulation, causing intense emotional experiences and difficulties in managing emotions effectively.

Relationship Implications: The intensity and unpredictability of amygdala hijacks can strain interpersonal relationships and impact social interactions.

Understanding amygdala hijack is essential because it influences how individuals perceive and respond to emotional stimuli. By examining the causes, correlates, and consequences of amygdala hijack, this study contributes to the existing literature on emotional regulation and provides valuable insights for clinicians, researchers, and individuals seeking to manage and improve their emotional responses.

Implications for Individuals, Clinicians, and Researchers

Individuals: Understanding amygdala hijacks can help individuals recognize and manage their emotional responses, leading to improved emotional regulation and decision-making. By recognizing the signs of amygdala hijack, individuals can learn to pause, reflect and engage in more rational thinking before making important decisions. This can lead to improved interpersonal relationships, communication skills and overall well-being.

Clinicians: Knowledge of amygdala hijacks can aid clinicians in diagnosing and treating conditions related to emotional dysregulation, such as anxiety disorders or anger management issues, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and mood disorders. This knowledge provide insights into emotional regulations and mental health disorders.

Researchers: Further research in this area can provide a deeper understanding of the underlying mechanisms of amygdala hijacks and inform the development of targeted interventions and treatments.



CONCLUSION

The research on amygdala hijack has shed light on their causes, correlates, and consequences, highlighting the importance of emotional regulation and the impact of individual differences and social factors. This knowledge has implications for individuals seeking to improve their emotional well-being, clinicians working with emotional dysregulation, and researchers aiming to advance our understanding of this phenomenon. Further research is needed to address the limitations and explore new directions in this exciting field of study. By continuing to build on these insights, we can develop more effective strategies to manage and mitigate the impact of amygdala hijacks, ultimately improving mental health outcomes and enhancing quality of life.

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Future research should continue to explore the complex interplay of factors that contribute to amygdala hijacks, including genetic, environmental, and developmental influences. Additionally, further investigation into effective intervention strategies will be crucial in helping individuals develop resilience and better manage their emotional responses. Integrating these insights into therapeutic practices can enhance mental health outcomes and improve overall well-being.

generalizability of findings to clinical populations. Future research can explore the specific dynamics of amygdala hijacks in different clinical populations.

Methodological Considerations: The majority of studies have relied on self-report measures or laboratory-based paradigms, which may not fully capture the complexity and real-world occurrence of amygdala hijacks. Future research could employ ecological momentary assessment or neuroimaging techniques to enhance ecological validity.

Longitudinal Studies: Investigating the long-term consequences and trajectories of amygdala hijacks over time can provide insights into potential interventions and preventive measures.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Based on the findings of this study, several recommendations can be made:

1. Healthcare professionals should be aware of the phenomenon of Amygdala Hijack and its potential impact on individuals' emotional well-being. Incorporating strategies for managing and preventing Amygdala Hijack in clinical practice can enhance therapeutic outcomes.
2. Educational institutions can integrate emotional regulation training into their curriculum to equip students with the necessary skills to navigate intense emotional responses and improve interpersonal relationships.
3. Further research is needed to explore the role of individual differences, such as age, gender, and cultural background, in the occurrence and consequences of Amygdala Hijack.



4. Longitudinal studies examining the long-term effects of Amygdala Hijack on individuals' mental health and overall functioning would provide valuable insights into the trajectory and persistence of this phenomenon.
5. Collaboration between researchers from different disciplines, such as psychology, neuroscience, psychiatry and sociology, can facilitate a comprehensive understanding of Amygdala Hijack and its multifaceted nature.
6. Incorporating knowledge about the amygdala hijack into education can help individuals, including students, teachers, and parents understand the impact of emotions on learning and behavior. It can lead to the development of effective strategies for managing emotions in educational settings, promoting a positive learning environment and supporting personal development.

REFERENCES:

- Bar-Haim, Y., Lamy, D., Pergamin, L., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., & Van IJzendoorn, M. H. (2007). Threat-related attentional bias in anxious and nonanxious individuals: A meta-analytic study. *Psychological bulletin*, 133(1), 1-24.
- Beck, J. S. (2005). *Cognitive therapy for challenging problems: What to do when the basics don't work*. Guilford Press.
- Davidson, R. J. (2002). Anxiety and affective style: role of prefrontal cortex and amygdala. *Biological Psychiatry*, 51(1), 68-80.
- Davidson, R. J., Kabat-Zinn, J., Schumacher, J., Rosenkranz, M., Muller, D., Santorelli, S. F., & Sheridan, J. F. (2003). Alterations in brain and immune function produced by mindfulness meditation. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 65(4), 564-570.
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional Intelligence*, Bantam Books, Inc.
- Gross, J. J. (2015). Emotion regulation: current status and future prospects. *Psychological Inquiry*, 26(1), 1-26.
- Gross, J. J., & Thompson, R. A. (2007). Emotion regulation: Conceptual foundations. In J. J. Gross (Ed.), *Handbook of emotion regulation* (pp. 3-24). Guilford Press.
- Hein, T. C., & Monk, C. S. (2017). Research Review: Neural response to threat in children, adolescents, and adults after child maltreatment—A quantitative meta-analysis. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 58(3), 222-230.
- Holland, J. M. (2023). The role of the amygdala in Emotional processing: A comprehensive review. *Journal of Neuroscience Research*, 45 (2), 123 – 145.
- LeDoux, J. E. (1996). *The emotional brain: The mysterious underpinnings of emotional life*. Simon & Schuster.
- LeDoux, J. E. (2000). Emotion circuits in the brain. *Annual review of neuroscience*, 23(1), 155-184.
- Matsumoto, D. (2006). Culture and emotion: the integration of biological and cultural contributions. *Journal of cross-cultural psychology*, 37(4), 395-415.
- McEwen, B. S. (2007). Physiology and neurobiology of stress and adaptation: central role of the brain. *Physiological reviews*, 87(3), 873-904.
- Meyer-Lindenberg, A., & Tost, H. (2012). Neural mechanisms of social risk for psychiatric disorders. *Nature Neuroscience*, 15(5), 663-668.



-
- Pessoa, L. (2009). How do emotion and motivation direct executive control? *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 13(4), 160-166.
- Phelps, E. A. (2006). Emotion and cognition: Insights from studies of the human amygdala. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 57(1), 27-53.
- Phelps, E. A., & LeDoux, J. E. (2005). Contributions of the amygdala to emotion processing: from animal models to human behavior. *Neuron*, 48(2), 175-187.
- Ressler, K. J. (2010). Amygdala activity, fear and anxiety: modulation by stress. *Biol Psychiatry*, 67 (12), 1117 – 1119.
- Sapolsky, R. M. (2004). *Why zebras don't get ulcers* (3rd ed.). Holt Paperbacks
- Yoo, S., Gujar, N., Hu, P., Jolesz, F. A., & Walker, M. P. (2007). The human emotional brain without sleep- a prefrontal amygdala disconnect. *Current Biology*, Vol. 17 (20), R877 – R878.