



## TWITTER AND FAKE NEWS: IMPLICATIONS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY IN NIGERIA

**Nonye Benedeth Ezeaka (Ph.D.)**

Department of Mass Communication, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University,  
Igbariam Campus Anambra State Nigeria.

Email: [ezeakanonye79@gmail.com](mailto:ezeakanonye79@gmail.com); Tel.: 08037711374

### Cite this article:

Ezeaka, N. B. (2024), Twitter and Fake News: Implications for National Security in Nigeria. African Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Research 7(4), 375-387. DOI: 10.52589/AJSSHR-VZGHQCCB

### Manuscript History

Received: 18 Sep 2024

Accepted: 19 Nov 2024

Published: 2 Dec 2024

### Copyright © 2024 The Author(s).

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits anyone to share, use, reproduce and redistribute in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**ABSTRACT:** *The emergence of new social media platforms such as Twitter has revolutionized the means of communication and dissemination, providing unprecedented networking avenues for immediate news spread. But this ease has also increased the spread of fake news which is a concern faced by many nations including Nigeria. Fake news simply deceives, suppresses facts relevant to political life or spreads information intended only to harm, having a direct impact on national security. For Nigeria, a country rich in ethnic, religious and political prejudice, the effects of fake news can go as far as inciting violence, destroying the social fabric or even causing instability. This study investigated the prevalence, characteristics and consequences of fake news on Twitter during critical events in Nigeria, using a qualitative methodology to understand how false information is spread and what potential threat it poses to national security. The paper uses secondary data from the extant literature and Twitter-Archive for investigating how fake news spreads, consequently shaping public opinion, damaging social solidarity and threatening national security. It lists the top drivers of misinformation in Nigeria – including poor media literacy, political divisiveness and over-dependence on social media as sources of news. It also puts forward solutions to address the risks posed by this kind of content on Twitter, including government legislation, fact-checking mechanisms, media literacy initiatives and consumer take-backs. The study contributes to a deeper understanding of the complex relationship between Twitter, fake news, and national security in Nigeria, highlighting the urgent need for proactive measures to protect the country's stability and well-being.*

**KEYWORDS:** Fake news, Twitter, National security.



## INTRODUCTION

With the introduction of social media, information has largely been transformed into a global state. On the other hand, Social Media platforms now provide consumers an immediate means to access information without dependence on traditional gatekeepers such as news outlets. To be disconnected from information is to cease to exist (Enemuo, Ezeanyi & Ezeaka, 2019). Twitter is unique among these platforms because of its real-time and short-form nature. Twitter, with millions of users worldwide, is a medium used by individuals, organisations, and even governments to broadcast news, opinions and updates in real time. This immediacy has given Twitter a unique role in leading public discourse, even in countries as politically and socially volatile as Nigeria. Social media in general, specifically Twitter, as one of the biggest stakeholders, has become a place where hate speeches fester and spread extremely quickly at a large scale through an anonymous environment which possesses increasingly unpleasant outcomes on both communities and individuals (Zhen, 2024). In every sphere of human Endeavour, these platforms are used to propagate ideas, demarket negative behaviours, and share content (Nwodu, Ezeaka & Ezeali, 2022).

No doubt, Twitter in Nigeria has transformed as the central place to engage publicly—criticizing politicians, tweeting about national issues including politics, societal injustices and entertainment, etc. Trending tags like #EndSARS have revealed the significant role which Twitter has played in orchestrating broad social movements. But it has also proven to be a fertile territory for fake news alongside this positive usage. Increasingly, the ability of anyone to post anything they want (without review or fact-check) is leading to an information-free-for-all.

Fake news on Twitter often goes viral due to the platform's design, which encourages the rapid sharing of content. In a country as diverse as Nigeria, where ethnic, religious, and political divisions are already pronounced, the spread of false information can have severe consequences. Misinformation or disinformation can inflame tensions, create panic, or even spark violence. As Twitter plays an increasingly significant role in the national conversation, the challenge of curbing fake news becomes more critical to maintaining public order and national security.

Therefore, while Twitter becomes an essential communication tool, it somehow turns out to be a double-edged sword. Efforts to combat the spread of fake news via the platform remain a necessary evil if the country has to enjoy the benefits of real-time communication without losing national stability.

Fake news involves the creation or distribution of news with the express motive of misleading or sensationalizing information to deceive the public into believing it as real.

In this light, fake news becomes the tool used to manipulate public perception, escalate existing social tensions, and amplify divisions. Twitter has emerged as a potent platform for spreading misinformation due to its extensive reach and rapid dissemination capabilities. In Nigeria, with internet penetration growing exponentially and increasing dependence on social media as the primary news outlet, false information can spread uncurbed at alarming speed. One of the most dangerous implications of fake news in Nigeria is that it could contribute to increasing ethnic and religious conflict. Lying stories about communal violence, political conspiracies, or religious intolerance could lead community tensions to surge into clashes and even bloodshed. Examples include fake news about violent clashes between herdsmen and



farmers or allegations of electoral fraud that have gone viral on Twitter and added to the fray in otherwise volatile situations.

Additionally, during the #EndSARS protests against police brutality, fake news about government actions and protest activities escalated mistrust between citizens and state institutions, leading to chaos and further violence. Beyond the immediate social unrest, fake news also annihilates public confidence in Nigeria's democratic institutions and their machinery of governance. Where people are continuously subjected to untruthful information, they may be alienated with media and political leaders, which may affect their voting decisions. This would sufficiently break down the trust in public structures in a way that the legitimacy of the government would be undermined, making the people ungovernable and creating insecurity. Furthermore, this would weaponize fake news during elections because heinous claims about candidates or rigged outcomes can impact the tide of voters in favor of someone else and cause doubt about the political process.

Nigeria has already been a witness to numerous incidents where fake news spread on the internet came with real-world consequences. Be it a fabricated story of inter-communal violence or rumors of political assassinations, the results of misinformation are serious and far-reaching. These situations demonstrate how fake news can act as a catalyst for social unrest, destabilize national unity, and threaten Nigeria's national security. Because of this, the matter of tackling fake news does not relate only to the protection of public discourse, but also to the protection of the stability and security of the country. The rapid proliferation of fabricated information on systems like Twitter requires an equally focused, sustained response by the government, news organizations, civil society, and technology companies if devastating consequences from misinformation are to be averted.

### **Statement of Problem**

The surplus of fake news on all social media platforms, particularly Twitter, has of late assumed the dimension of a clear and present danger to the nation's security. The unregulated nature of information dissemination on Twitter allows the rapid spread of false and misleading content, which can inflame social tensions, distort public perception, and destabilize the nation's fragile political and ethnic balance.

It has a very serious ethnic, religious, and political divide within the populace, and it is, therefore, particularly prone to all the negative impacts that come with the existence and spread of fake news. Misinformation usually plays on these rifts to incite violence, lower confidence in institutions, and break down bonds of unity among a people. Such examples of fake news items are about elections, religion, and ethnic violence, which could provoke riots, loss of life, and widespread panic among the public. Moreover, in the event of disaster within the country, such as protests or security situations, this can make it worse since it is hard for the authorities to control the situation and retain order.

In the meantime, however, detailed actions have not been put in place to curtail the spread of fake news through Twitter and other social media in Nigeria. Free speech remains one of the cardinal pillars of democracy, but the speed with which the spread of fake news is taking place unchecked certainly presents a challenge unlike any other in both social cohesion and national security. This problem necessitates urgent attention, as the consequences of inaction could result in further destabilization and undermine Nigeria's efforts toward sustainable peace and development.



The present study, therefore, attempts to explore the concrete means by which fake news via Twitter influences the national security of Nigeria and hence provide effective solutions to contain this rapidly growing menace.

### **Fake News and Its Nature**

Fake news is a form of fabricated information masquerading as actual news, intentionally designed to deceive the audience and shape their perception and belief. This concept of news has taken a leap into the digital era, when social media platforms like Twitter, Facebook, and WhatsApp propel information with minimal regulation. These platforms have low barriers to entry, allowing virtually anyone to share content without a formal vetting process. As a result, the potential for misinformation to spread quickly and widely has become a pressing concern for societies worldwide (Tandoc, Lim & Ling, 2018).

The effects of fake news are most felt within Nigeria, a country made up of ethnic, religious, and political diversity. It is a country with over 250 ethnic groups, each with different religious affiliations, which rather easily turns any social landscape into a very complex one with many rooms for misunderstanding and further conflict. Pseudo-news tends to take advantage of that division and often distorts events and facts with the express purpose of eliciting an emotional response, further tearing society apart. Examples include fake news about violence or discrimination committed against certain groups, which might ignite tensions, possibly leading to riots or other forms of social unrest. For instance, false claims about violence or discrimination against particular groups can ignite tensions, leading to riots or other forms of social unrest. This situation is exacerbated in an environment where trust in traditional media sources is often low, and many citizens turn to social media for their news (Ibraheem, Ogwezzy-Ndisika & Ojebuyi, 2019).

Misinformation can create confusion, resulting in significant consequences for public safety and national stability. For instance, during elections, phony stories about candidates or their backers can shape public opinion and make a difference in how people vote—a factor that could undermine the electorates. Other than that, fake news within regions of conflict or security issues can further escalate tensions and impact peace-building processes. Reports of violence can lead to panic, causing communities to react defensively, sometimes resulting in real-world violence as individuals take matters into their own hands based on misleading information.

Thus, the dissemination of false news in Nigeria is not purely academic but a very practical problem that concerns the lives of ordinary Nigerians. It may polarize communities against one another, leading to suspicion and hostility between different ethnic or religious groups. Such dynamics undermine the processes of national unity and development; citizens are polarized, with little interest in constructive dialogue with "the other." The emotional resonance of fake news also leads to a displacement of factual reporting, and the truth cannot compete in the information melee.

In view of this, strategies to limit the spread of fake news need to be developed with active, diverse participation from government agencies, media organizations, and civil society. Media literacy training can also be one of the ways to let citizens critically evaluate online information. A combination of social media providers' efforts and those of domestic authorities could result in improved content moderation and fact-checking mechanisms against the spread of fake news.



Thus, the character of fake news, especially within the construct of Nigeria, represents a very real danger to social cohesion and national security. The dynamic interplay of mis/disinformation across ethnic, religious, and political fault lines portends an imperative for comprehensive strategies that would rein in the menace at hand.

Failure to meet the challenges presented by fake news could risk spiraling conflicts that might tear the social fabric and doom the prospects for democratic governance in Nigeria.

### **Twitter as a Medium for Information Dissemination**

Twitter has evolved into one of the strongest methods of information dissemination in real time; it should be used to empower users and enable them to further create and engage in national conversations and political activism at unprecedented rates. Through its format limitation of 280 characters per message, Twitter has become a way of effectively communicating brief messages for quick dissemination of ideas, news, and opinions. This is a place for expression and engagement, especially in a country like Nigeria, where information might not be widespread, or even traditional media is censored. Users share their views on any issue, debate, and mobilize support on social causes, making it a dynamic environment for civic engagement.

Perhaps one of the most striking examples of this was the #EndSARS movement, calling against police brutality and SARS in Nigeria.

This social outcry earned itself wide attention both locally and internationally, showing strengths in uniting voices across different demographics and amplifying calls for justice and reform. The hashtag then became a rallying point for Nigerians looking for ways to seek accountability and transparency as users shared their personal experiences, organized protests, and raised awareness about the excesses of law enforcement. In this respect, Twitter has demonstrated the ways in which the site can be used as a tool for activism and social change by giving a voice to marginalized persons in a society where more traditional avenues of protest may be stifled.

While Twitter was instrumental in facilitating political discourse and social movements in the country, the speed and lack of content verification on Twitter made it a fertile ground for the spread of fake news. Basically, the open structure allows virtually anybody to post information, irrespective of its accuracy. Misplaced or false claims are usually spread very fast and, in most cases, result in information chaos and misinterpretation. Where politics of a country are boiling hot and its citizens are relatively vibrant on social media, like in Nigeria, misinformation may arise with much intensity. For instance, at times when important events like elections take place, or there are civil unrest, fake news elevates tensions and deepens a split within society.

Reporting on violence, corruption, or even political conspiracies can spread in a blink of an eye and create panic among the public; sometimes, it even leads to real violence. Insecurity challenges in Nigeria dominate discussions in the social media (Nwodu, Ezeoke & Ezeaka, 2021). Sharing news without confirmation will lead people to stop trusting all legitimate sources of information and will make them easy targets for people with malicious intentions. In addition, misinformation has wider ramifications than just their specific instances; they may influence mass sentiment and thereby influence political results. For example, false news stories regarding election candidates or policies might create particular perceptions in voters and could affect their turnout. Therefore, the more people are exposed to conflicting information, the more their trust in institutions goes down, which may make one disenchanted





with the political process. This would push many toward apathy or disengagement in civic responsibilities, further weakening the very foundation on which democracy rests. There is a need, in view of these challenges, to make stakeholders develop elaborate policies, including government agencies, media, and civil society, in the fight against the spread of fake news through Twitter.

Programs that foster media literacy will help the citizens to evaluate every piece of information they come across on the web and differentiate it from credible sources of news and misinformation. Furthermore, collaborations between Twitter and local organizations to implement fact-checking measures and enhance content moderation can create a safer online environment for information dissemination.

In conclusion, while Twitter has provided a very powerful platform for the dissemination of information and political activism in Nigeria, at the same time, it poses daunting challenges through the uncontrolled spread of fake news. The simple duality of the platform underlines that any approach to misinformation and its impact needs to be proactive. It would go a long way in realizing Twitter's potential as an agent for good, with limited risks to the national discourse and social cohesion, by inculcating a culture of critical thinking and cooperation from all stakeholders.

### **National Security and Misinformation**

National security is that sphere of activity concerned with the protection of citizens, institutions, and interests from any form of threat. One significant threat to national security in contemporary society is misinformation, which can undermine public trust in government institutions, incite violence, and destabilize social cohesion (Allcott & Gentzkow, 2017). As such, it affects the very foundation of democratic regulation wherein the citizenry is polarized and has less belief in the rule of law. News of questionable credibility erodes the confidence that everyone has of all news sources, both legitimate and illegitimate (Srishti, Mala & Anil, 2022).

In the relatively diverse and socially complex country of Nigeria, this particular effect is surely felt. The country has deeply entrenched social, ethnic, and political cleavages, which the unchecked, rampant spread of fake news can easily heighten. For instance, during periods of electoral contention, misleading narratives can manipulate public perception of candidates or policies, potentially inciting unrest among rival factions (Adesina, 2017). But in a multi-ethnic society like Nigeria, where so many different groups might feel historically marginalized or disenfranchised, misinformation can be remarkably evocative of violence and conflict and deepen entrenched divides.

Misinformation in Nigeria, as seen with the protests #EndSARS to challenge police brutality and demand systemic reform. During this period, misinformation on social media seriously heightened the situation between the people and the government. A number of rumors appeared on social media that caused a number of misunderstandings among the people and actually kept them on their toes. Misinformation sparked violence during protests and troubled relations between the government and the citizenry, further weakening trust in public institutions. Where citizens started distrusting every word that was emerging from officialdom, it virtually set the stage ready for conflagration in which every action of the authorities was misconstrued, perpetrating further unrest.



Misinformation not only fueled the chaos during the protests but also strained relationships between the government and its citizens, undermining trust in public institutions (Chiluwa & Ifukor, 2015). The erosion of institutional trust results in apathy on the part of the public concerning governance and civic engagement, and thus becomes a vicious circle. When citizens no longer believe in the effectiveness or integrity of their government, they may resort to alternative means to get their voices heard through protests or civil disobedience that can further destabilize society. In extreme cases, this may lead to the rise of vigilante groups or militant organizations that exploit societal grievances and misinformation to justify their actions, thereby posing a direct threat to national security. Moreover, misinformation can significantly complicate crisis management efforts.

During emergencies, including health crises and natural disasters, the rapid dissemination of false information hence frustrates public health responses and emergency management. In Nigeria, misinformation concerning health problems like the COVID-19 pandemic characteristically brought confusion, leading to the breaking of health guidelines by the people of the land and creating panic among the populace, thereby worsening the crisis. The inability of authorities to effectively communicate accurate information can undermine their credibility and create a vacuum in which misinformation thrives. Therefore, in mitigating such risks of misinformation, Nigerian stakeholders—from government agencies to civil society organizations must adopt a multi-faceted approach. This will include a promotion of media literacy among the populace for empowering citizens to make critical assessments of what they read. Education is available to support, teach, and nurture critical thinking skills that recognize the fallacy of many false narratives. Furthermore, collaboration with social media platforms to implement robust fact-checking mechanisms can significantly reduce the spread of misinformation.

In conclusion, the intersection of national security and misinformation presents a profound challenge for Nigeria. The spread of misinformation can incite violence, besides causing destabilization of society, and it erodes trust, which is so essential for good governance. In the digital era, misinformation thrives day in and day out.

A response from its actors is hereby called for to ensure a well-informed and resilient society by recognizing its implications. The country would be able to address vital areas such as national security and social coexistence in a manner that is more cohesive and stable with effective ways that Nigeria finds to deal with the challenges that emanate from misinformation.

### **Framing Theory**

Framing Theory is a communication theory that centers on how information is presented, emphasizing context as the important factor in shaping perceptions and interpretations of events. It postulates that the ways in which media and communicators frame issues influence how audiences will understand and respond to those issues. The bottom line herein is that different presentations of the same issue can yield different interpretations and reactions, and this action has consequences. Framing Theory has its roots in the works of sociologists like Erving Goffman, who, in his book "Frame Analysis" (1974), explored how individuals and groups interpret social events through the frames that structure their experiences. Later, scholars such as Robert Entman (1993) refined the concept, defining framing as the selection and emphasis of certain aspects of an issue to promote a particular problem definition, causal interpretation, moral evaluation, or treatment recommendation.



Framing Theory has its underpinning in the works of sociologists like Erving Goffman, who, in his "Frame Analysis" work, 1974, studied how persons and groups interpret social events through the frames that structure their experience. This he further developed with other scholars like Robert Later; scholars such as Robert Entman (1993) modified the concept, defining framing as the selection and emphasis of certain aspects of an issue to promote a particular problem definition, causal interpretation, moral evaluation, or treatment recommendation.

That is why framing theory means so much in relation to misinformation; such a theory helps in understanding how false information is framed and relayed in the case of social media, where information can be spread with incredible rapidity without being verified.

The framing theory is therefore especially important in Nigeria, where ethnic, religious, and political tensions are at their peak, with misinformation fuelling conflict and national security. Framing analyses can be used to analyze the frames issued in fake news in order to obtain the following results.

### **Implications of Fake News for National Security in Nigeria**

The involvement of fake news in Nigeria's national security is immense and multi-dimensional, considering the numerical strength of ethnic, religious, and political groups in Nigeria. The following are some of the key implications that are specific to Nigeria:

#### **1. Erosion of Public Trust in Institutions**

Proliferation of this kind of news seriously erodes public trust in institutions of government, the police, and the military. In situations where misinformation about government activities or security operations is rampant, it would tend to make them question the motives and efficiency of institutions. For example, false narratives surrounding military operations against insurgent groups may result in public doubt about their legitimacy and objectives.

#### **2. Incitement of Violence and Social Unrest**

The interplay between ethnic and religious groups in Nigeria is quite complex. At best, fake news leverages sociocultural and religious fault lines to incite violence and communal clashes. Misinformation over land disputes, religious ills, or even political affiliations can further heighten feelings of marginalization and injustices, setting the stage for a violent confrontation. For instance, during the 2020 #EndSARS protests, the spread of false information about police brutality significantly heightened tensions and led to violence in various cities.

#### **3. Manipulation of Political Processes**

This is a situation whereby fake news may become important in shaping electoral processes. The dissemination of false information about candidates, processes of voting, or even the electoral law is likely to mislead the electorate into making certain choices. There was a very high level of misinformation that characterized the general elections in Nigeria in 2019, in which many campaigns were targeted at deconstructing candidates and shaping voter perception.

This further polarizes the political differences among people, thereby increasing hostility among the different political groups.





#### **4. Threats to Public Safety and Health**

During public health crises, like the COVID-19 pandemic, dissemination of fake news on treatments, prevention measures, or origination of viruses can pose risks to public health initiatives. The false narratives result in disobedience to health directives that worsen the crisis and ultimately cause the loss of lives. If fake news is challenging, the communication by public health authorities cannot be effective in communicating the right information.

#### **5. Emergence of Vigilantism and Non-State Actors**

Where there is poor or mistrusted governance, vigilantism can be expected to appear, often based on misinformation. In this way, community protection against perceived threats could be expected by organising groups, leading to further violence and instability. Wherever there is insurgency, the people have been known to take the law into their own hands because of lack of confidence in the state's security apparatus. The emergence of such non-state actors causes erosion of law and order, thereby making governance difficult, and denting the authority of a state.

#### **6. Impact on National Cohesion and Unity:**

Misinformation brews an attitude of separatism among the pluralistic environment in Nigeria. Fake news, through stereotyping and fostering animosity among groups, creates fractures in social cohesion and national unity that could further lead to fragmented societies. Social and political instability resulting from misinformation drastically threatens national development. Economic development will be shortened in instances where public safety is threatened.

The implications of fake news to national security in Nigeria are serious and need immediate attention. All these challenges that come with misinformation require multi-layered approaches through enhancing media literacy, strengthening institutions, ensuring accurate reporting, and inter-group dialogue. Addressing the roots and results of fake news will bring Nigeria closer to a more secure, cohesive, resilient society. This is the best practice to secure the nation's security and ensure its development in the shadow of challenges undergoing continuous evolution.

#### **Combating Fake News on Twitter in Nigeria**

In fact, Twitter fake news is gradually becoming a nightmare to public discourse and a snag in Nigeria's national security. Basically, taming the spread of fake news requires many aspects: government agencies, social media platforms, civil society organizations, and users themselves. This paper now provides several ways of combating fake news on Twitter in Nigeria, including but not limited to the following:

##### **1. Enhancing Media Literacy**

The education in media literacy programs will enable the users to critically assess the information they get through the internet. There can be workshops, webinars, and school programs where ways of distinguishing credible sources from unreliable ones are taught to the citizens. Governments and NGOs can initiate campaigns aimed at sensitizing people regarding the perils of fake news and methods whereby one may recognize misinformation. These messages need to remind people of critical thinking and fact-checking before dissemination.



## **2. Strengthening Verification Processes**

Collaboration with independent fact-checking organizations will ensure that accurate information on trending topics reaches the users. It can be designed in such a way that the system flags off potentially misleading content and redirects the user to fact-checked information. Getting professional journalists and media organizations involved in fighting misinformation will lend greater credibility. Media houses can assist Twitter by providing timely updates of correct news stories.

## **3. Leveraging Technology**

These include Twitter refining its algorithms to show credible information and reducing the reach of fake news. This would include the use of machine learning techniques in the detection of patterns related to misinformation. Improvement in the User Reporting Mechanism has allowed users to flag contents which they think are fake or misleading. It is still important that the community be actively able to get involved in helping to combat misinformation.

## **4. Promoting Responsible Use of Social Media**

Influential leaders, celebrities, and public figures also help in passing on the right information. They should take advantage of their platforms to support responsible sharing of information and how news verification must be done before posting anything. Campaigns should be there that encourage users to think before sharing the content. This could be through the creation of hashtags like #ThinkBeforeYouTweet, which reminds users to verify the information before sharing.

## **5. Government Regulation and Policy**

The government of Nigeria can, therefore, formulate policies that precisely deal with the issue of fake news and misinformation on social media. This can be through setting guidelines that social media platforms should follow, penalties for persons that spread false information out of malice, among others. It can work with Twitter and other platforms to ensure they follow guidelines to help this desire for transparency and accountability in content moderation.

## **6. Community Engagement and Dialogue**

Encouraging open dialogue within the communities can help to unravel some of the more deep-seated issues that contribute to the creation and dissemination of misinformation. Community forums, town halls, and social media discussions are just a few avenues where airing grievances and myth-busting can take place. For the appeal of fake news to be solved, there needs to be an uptick in the level of confidence vested in public institutions through transparency and accountability. If citizens feel that their government and media will give them the right information, then they will be less likely to seek out information from unverified sources.

## **7. Monitoring and Evaluation**

Continuous monitoring of the trends in misinformation on Twitter will not only help to identify emerging issues but also inform appropriate targeted interventions. This may include collaboration with research institutions focusing on analyzing the spread of misinformation. Evaluation of the various strategies pursued will always be useful to direct further work on



combating fake news. Mechanisms for feedback should be created in order to understand user experiences with anti-fake news initiatives.

## CONCLUSION

The pervasive issue of fake news spread on Twitter represents one of the major perils to national security and societal stability in Nigeria. As misinformation festers on this influential platform, it contributes to decreased institutional trust from the general populace, perpetuates violence, and heightens cleavages in society. Such effects are most devastating in a multi-ethnic, multi-religious, and multi-political environment such as Nigeria. To fight this, there has to be a multi-pronged approach in fighting off the spread of fake news. Increasing media literacy among people will make them aware of the information they receive and how not to forward items that are unverified. Interoperability will be very key between social media platforms, government agencies, and civil society organizations in the creation of robust processes for verification and technological solutions that ensure credible information is top of the cream.

In addition, developing a culture of responsible use of social media and encouraging community involvement could go a long way to cushion the impact of misinformation. As the citizens increasingly show more discretion in consuming information, society's resilience will continue to be further strengthened in light of the threats posed by the prevalence of fake news.

Ultimately, finding a solution to the scourge of fake news on Twitter in Nigeria is not only critical for national security but also for ensuring healthy public discourse in the country. In combating misinformation as an active process, Nigeria should try to achieve a cohesive, secure, and democratic society where people can understand the right information and meaningfully participate in the democratic process. The fight against fake news is a collective responsibility that requires continuous effort, collaboration, and commitment from all stakeholders involved.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

To effectively combat the spread of fake news on Twitter in Nigeria and mitigate its implications for national security, the following recommendations are proposed:

### 1. Enhance Media Literacy Programs

Create extensive media literacy programs at schools and within the community in order to give people the potential to critically assess sources of information—the process of elaboration of the curriculum on the identification of credible news, understanding biases, and evaluating the reliability of information.

### 2. Workshops and Training

These should be done through workshops and training for various categories of demographics (the youth, women, and rural populations) to raise awareness about the dangers of fake news and teach them how to navigate social media responsibly.



### 3. Strengthen Fact-Checking Mechanisms

Partner social media platforms with local fact-checking organizations to provide real-time authentication of trending news stories. It may also include the addition of on-site fact-checking facilities into Twitter to find and flag off pieces of information that are false. Social media platforms should also be more transparent about their content moderation policies and the standards they employ in determining misinformation. This transparency will help to build trust with users and encourage adherence to guidelines.

### 4. Leverage Technology for Monitoring

Invest in state-of-the-art technologies that utilize artificial intelligence and can flag potential fake news before it spreads. These tools can analyze patterns of misinformation and alert users to questionable content.

### 5. Government Policy and Regulation

The government of Nigeria should establish and implement policies that deal with the spread of fake news over social media. In such regulations, one who intentionally spreads information that is not true will be punished and be answerable to all people and organizations involved in spreading fake news. The government should liaise with Twitter and other social media to ensure that there are clear rules as far as how moderation is done on their platforms. Besides, the handling of misinformation should not contradict protecting free speech in eradicating such harmful content.

## REFERENCES

- Adesina, O. S. (2017). Foreign policy in an era of digital diplomacy. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 3(1), 1297175. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2017.1297175>
- Allcott, H., & Gentzkow, M. (2017). Social media and fake news in the 2016 election. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 31(2), 211–236. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.31.2.211>
- Chiluwa, I., & Ifukor, P. (2015). ‘War against our children’: Stance and evaluation in #BringBackOurGirls campaign discourse on Twitter and Facebook. *Discourse & Society*, 26(3), 267-296. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0957926514564735>
- Enemu, C.J., Ezeanyi, B.C. & Ezeaka, N.B.(2019). Extent of Information Communication Technology (ICT) Integration among Students in Tertiary Institutions in Anambra State. *International Journal of Education and Research* (7) 7 75-84
- Ibraheem, I. A., Ogwezzy-Ndisika, A., & Ojebuyi, B. R. (2019). The influence of fake news on public perception and national security in Nigeria. *Journal of African Media Studies*, 11(1), 77-90. [https://doi.org/10.1386/jams.11.1.77\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1386/jams.11.1.77_1)
- Lazer, D. M. J., Baum, M. A., Benkler, Y., Berinsky, A. J., Greenhill, K. M., Menczer, F., Metzger, M. J., Nyhan, B., Pennycook, G., Rothschild, D., Schudson, M., Sloman, S. A., Sunstein, C. R., Thorson, E. A., Watts, D. J., & Zittrain, J. L. (2018). The science of fake news. *Science*, 359(6380), 1094-1096. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao2998>
- Nwabueze, C. (2021). *Fake news and misinformation in Nigeria: Implications for national security and democracy*. *African Journal of Media and Information Studies*, 8(2), 45-63.
- Nwodu, G.B., Ezeoke, C.B. & Ezeaka, N.B (2021). Audience Perception of Social Media



- Messages on Security Challenges in the South East, Nigeria: Implication for Audience Gate Keeping. *World Journal of Innovative Research* 11 (2), 57-69
- Nwodu, G.E., Ezeaka, N.B & Ezeali, C. (2022). Social media and crisis of misinformation management in post covid era: towards a new model of health crisis communication. *Mass Media Review* 4 (1), 188-202.
- Ojebuyi, B. R., & Salawu, A. (2020). Misinformation on social media: Implications for political Stability in Nigeria. *Journal of Communication and Media Research*, 12(1), 123-136.
- Olapegba, P. O. (2020). Social media and political participation in Nigeria: A study of Twitter's role in #EndSARS protests. *Journal of Social and Political Studies*, 10(3), 45-58.
- Srishti, S., Mala, S. & Anil, K. (2022). Fake news detection on Twitter. *International Journal of Web Information*. Emerald Publishing Limited
- Tandoc, E. C., Lim, Z. W., & Ling, R. (2018). Defining "fake news": A typology of scholarly definitions. *Digital Journalism*, 6(2), 137-153.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2017.1360143>
- Zhen, L. (2024). Online Hate Speech on Twitter from the Perspective of Pragmatics. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Public Administration* (4) 1  
<https://wepub.org/index.php/IJSSPA/index>