



**MUSIC AS A CATALYST IN EARLY LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT:
A CONCEPTUAL PERSPECTIVE ON VOCAL TRAINING, LEARNING,
AND NEUROCOGNITIVE PROCESSES**

Ardita Meni

Faculty of Music and Interpretation Albania, University of Arts, Tirana.

Email: arditameni@gmail.com Tel.: +355 67 4805159

Cite this article:

Ardita Meni (2026), Music as a Catalyst in Early Language Development: A Conceptual Perspective on Vocal Training, Learning, and Neurocognitive Processes. British Journal of Education, Learning and Development Psychology 9(1), 105-116. DOI: 10.52589/BJELDP-35ZXLSUB

Manuscript History

Received: 14 Jan 2026

Accepted: 17 Feb 2026

Published: 9 Mar 2026

Copyright © 2026 The Author(s).

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits anyone to share, use, reproduce and redistribute in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT: *This article presents a conceptual review and theoretical synthesis of the relationship between music, language, and early childhood development. Early development unfolds through the interaction of perception, movement, social exchange, and culture; within this process, music and language operate as two highly structured auditory systems whose rhythmic, prosodic, and phonological regularities shape how children perceive, anticipate, and produce meaning. Drawing on research in cognitive neuroscience, developmental psychology, and music cognition, the article examines evidence that these domains rely on partially overlapping neural resources, particularly in temporal processing, prosodic organization, and aspects of syntactic integration. Using Patel's OPERA framework (Overlap, Precision, Emotion, Repetition, Attention) as its central interpretive lens, the article argues that structured vocal activities may be understood as a pedagogically organized context for supporting core language-related capacities in young children, including phonological awareness, prosodic sensitivity, articulatory control, and verbal fluency. Rather than presenting new experimental data, the study synthesizes existing theoretical and empirical literature in order to clarify how musically guided vocal practice can be aligned with linguistic objectives in early educational settings. The discussion also considers the contribution of music to emotional regulation, social attunement, and affective engagement, all of which influence communicative development in early childhood. The article concludes that when musical activities are intentionally mapped onto language-learning aims, they can be interpreted not merely as enrichment practices, but as structured, potentially accessible tools for supporting early language development within educational and developmental frameworks.*

KEYWORDS: Early childhood education; music and language; phonological awareness; prosody; vocal training; emotional intelligence.



INTRODUCTION

Early childhood development unfolds at the intersection of perception, movement, social interaction, and culture. Within this dense web, music and language emerge as two highly structured sound systems that rely on timing, accentuation, and pitch to construct meaning. When a child is rocked with a lullaby, claps in time with peers, or imitates a spoken phrase, overlapping auditory-motor mechanisms are recruited and refined in real time.

For much of the twentieth century, research and pedagogy tended to treat music and language as largely separate domains: music belonged to aesthetics, and language to literacy. The phrase “converging evidence” would benefit from one or two representative citations at this point to reinforce the claim and guide readers unfamiliar with the field. Shared mechanisms of temporal encoding, prosodic processing, and pitch discrimination appear to support both musical and linguistic functions.

This article situates itself precisely in that overlap. It adopts a multidisciplinary lens (drawing on developmental studies, neurocognitive research, musicology, and vocal pedagogy) to argue that structured vocal training can function as a deliberately designed “laboratory” for strengthening key language components in young learners: phonological awareness, prosodic sensitivity, articulatory precision, and verbal fluency. My perspective is not purely theoretical; it is continuously informed by long-term work with children’s voices in studio and classroom contexts, where changes in “how a child listens and speaks” are observed day after day alongside changes in how they sing.

Two central questions drive the inquiry:

1. To what extent, and through which mechanisms, do musical experiences (especially structured vocal ones) support early language components such as phonological awareness, prosody, articulation, and verbal fluency?
2. Conversely, can emerging linguistic skills reinforce the processing of musical structure (metric discrimination, intonational stability, and phrase cohesion), creating a genuinely bidirectional relationship between music and language?

To address these questions, the article uses Patel’s OPERA framework (Overlap, Precision, Emotion, Repetition, Attention) as its main theoretical scaffold. OPERA specifies conditions under which musical training is likely to transfer to speech processing.



LITERATURE / THEORETICAL UNDERPINNING

Music, language, and early cognitive development

Longitudinal and experimental research suggests that early exposure to organized sound is not a decorative “extra” but a formative condition for how auditory and cognitive systems develop. Regular engagement with lullabies, simple melodies, and steady rhythmic pulses has been associated with heightened sensitivity to pitch contours, durational groupings, and timbral contrasts, which in turn predict advantages in phonetic discrimination, prosodic parsing, and later vocabulary and reading skills (Hannon & Trainor, 2007; Kuhl, 2004; Trehub, 2013). Trehub (2013) notes that infants detect melodic, rhythmic, and expressive nuances both in music and in intonation patterns of speech, underlining that the two domains are different articulations of a shared auditory competence.

From the vantage point of vocal and classroom practice, these findings are very concrete. Children who have been sung to regularly or have participated in musical games before school age tend to lock onto tempo more easily, stabilize a phrase more quickly, and imitate intonation patterns with greater accuracy. Music training forces the nervous system to track pulses, anticipate beats, group events hierarchically, and notice small deviations in timing and pitch (Kraus & Chandrasekaran, 2010). Over months and years, this repeated work helps the child segment a continuous acoustic stream into meaningful units, motives, phrases, and accents—the same kind of segmentation that spoken language demands when it becomes fast, dense, and syntactically complex (Besson & Schön, 2001; Patel, 2008).

There is also emerging evidence that sustained music instruction can help maintain or improve reading skills in children from low-SES backgrounds (Slater et al., 2014) and that rhythmic interventions can positively influence speech segmentation and grammatical processing (Cantiani et al., 2021; Fiveash et al., 2023; François et al., 2012; Ladányi et al., 2021). While effect sizes vary and are mediated by environmental factors, the converging picture supports a cautious conclusion: structured musical experience can support selective attention, temporal analysis, and sound–meaning mapping systems that underpin language and literacy.

Emotional intelligence and musical engagement

A brief definition of “emotional intelligence” (or citation to a standard framework) would strengthen conceptual clarity. It provides a structured yet playful context in which children can express, regulate, and understand emotions. Listening to and producing sound through singing, clapping, and melodic imitation becomes a small emotional laboratory: children label feelings, monitor internal states, and experiment with regulation strategies.

Syntheses by Juslin and Sloboda (2010) show that music elicits a wide range of affective responses, from joy and excitement to reflective sadness and calm. This range is pedagogically valuable. Musical activities can be explicitly tied to emotional vocabulary (“What did this melody make you feel?”), causal understanding (“What in the music changed your feeling?”), and self-regulation (“What could you do with music when you feel restless or sad?”). Over time, such experiences contribute to the development of an “emotional lexicon” and to finer discrimination of affective cues in tone, timing, and timbre.

Joint music-making also has social implications. Experimental work indicates that making music together can increase pro-social behavior and cooperative tendencies in children



(Kirschner & Tomasello, 2010). When children breathe, move, and sing together in time, they practice synchrony, empathy, and shared attention—capacities that transfer to non-musical group contexts.

Neurocognitive interface: shared resources for music and language

Cognitive neuroscience has converged on a more integrated view of music and language. Rather than operating in entirely separate brain systems, they draw on partially shared networks in frontal and temporal regions (including Broca's area), as well as in basal ganglia and cerebello-thalamo-cortical circuits (Koelsch, 2011, 2012; Koelsch & Siebel, 2005; Zatorre et al., 2002; Zatorre & Gandour, 2008).

Studies using MEG and fMRI have shown that unexpected chords in a harmonic progression elicit an early right anterior negativity (ERAN) in inferior frontal areas, overlapping with regions activated by syntactic violations in language (ELAN), even if timing and lateralization differ (Patel, 2008). Patel's Shared Syntactic Integration Resource Hypothesis (SSIRH) proposes that music and language rely on a common "integration workspace" in the frontal cortex for building structured sequences, while content is represented in partially distinct posterior regions.

Developmental data emphasize predictive timing. The way infants' brains entrain to rhythmic and prosodic patterns in early face-to-face interaction is associated with later vocabulary, suggesting that predictive temporal mechanisms underpin both musical expectancy and early speech segmentation (Lau et al., 2022; Cantiani et al., 2021). Rhythm and temporal predictability thus provide scaffolding for both musical phrasing and linguistic prosody.

Within this architecture, Broca's area may be understood as a key node, implicated not only in speech planning and articulation but also in tasks involving harmonic progression and phrase grouping in music (Koelsch, 2011; Zatorre & Gandour, 2008). At the same time, this claim should be situated within an ongoing debate: some scholars interpret such overlap as evidence of partially shared neural resources, whereas others caution that adjacent activation does not necessarily imply identical domain-general processing mechanisms. Even so, the recurrent involvement of Broca's area across both linguistic and musical tasks lends measured support to the view that carefully designed musical training may strengthen certain integrative capacities on which language relies.

The OPERA framework as conceptual lens

Patel's OPERA hypothesis (2011) provides a concise model for understanding how and when musical training might benefit speech processing:

- **Overlap:** Music and language share neural circuitry, particularly in timing and syntax.
- **Precision:** Music demands higher temporal and pitch accuracy than everyday speech.
- **Emotion:** Music is typically emotionally engaging.
- **Repetition:** Musical practice is inherently repetitive.
- **Attention:** Effective practice requires focused attention.



When these conditions are satisfied, repeated musical training is expected to drive plastic changes in shared networks, with benefits for speech processing as a “positive side effect.” The present work adopts OPERA and asks what happens when its logic is applied not to generic music lessons, but to carefully structured vocal pedagogy.

METHODOLOGY

Research focus and design

The empirical part of this project is built around a quasi-experimental intervention carried out in the early years of primary school. At its core, it brings together the OPERA framework and a form of vocal pedagogy that is structured enough to support consistent teaching, yet simple and accessible enough to work in an ordinary classroom setting. Because the study is meant to be both practical and replicable, it is important to situate the intervention within a clearly defined educational context, including the children’s approximate age, the type of school in which the work takes place, and the linguistic background they bring into the classroom. Making these elements explicit from the outset helps connect the theoretical model to actual pedagogical practice and gives the methodology greater clarity and credibility. The central hypothesis is theoretically well-motivated and explicitly framed, which is a strength. That said, it is unusually broad for a quasi-experimental study, as it bundles multiple outcome domains (phonological awareness, prosody, articulation, verbal fluency) under a single conditional claim. From a methodological standpoint, this raises concerns about construct clarity and analytic focus, and the author may need to clarify whether these outcomes are weighted equally or hierarchically in the analysis.

Three main research questions structure this study:

1. Does systematic vocal training enhance early language skills (phonological awareness, prosody, articulation, and verbal fluency) beyond what is observed in comparable groups receiving standard language instruction without music?
2. To what extent are improvements in linguistic measures accompanied by parallel gains in musical tasks, such as metric discrimination, intonational stability, and phrase cohesion?
3. What role do emotional engagement and attentional control appear to play in shaping the relationship between vocal training and the observed linguistic outcomes?

At this stage, these questions are intended to guide correlational and interpretive analysis within the quasi-experimental framework, rather than to imply a fully specified mediation model.

The study adopts a quasi-experimental design with pre- and post-intervention assessment. The intervention is planned within a clearly defined and controlled timeframe, set at 12 weeks, in order to ensure consistency of exposure across participants and to reduce variability related to treatment duration. Two groups of young pupils participate:

- **Experimental group:** receives OPERA-based vocal training.



- **Active control group:** receives language-focused activities of equivalent duration and frequency, but without music.

To preserve internal validity, both groups follow the same intervention schedule, with identical weekly frequency and comparable session length. Any deviations in attendance or exposure are recorded and treated as dosage-related variables in the analysis.

Sessions last 20–25 minutes, three to four times per week, in small groups of 8–12 children. This size allows for individual feedback while preserving the social dimension of singing and group speech.

Intervention protocol

Each session follows a stable sequence:

1. *Rhythmic warm-up:* walking or clapping a regular pulse (often in a circle) to stabilize a shared sense of “common time.”
2. *Syllables on the beat:* simple CV and CVC structures aligned with meters such as 2/4 and 3/4, gradually linking syllable length and stress to the pulse.
3. *Melodic imitation with limited intervals:* short patterns within stepwise motion (up to a whole tone), explicitly tied to question–statement contours (rising interrogatives, falling declaratives).
4. *Phrase reading with guided intonation:* short sentences, spoken on speech-like pitches but with explicit attention to rises, falls, internal pauses, and grouping.
5. *Brief emotional reflection and mini-assessment:* one or two simple questions about feeling and effort (e.g., “Where did your voice go up?”).

This sequence operationalizes OPERA. Overlap is ensured by targeting shared timing, intonation, and phrasing resources. Precision is encouraged through demands for accurate onsets, consonant release, and tuning of small intervals. Emotion is supported by meaningful texts and playful formats. Repetition is built into the recurrence of patterns over weeks, and attention is protected by changing only one parameter at a time.

Vocal techniques, such as breath management, legato, vowel shaping, articulation, and resonance, are used not only for musical purposes but also as micro-laboratories for language. Breath work establishes a bodily template for phrasing; legato and vowel purity sharpen phonemic contrasts; articulatory synchrony in rhythmic grids trains co-articulation and timing; and resonance exploration cultivates awareness of timbre and vocal identity. Syllabic vocalizes and rhythmic ostinatos are explicitly aligned with linguistic objectives such as syllable segmentation and lexical stress.



Measures and data collection

Pre-post assessment covers four domains:

- **Phonology:** syllable segmentation, phonemic oddity detection, and lexical stress identification.
- **Prosody:** imitation of intonational contours (pitch deviation in cents where possible), discrimination between interrogatives and declaratives.
- **Articulation:** accuracy in CV/CVC lists, error rates in simple tongue-twister sequences.
- **Attention/executive function (optional):** simplified go/no-go tasks with rhythmic cues.

During the intervention, progress is tracked using four-level analytic rubrics for phonological awareness, prosody, articulation, and monitoring. These rubrics reflect how an experienced teacher listens: from consistent mastery without modelling to persistent difficulty despite support.

Dosage (minutes per session, sessions per week, total exposure) is systematically logged for each group. Each exercise in the teacher's protocol is annotated with its primary linguistic target, ensuring transparent mapping between musical structure and language goal.

Limitations

The quasi-experimental design imposes constraints. Classes cannot be randomly reassigned; teacher expertise varies; children differ in baseline language ability, musical exposure, and home environments. It is therefore unrealistic to expect uniform effects. To address this, the protocol includes brief teacher ratings of engagement and attention after each session, allowing analysis of how individual differences modulate potential gains.

The study deliberately avoids claims of large, generalized effects. Existing meta-analyses report heterogeneous results (Miendlarzewska & Trost, 2014; Thompson et al., 2025). Here, the focus is on domain-specific, testable hypotheses within real classroom conditions rather than idealized laboratory scenarios.

RESULTS/FINDINGS

At this stage, the findings are primarily conceptual and design-based rather than statistical. The project synthesizes multiple strands of evidence and pedagogical experience into a coherent intervention framework. Key outcomes include:

- *A structured mapping* between vocal exercises and linguistic targets, making explicit how breath, rhythm, pitch, and text can be harnessed to support phonological, prosodic, and articulatory skills.
- *An operationalization of OPERA* in early vocal pedagogy, specifying how overlap, precision, emotion, repetition, and attention can be embedded in daily classroom practice.



- *A feasible protocol* for quasi-experimental implementation in early primary settings, with realistic session length, group size, and assessment tools.
- *A set of analytic rubrics* for teacher-led monitoring that align with research measures but remain usable in ordinary classrooms.

Informally, in line with prior empirical studies (Cantiani et al., 2021; François et al., 2012; Ladányi et al., 2021), pilot use of the protocol suggests that children become more stable in keeping pulse, more precise in segmenting syllables, and more confident in articulating short phrases once these are embedded in rhythmic and melodic frames. Hesitant readers often manage to articulate more clearly after practicing the same material sung or chanted on a steady beat. These observations, while not yet formal results, guided the design and underscore the plausibility of the approach.

DISCUSSION

The synthesis above supports a central claim: under the right conditions, vocal training can become a powerful pedagogical instrument for early language development, rather than a purely aesthetic enrichment.

The OPERA framework proves particularly useful in holding together two demands that are often kept separate: empirical rigor and musical integrity. On one hand, OPERA forces us to specify mechanisms—overlap, precision, emotion, repetition, attention—instead of invoking music as a vague stimulus. On the other, it leaves room for musicality and embodied experience: children are not simply “drilled”; they sing, move, breathe, and feel.

From a theoretical perspective, the intervention embeds and tests the idea that shared temporal and prosodic scaffolding underlies both musical and linguistic competencies (Patel, 2008, 2011; Zatorre & Gandour, 2008). The focus on rhythm, contour, and phrasing is consistent with research on predictive coding in music and speech (Vuust et al., 2009, 2014; Vanden Bosch der Nederlanden et al., 2020). The expectation is that consistent work at this interface will enhance the brain’s capacity to segment, group, and anticipate acoustic events, with tangible consequences for language.

At the same time, the project exposes several tensions. It is tempting, especially for musicians, to romanticize music as a universal remedy for educational difficulties. The literature does not support such a narrative. Effects are typically modest, context-dependent, and sensitive to the quality and intensity of instruction (Miendlarzewska & Trost, 2014; Thompson et al., 2025). The present framework therefore insists on cautious, component-specific expectations rather than sweeping promises.

Another tension concerns equity. Music education is often unequally distributed, favoring children from more privileged backgrounds. A carefully designed, low-cost vocal module, integrated into the regular timetable, can partly counteract this imbalance—provided that teacher training and institutional support are in place.

Finally, there is a personal dimension that is hard to ignore. In practical work with children, the most meaningful changes are not always captured by standardized tests: a child who dares to sing alone for the first time; another who discovers that a phrase can be “held” and shaped



rather than rushed; a group that learns to breathe together and listen to each other's voices. These micro-transformations matter, and they are consistent with the broader theoretical picture of music as a scaffold for attention, timing, and social attunement.

IMPLICATION TO RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

Implications for educational practice

If the mechanisms sketched here are confirmed empirically, several practical implications follow:

- *Music as a structured tool, not an ornament:* Vocal activities should be treated as a component of early language support, explicitly aligned with phonological and prosodic goals, rather than as an extracurricular luxury.
- *Dual training lines:* Curricula might include a rhythmic line (targeting stress, syllabification and temporal prediction) and a pitch-focused line (targeting intonation, contour and phrase closure).
- *Culturally grounded content:* Local musical idioms—such as asymmetric Balkan meters or dialectal prosodies in the Albanian context—can be used as culturally meaningful tools for temporal and prosodic training, rather than relying only on imported repertoires.
- *Teacher preparation:* Basic training in voice, rhythm, and group sound management should be integrated into teacher education. The goal is not to turn every teacher into a professional musician but to give them confidence to work with spoken and sung voice as a pedagogical resource.

In general, formal music education can be reframed less as repertoire transmission and more as a method of building listening horizons, critical comparison, and awareness of “musicking” as a social practice (Small, 1998).

Implications for research

For researchers, the protocol suggests:

- Clear operationalization of musical components (rhythm, pitch, phrasing) and linguistic outcomes (phonology, prosody, articulation, fluency).
- Attention to dosage, fidelity of implementation, and classroom climate as key moderators of effect.
- Preference for quasi-experimental and mixed-method designs that respect the realities of schools, rather than purely laboratory situations.
- Systematic integration of teacher observations and children's subjective experience into analytic frameworks, alongside quantitative measures.



CONCLUSION

Music and language share more than an elegant analogy. They draw on overlapping neural resources, depend on similar temporal and prosodic structures, and grow within the same classroom rituals of call-and-response, turn-taking, silence, and interruption. From the perspective of daily work with children's voices, this overlap is visible every time a hesitant reader articulates a phrase more clearly after it has been sung, pulsed, or whispered in rhythm.

Framed through 'OPERA,' vocal pedagogy ceases to be "just singing because it is nice" and becomes a disciplined sequence of tasks designed to shape circuits that support both musical and linguistic functions. The protocol outlined here is intentionally modest in scope but ambitious in coherence: simple exercises, mapped carefully onto phonological, prosodic, articulatory, and attentional targets.

The article argues for a middle path between uncritical enthusiasm and dismissive skepticism. Music should neither be romanticized as a magical cognitive enhancer nor reduced to a decorative activity without measurable value. When designed and implemented with conceptual clarity, it can function as a reliable ally: a way of training time, breath, consonants, and contours so that speech becomes more stable and expressive.

FUTURE RESEARCH

Several directions for future work are clear:

1. *Randomized and larger-scale trials:* Implement the OPERA-based vocal protocol with larger samples and, where feasible, randomized group assignment to quantify effects and identify moderators (age, SES, baseline language/musical skills).
2. *Component-specific interventions:* Isolate rhythmic versus pitch-focused modules to determine which language subcomponents (stress, segmentation, intonation, fluency) respond most strongly to which musical elements.
3. *Longitudinal follow-up:* Track children beyond the intervention period to assess persistence of gains in language and reading and to examine whether early vocal training influences later music learning or second-language acquisition (Thompson et al., 2025).
4. *Cross-linguistic and cross-cultural comparisons:* Apply similar protocols in languages with different prosodic systems and in diverse musical cultures to test the generality and cultural specificity of mechanisms.
5. *Neurophysiological studies:* Combine behavioral interventions with EEG/MEG or fMRI to document neural changes in timing, phase-locking, and syntactic integration (Cantiani et al., 2021; Vanden Bosch der Nederlanden et al., 2020; Vuust et al., 2009, 2014).
6. *Qualitative and mixed-method approaches:* Incorporate interviews, classroom ethnography, and children's own narratives about singing and speaking to capture dimensions of change not visible in scores and reaction times.



If such research agendas are pursued with rigor and realism, the often-invoked link between music and language will move from slogan to grounded practice: a disciplined, empirically supported way of helping children to speak, read, listen, and relate to one another with greater clarity and nuance.

REFERENCES

- Abbate, C., & Parker, R. (2012). *A history of opera*. W. W. Norton.
- Asaridou, S. S., & McQueen, J. M. (2013). Speech and music shape the listening brain: Evidence for shared domain-general mechanisms. *Frontiers in Psychology, 4*, 321. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00321>
- Besson, M., & Schön, D. (2001). Comparison between language and music. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 930*(1), 232–258. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2001.tb05736.x>
- Cantiani, C., Riva, V., Piazza, C., Bettoni, R., Molteni, M., Choudhury, N., & Benasich, A. A. (2021). Impact of early rhythmic training on language acquisition and electrophysiological functioning underlying auditory processing. *Brain Sciences, 11*(11), 1546. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11111546>
- Chen, M., Mohammadi, M., & Izadpanah, S. (2024). Language learning through music has an impact on the academic achievement, creative thinking, and self-esteem of the English as a foreign language (EFL) learners. *Acta Psychologica, 247*, 104318.
- Fiveash, A., Bedoin, N., Gordon, R. L., & Tillmann, B. (2023). Regular rhythmic primes improve sentence repetition in children with developmental language disorder. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 227*, 105560. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2022.105560>
- François, C., Chobert, J., Besson, M., & Schön, D. (2012). Music training for the development of speech segmentation. *Brain and Language, 123*(2), 99–102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2012.08.005>
- Hannon, E. E., & Trainor, L. J. (2007). Music acquisition: Effects of enculturation and formal training on development. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 11*(11), 466–472.
- Hutcheon, L., & Hutcheon, M. (2004). *Opera: The art of dying*. Harvard University Press.
- Juslin, P. N., & Sloboda, J. A. (Eds.). (2010). *Handbook of music and emotion: Theory, research, applications*. Oxford University Press.
- Kirschner, S., & Tomasello, M. (2010). Joint music making promotes prosocial behavior in 4-year-old children. *Evolution and Human Behavior, 31*(5), 354–364.
- Koelsch, S. (2011). Toward a neural basis of music perception – A review and updated model. *Frontiers in Psychology, 2*, 110.
- Koelsch, S. (2012). *Brain and music*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Koelsch, S., & Siebel, W. A. (2005). Towards a neural basis of music perception. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 9*(12), 578–584. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.10.001>
- Kraus, N., & Chandrasekaran, B. (2010). Music training for the development of auditory skills. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 11*(8), 599–605.
- Kuhl, P. K. (2004). Early language acquisition: Cracking the speech code. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 5*(11), 831–843.
- Ladányi, E., Persici, V., Fiveash, A., Tillmann, B., & Gordon, R. L. (2021). Does rhythmic priming improve grammatical processing in children with developmental language disorder? *Developmental Science, 24*(6), e13112.



- Lau, J. C. Y., Fyshe, A., & Waxman, S. R. (2022). Rhythm may be key to linking language and cognition in young infants: Evidence from machine learning. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, 894405. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.894405>
- Miendlarzewska, E. A., & Trost, W. J. (2014). How musical training affects cognitive development: Rhythm, reward and other modulating variables. *Frontiers in Neuroscience, 7*, 279. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2013.00279>
- Patel, A. D. (2008). *Music, language, and the brain*. Oxford University Press.
- Patel, A. D. (2011). Why would musical training benefit the neural encoding of speech? The OPERA hypothesis. *Frontiers in Psychology, 2*, 142. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00142>
- Slater, J., Tierney, A., & Kraus, N. (2014). At-risk elementary school children with one year of classroom music instruction are better at keeping a beat. *PLoS ONE, 9*(1), e112874.
- Small, C. (1998). *Musicking: The meanings of performing and listening*. Wesleyan University Press.
- Thompson, R. M., Salig, L. K., & Slevc, L. R. (2025). Is musical ability related to second-language acquisition? A meta-analysis. *Royal Society Open Science, 12*(1), 241193. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.241193>
- Trehub, S. E. (2013). Music in the lives of young children. In I. Peretz & R. Zatorre (Eds.), *The cognitive neuroscience of music* (pp. 413–428). Oxford University Press.
- UNESCO. (2006). *Road map for arts education: Building creative capacities for the 21st century*. UNESCO.
- Vanden Bosch der Nederlanden, C. M., Joanisse, M. F., & Grahn, J. A. (2020). Music as a scaffold for listening to speech: Better neural phase-locking to song than speech. *NeuroImage, 214*, 116767. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116767>
- Vuust, P., Dietz, M. J., Witek, M. A. G., & Kringelbach, M. L. (2014). Now you hear it: A predictive coding model for understanding rhythmic incongruity. *Psychomusicology: Music, Mind, and Brain, 24*(2), 102–120.
- Vuust, P., Ostergaard, L., Pallesen, K. J., Bailey, C., & Roepstorff, A. (2009). Predictive coding of music – Brain responses to rhythmic incongruity. *Cortex, 45*(1), 80–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2008.05.014>
- Zatorre, R. J., Belin, P., & Penhune, V. B. (2002). Structure and function of auditory cortex: Music and speech. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 6*(1), 37–46. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613\(00\)01816-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(00)01816-7)
- Zatorre, R. J., & Gandour, J. T. (2008). Neural specializations for speech and pitch: Moving beyond the dichotomies. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 363*(1493), 1087–1104.