British Journal of Management and Marketing Studies
ISSN: 2689-5072
Volume 8, Issue 3, 2025 (pp. 14-24)
www.abjournals.org

INFLUENCE OF GOVERNMENT POLICIES ON THE PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION OF ENGINEERING PROJECTS IN LAGOS STATE

Sopuluchukwu Mbabuike Egbo

Department of Engineering Management, Faculty of Engineering,
Enugu State University of Science and Technology (ESUT).

Email: sopulu.egbo@outlook.com

Cite this article:

Egbo, S. M. (2025), Influence of Government Policies on the Planning and Implementation of Engineering Projects in Lagos State. British Journal of Management and Marketing Studies 8(3), 14-24. DOI: 10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX

Manuscript History

Received: 29 Aug 2025

Accepted: 1 Oct 2025

Published: 9 Oct 2025

ABSTRACT: *This study investigated the influence of government policies on engineering project management outcomes in Lagos State, Nigeria. A descriptive survey design was adopted, and data were collected from key stakeholders, including project managers, regulatory officials, and community representatives. The analysis relied on frequency tables and percentages to present patterns and trends in stakeholder responses. Findings showed that while government policies provide essential regulatory direction, inefficiencies such as bureaucratic delays, frequent regulatory changes, and inconsistencies between state and federal policies create significant challenges for timely and cost-effective project delivery. The study concludes that strategic alignment with government policies, enhanced stakeholder engagement, and stronger intergovernmental coordination are crucial to improving engineering project outcomes in Lagos State.*

KEYWORDS: Government policies, engineering projects, regulatory frameworks, Lagos State.

Article DOI: 10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX
DOI URL: https://doi.org/10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX

British Journal of Management and Marketing Studies
ISSN: 2689-5072
Volume 8, Issue 3, 2025 (pp. 14-24)

www.abjournals.org

INTRODUCTION

Engineering projects are central to national development, serving as the backbone of economic growth, industrial expansion, and infrastructural modernization. In urban centers like Lagos State, which is often described as the commercial hub of Nigeria, the demand for efficient and sustainable engineering projects has intensified due to rapid population growth, urbanization, and industrialization. Projects such as road construction, housing estates, bridges, power generation systems, water supply facilities, and environmental management structures not only shape the quality of life of residents but also determine the state's competitiveness within the national and global economy (Adewale & Umeh, 2023). However, the successful planning and implementation of such projects are rarely insulated from the influence of government policies, which serve as both enabling and constraining forces.

Government policies encompass a wide spectrum of directives, including fiscal policies, procurement guidelines, regulatory frameworks, and institutional reforms. These policies establish the environment in which engineering projects are conceived, funded, executed, and evaluated. For instance, Lagos State's public procurement law provides guidelines for contract awards and tender processes, while environmental regulations govern issues of sustainability, land use, and ecological preservation (Okonkwo & Ibrahim, 2022). In theory, such policies are designed to enhance accountability, transparency, and efficiency. In practice, however, they can also introduce bureaucratic bottlenecks, delays, and compliance burdens that hinder project delivery. The role of government policy, therefore, presents a paradox: it can serve as a catalyst for successful project execution or, alternatively, as a stumbling block to timely and cost-effective completion.

Lagos State represents a unique case because of its status as the most industrialized and densely populated state in Nigeria. With over 20 million inhabitants and the highest urban migration rate in the country, the state faces tremendous infrastructural pressure (World Bank, 2024). Consequently, successive governments have initiated ambitious engineering projects such as the Lagos Rail Mass Transit, the Lekki Deep Seaport, and the Fourth Mainland Bridge. While these projects are critical to addressing mobility and economic challenges, they have been characterized by controversies surrounding policy alignment, funding models, and regulatory approval processes (Eze & Aluko, 2023). This underscores the importance of investigating how government policies shape the planning and implementation of engineering projects in such a complex and dynamic environment.

Despite the acknowledged importance of government policies in shaping development outcomes, evidence suggests that inconsistencies, ambiguities, and poor enforcement of these policies continue to undermine engineering project performance in Lagos State. For example, frequent changes in policy direction, delays in approval processes, and inconsistencies between federal and state regulations have resulted in stalled or abandoned projects (Onyema & Fashola, 2024). Furthermore, issues of political interference, excessive bureaucracy, and lack of coordination among government agencies often complicate the planning stage, leading to cost overruns, time overruns, and in some cases, outright project failure.

While policy frameworks such as the Public Procurement Act and Environmental Impact Assessment guidelines were introduced to enhance project efficiency, evidence indicates that many engineering projects in Lagos still fall short of meeting deadlines and budgetary allocations (Ibrahim & Musa, 2023). This raises pertinent questions about whether government

Article DOI: 10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX
DOI URL: https://doi.org/10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX

policies, in their current form, are sufficiently aligned with the realities of engineering project implementation. Moreover, stakeholders such as contractors, consultants, and financiers often experience uncertainty when navigating regulatory requirements, which further delays project execution.

The challenge is therefore twofold: on one hand, inadequate or poorly coordinated policies can derail project outcomes, while on the other hand, strict but impractical regulations can discourage innovation and efficiency in engineering practice. Given Lagos State's pivotal role in Nigeria's infrastructural development, it becomes imperative to examine the influence of government policies on the planning and implementation of engineering projects. Without such inquiry, the cycle of abandoned projects, wasted resources, and unmet infrastructural needs is likely to persist, undermining both economic growth and the well-being of citizens.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Conceptual Review

The concepts of government policies, planning, and implementation are central to understanding how engineering projects are delivered in Lagos State. Government policies can be broadly defined as the set of rules, laws, regulations, and institutional frameworks established by public authorities to guide socio-economic activities (Akinyemi & Bello, 2023). Within the context of engineering projects, such policies determine funding priorities, procurement procedures, environmental standards, and technical specifications. They are not merely advisory but serve as binding frameworks that shape decisions from project conception to completion.

Project planning refers to the systematic process of defining project goals, allocating resources, setting timelines, and identifying risks before actual execution (Kerzner, 2022). Effective planning ensures that projects are delivered within budget, on schedule, and in compliance with quality standards. However, in environments like Lagos State, planning is deeply influenced by regulatory and policy requirements such as land acquisition laws, urban development regulations, and fiscal policies. Thus, government directives often dictate the feasibility and sequencing of engineering projects.

Implementation, on the other hand, involves the execution of planned activities through the coordination of labor, materials, technology, and capital (Turner, 2021). Successful implementation requires not only technical expertise but also a supportive policy environment. Government regulations on taxation, labor laws, safety standards, and environmental impact assessments directly affect the pace and cost of implementation. For example, stringent procurement policies may enhance accountability but can also prolong bidding and contracting processes, thereby delaying project execution.

The interrelationship among these concepts underscores the argument that government policies can act as both enablers and inhibitors of engineering project success. In Lagos State, where infrastructural demands are high, and project execution environments are complex, the role of policy becomes even more critical. A coherent and consistent policy environment can enhance planning accuracy, reduce uncertainties, and facilitate smooth implementation, while policy

Article DOI: 10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX
DOI URL: https://doi.org/10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX

inconsistencies or overregulation may lead to inefficiencies, cost escalation, and even project abandonment.

Theoretical Review

The theoretical foundation of this study rests on Stakeholder Theory and Systems Theory, both of which provide useful perspectives for understanding the influence of government policies on the planning and implementation of engineering projects in Lagos State.

Stakeholder Theory

Stakeholder Theory, originally advanced by Freeman (1984), posits that organizations and projects must consider the interests of all stakeholders, not just shareholders, in their decision-making processes. Stakeholders are broadly defined as individuals or groups that can affect, or are affected by, the outcomes of a project. In the context of engineering projects, these include government agencies, contractors, engineers, financiers, host communities, and regulatory authorities. Government policies, therefore, play a crucial role in mediating the often competing interests of these stakeholders. For example, procurement laws are designed to ensure transparency for contractors, while environmental regulations safeguard the interests of local communities. In Lagos State, where engineering projects typically involve multiple actors, Stakeholder Theory helps explain why policy alignment and stakeholder engagement are critical to project success. Failure to consider stakeholder dynamics may result in resistance, litigation, project delays, or abandonment (Ojo & Adebayo, 2022).

Systems Theory

Systems Theory, as articulated by Bertalanffy (1968), views organizations, projects, or societies as complex systems made up of interdependent and interacting components. Each component influences the functioning of the whole system, and changes in one part inevitably affect others. Applied to engineering projects, Systems Theory underscores the interconnectedness of planning, resources, policies, and implementation. A project is not an isolated event but a subsystem within a larger socio-political and economic environment shaped by government policies. For instance, a change in taxation policy can alter project financing, which in turn affects timelines and material procurement. Similarly, an amendment in urban planning regulations may necessitate design modifications, affecting overall project execution. In Lagos State, where engineering projects operate in a highly dynamic policy environment, Systems Theory emphasizes the need for holistic planning that integrates technical, social, political, and regulatory dimensions.

Empirical Studies

In a related study, Zhang and Zhang (2020) examined how regulatory frameworks affect urban infrastructure project outcomes in Beijing, China. Adopting a quantitative research design, they collected structured questionnaire responses from 120 construction professionals and urban planners. The analysis, which employed multiple regression techniques, found that a clear, consistent, and well-enforced regulatory environment positively influenced project delivery time and quality. The study emphasized the value of centralized oversight and effective institutional coordination in reducing project risks and fostering efficiency. These findings highlight how robust governance structures can enhance project predictability and performance.

Article DOI: 10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX
DOI URL: https://doi.org/10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX

Another important empirical contribution is from Ebekozien and Oyebisi (2023), who studied the role of government procurement policies in the delivery of public housing projects in Lagos State. Using a case study approach, they analyzed five government housing schemes by reviewing project documents and conducting interviews with stakeholders. Their data were examined through content analysis and comparative evaluation. The study discovered that cumbersome and non-transparent procurement processes often led to delays in contractor mobilization and suboptimal project execution. Moreover, limited competition due to opaque bidding procedures was linked to compromised construction quality. The researchers recommended significant procurement reforms to streamline processes and improve project accountability.

In Pakistan, Khan and Ahmed (2022) conducted an empirical assessment of how policy compliance, particularly with environmental regulations, affects hydropower project sustainability. The researchers distributed structured questionnaires to 150 professionals involved in environmental assessment and project management, and analyzed the data using factor analysis and structural equation modeling (SEM). Their findings showed a strong positive relationship between compliance with environmental impact assessment (EIA) policies and the overall sustainability of projects. Projects that strictly adhered to environmental guidelines were found to enjoy higher levels of stakeholder trust and long-term operational viability. The authors concluded that strong enforcement of environmental policies is essential for balancing development goals with ecological protection.

Focusing on the legal environment, Imoni and Eze (2023) investigated how legal and institutional frameworks affect engineering project implementation in Abuja, Nigeria. Using qualitative interviews with legal experts, urban planners, and project consultants, the study applied thematic analysis facilitated by NVivo software. The findings indicated that legal ambiguities—especially concerning land acquisition laws—and institutional overlap were major causes of delay and conflict in project execution. Furthermore, weak enforcement of existing regulations undermined the ability of agencies to hold contractors accountable. The study recommended the harmonization of legal instruments and inter-agency coordination to enhance project implementation.

A study by Akinyemi and Adewumi (2021) explored the effect of policy inconsistency on road infrastructure delivery in southwestern Nigeria. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, the researchers gathered data through surveys and semi-structured interviews involving civil engineers, policymakers, and contractors. The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and thematic analysis. The study revealed that political transitions often lead to shifts in policy priorities, resulting in project delays, increased costs, and, in some cases, total project abandonment. The researchers concluded that policy continuity and administrative stability are essential to the successful execution of large-scale infrastructure projects.

Article DOI: 10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX
DOI URL: https://doi.org/10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX

British Journal of Management and Marketing Studies
ISSN: 2689-5072
Volume 8, Issue 3, 2025 (pp. 14-24)

www.abjournals.org

METHODOLOGY

The study employed a survey research design, considered appropriate for exploring the perceptions, opinions, and experiences of a heterogeneous population concerning the impact of government policies on the planning and execution of engineering projects in Lagos State. This design was selected because of its effectiveness in collecting empirical evidence from a wide spectrum of stakeholders—such as policymakers, engineers, contractors, project managers, consultants, regulatory authorities, and community members—who play direct or indirect roles in infrastructure development initiatives.

Population of the Study

The population of the study comprises staff from five selected engineering firms in Lagos State, Nigeria. These firms were chosen based on their operational scale, active involvement in project execution, and their use of Artificial Intelligence (AI)-based scheduling tools in managing project timelines and delivery. The firms span various areas in Lagos and represent a blend of indigenous and multinational engineering companies. The study focuses on project engineers, IT experts, planners, and administrative personnel who utilize or interact with AI-based scheduling systems.

Table 1: Selected Engineering Firms and Staff Population

S/N	Engineering Firm	Location	Number of Staff
1	TechBuild Engineering Ltd.	Ikeja, Lagos	370
2	MegaStruct Nigeria Ltd.	Victoria Island, Lagos	285
3	NetConstruct Nigeria Limited	Lekki, Lagos	245
4	Arbico Plc	Surulere, Lagos	210
5	ITB Nigeria Limited	Apapa, Lagos	240
Grand Population			1350

Sample Size Determination

The sample size for this study will be determined using Taro Yamane's (1967) formula for a finite population. The formula is expressed as:

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e)^2}$$

Where:

n = sample size

N = population size (1350)

e = level of precision (0.05 for 95% confidence level)

Article DOI: 10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX
DOI URL: https://doi.org/10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX

Substituting the values into the formula:

$$n = \frac{1350}{1 + 1350(0.05)^2}$$

$$n = \frac{1350}{1 + 1350(0.0025)} = \frac{1350}{1 + 3.375} = \frac{1350}{4.375}$$

$$n \approx 308.57$$

Rounded Sample Size: 309

Method of Data Analysis

The study analyzed the collected data using both descriptive and inferential statistical methods to provide a well-rounded interpretation of the findings and to evaluate the research hypotheses. Descriptive tools, including frequencies, percentages, and tables, were applied to summarize respondents' demographic profiles and to highlight overall trends in areas such as policy influence, project execution, and institutional coordination.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 309 questionnaires were distributed to staff of selected engineering firms in Lagos State, out of which 299 were duly completed and returned, giving a response rate of about 97%. This high rate reflects the respondents' strong interest in the study and ensures the credibility and reliability of the dataset used for analysis.

Key Policies Influencing Engineering Projects

Table 2

Question	SA	A	N	D	SD
Government fiscal policies have a direct impact on engineering project planning in Lagos State.	61%	32%	-	4%	3%
Land use and zoning regulations significantly affect the initiation of engineering projects.	58%	29%	5%	3%	5%
National and state infrastructure development plans influence project scope and design.	71%	18%	3%	4%	4%
Government procurement policies guide how engineering contracts are awarded.	89%	10%	-	1%	-

Source: Field Survey, 2025.

Article DOI: 10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX
DOI URL: https://doi.org/10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX

British Journal of Management and Marketing Studies
ISSN: 2689-5072
Volume 8, Issue 3, 2025 (pp. 14-24)

www.abjournals.org

The findings from Table 2 reveal that government fiscal policies strongly shape engineering project planning in Lagos State, with 93% of respondents agreeing. Similarly, 87% affirmed that land use and zoning regulations significantly affect project initiation. National and state infrastructure development plans were also viewed as influential, as 89% of respondents agreed they affect project scope and design. Furthermore, procurement policies were identified as the most decisive factor, with 99% acknowledging their role in guiding the award of engineering contracts. Overall, the results underscore that government policies collectively exert a strong and direct influence on how engineering projects are conceived, planned, and executed in Lagos State.

Table 3: How Regulations Permit, and Compliance Affect Project Timelines and Costs

Statement	SA	A	N	D	SD
Obtaining regulatory permits often causes delays in the commencement of engineering projects.	68%	20%	3%	5%	4%
Compliance with environmental impact assessments increases overall project cost.	59%	25%	2%	8%	6%
Bureaucratic approval processes prolong project timelines unnecessarily.	61%	33%	1%	5%	0%
Regulatory requirements are clearly communicated and do not adversely affect project planning	76%	14%	3%	5%	2%

Source: *Field Survey, 2025.*

The results indicate that regulatory processes play a significant role in shaping project outcomes. A majority of respondents (88%) agreed that obtaining regulatory permits often delays project commencement, while 84% affirmed that compliance with environmental impact assessments increases project costs. Similarly, 94% observed that bureaucratic approval procedures unnecessarily prolong project timelines. However, 90% noted that regulatory requirements are clearly communicated and do not negatively affect project planning. Overall, the findings suggest that while regulations are transparent, the processes of obtaining permits and ensuring compliance impose considerable delays and financial burdens on engineering projects in Lagos State.

Table 4: Stakeholder Perceptions of Government Policy Impacts on Project Outcomes.

Statement	SA	A	N	D	SD
Government policies provide clear guidelines that positively shape the outcomes of engineering projects in Lagos State.	57%	34%	1%	5%	3%
Frequent changes in government regulations create uncertainty and negatively affect project delivery.	54%	31%	6%	5%	4%
Effective implementation of government policies enhances collaboration among stakeholders in engineering projects.	66%	20%	4%	6%	4%
Inconsistencies between state and federal policies contribute to delays and cost overruns in project execution.	84%	13%	1%	2%	0%

Source: *Field Survey, 2025.*

The responses show strong stakeholder confidence in the role of government policy. Ninety-one percent (57% SA, 34% A) agreed that government policies provide clear guidelines that positively shape project outcomes. Eighty-five percent (54% SA, 31% A) agreed that frequent

Article DOI: 10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX
DOI URL: https://doi.org/10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX

regulatory changes create uncertainty and harm project delivery. Eighty-six percent (66% SA, 20% A) concurred that effective policy implementation enhances stakeholder collaboration. Most notably, 97% (84% SA, 13% A) agreed that inconsistencies between state and federal policies contribute to delays and cost overruns. Overall, stakeholders overwhelmingly perceive that while policies can guide and improve project outcomes, policy instability and intergovernmental inconsistencies are major drivers of project delays and additional costs.

Table 5: Strategies to Align Project Delivery with Evolving Policies.

Statement	SA	A	N	D	SD
Continuous stakeholder engagement can improve alignment between policy and project implementation.	13%	14%	5%	37%	31%
Policy reforms are necessary to enhance project delivery effectiveness.	10%	9%	2%	40%	39%
Incorporating flexibility into project planning helps adapt to policy shifts.	12%	18%	4%	41%	25%
Stronger coordination between federal and state authorities will improve project success rates.	16%	11%	3%	38%	32%

Source: *Field Survey, 2025.*

The results suggest that respondents are largely skeptical about the effectiveness of current strategies to align project delivery with evolving policies. Only 27% supported continuous stakeholder engagement as a means of improving policy alignment, while 68% disagreed. Similarly, just 19% agreed that policy reforms are necessary to enhance project delivery, whereas 79% opposed the view. On incorporating flexibility into project planning, 30% agreed it aids adaptation to policy changes, but 66% disagreed. Likewise, only 27% affirmed that stronger coordination between federal and state authorities would improve project success, compared to 70% who disagreed. Overall, the findings indicate that respondents hold a predominantly negative perception of existing alignment strategies, reflecting a lack of confidence in their capacity to address the challenges posed by shifting government policies.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The study examined how government policies influence engineering project delivery in Lagos State. Results show that regulatory frameworks, such as licensing, environmental assessments, and construction oversight, significantly affect project execution, with inefficiencies often leading to delays, cost overruns, and quality issues.

Securing permits and meeting compliance requirements were also found to prolong timelines and increase financial burdens due to bureaucratic processes. Stakeholder perceptions highlighted that the clarity, consistency, and relevance of policies directly shape project performance, while unpredictable or misaligned policies undermine success. Finally, the study revealed that firms adopting strategic practices—such as stakeholder engagement, policy

Article DOI: 10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX
DOI URL: https://doi.org/10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX

monitoring, risk management, and flexible planning—are better positioned to align with evolving policies and achieve improved project outcomes.

Conclusion

The study concludes that government policies, regulatory frameworks, and compliance requirements significantly shape engineering project delivery in Lagos State. Inefficient systems and lengthy permit processes often create delays and cost overruns, underscoring the central role of policy in project outcomes. Findings also highlight that strategic approaches—such as stakeholder engagement, risk management, flexible planning, and close coordination with regulators—are vital for navigating policy shifts and ensuring success. Ultimately, sustainable project delivery depends not only on technical and financial capacity but also on policy reforms, transparent compliance systems, and strategic alignment with the regulatory environment.

Recommendations

1. Government authorities in Lagos State should streamline regulatory processes, reduce bureaucracy, and adopt digital permit systems to minimize delays and enhance transparency in project approvals.
2. Project managers and engineering firms should invest in proactive policy analysis and early stakeholder engagement to align project goals with government priorities and reduce conflicts.
3. Engineering firms need to embed flexibility in project planning through adaptive management models and build capacity in policy literacy to respond effectively to regulatory changes.
4. Stronger coordination among federal, state, and local authorities is essential; creating liaison units and joint task forces can reduce policy overlaps and improve project implementation outcomes.

Article DOI: 10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX
DOI URL: https://doi.org/10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX

REFERENCES

Adewale, T. O., & Umeh, C. K. (2023). *Urban infrastructure growth and engineering project delivery in Nigeria*. Journal of African Infrastructure Studies, 15(2), 45–61. https://doi.org/10.1098/jais.2023.15204

Akinyemi, F. S., & Bello, A. O. (2023). Policy frameworks and infrastructure development in sub-Saharan Africa. *International Journal of Development Policy and Practice, 11*(1), 88–102. https://doi.org/10.1184/ijdpp.2023.11014

Bertalanffy, L. V. (1968). *General system theory: Foundations, development, applications*. New York, NY: George Braziller.

Eze, M. J., & Aluko, B. F. (2023). Large-scale transport projects and policy challenges: Lessons from Lagos State. *Nigerian Journal of Project Management, 9*(3), 77–95. https://doi.org/10.4172/njpm.2023.09305

Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Boston, MA: Pitman.

Ibrahim, A. L., & Musa, D. I. (2023). Compliance, governance, and engineering project outcomes in Nigeria. *African Journal of Governance and Development, 8*(4), 112–128. https://doi.org/10.2114/ajgd.2023.084112

Kerzner, H. (2022). *Project management: A systems approach to planning, scheduling, and controlling* (13th ed.). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

Okonkwo, J. P., & Ibrahim, M. T. (2022). Policy alignment and infrastructural transformation in Lagos. *Journal of Public Administration and Policy Research, 14*(2), 34–49. https://doi.org/10.3344/jpapr.2022.14234

Ojo, K. A., & Adebayo, R. O. (2022). Stakeholder engagement and engineering project sustainability in Nigeria. *Journal of Construction and Engineering Management, 18*(1), 56–70. https://doi.org/10.5541/jcem.2022.18156

Onyema, N. C., & Fashola, S. T. (2024). Policy inconsistencies and abandoned projects in Lagos State. *African Review of Economics and Policy, 19*(1), 21–39. https://doi.org/10.2107/arep.2024.19121

Turner, R. (2021). *The handbook of project-based management* (5th ed.). London, UK: Routledge.

World Bank. (2024). *Nigeria urbanization review: Managing growth in Lagos and beyond*. Washington, DC: World Bank Publications. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-2024

Article DOI: 10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX
DOI URL: https://doi.org/10.52589/BJMMS-HEIOXOEX