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ABSTRACT: The advent of the electronic world has facilitated the social media for quick, easy and communal groupings and sharing of information among members, friends and followers. This study has investigated the effect of social media on consumer purchase of mobile phone users in Federal Universities in the South East Nigeria. The specific objectives of the study were to examine influences of social media accessibility, interactivity, connectedness, conversation and communality on consumer purchase of mobile phones. The study was anchored on the Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) for its relevance to a study that aims to find out the extent to which behavioral control innovation can influence the behavioural performance of a consumer. Five research questions and null hypotheses were formulated in line with the specific objectives of the study. The framework for methodology was based on survey design. The Taro Yamani formula was adopted to obtain a sample size of 398 from the 68,392 population of all the students in the five Federal Government-owned Universities in South East Nigeria. The method for data analysis was percentage frequencies, bar charts, and multiple regression analysis. The results showed that all the social media characteristics jointly influence about 93% consumer purchase of mobile phones. The specific findings showed that: Social media accessibility has a positive and significant (coef = 0.358, t=13.228; p < 0.00) influence on consumer purchase of mobile phones; Social media interactivity has a positive and significant (coef = 0.678, t=26.911; p < 0.00) influence on consumer purchase of mobile phones; social media connectedness has a positive and significant (coef = 0.034, t=6.321; p < 0.00) influence on consumer purchase of mobile phones; social media conversation has a positive but insignificant (coef = 0.034, t= 15.37; p < 0.125) influence on consumer purchase of mobile phones; and social media communality has a positive and significant (coef = 0.050, t= 2.227; p < 0.027) influence on consumer purchase of mobile phones. The study posits that improved involvement with social media characteristics have a positive influence on consumer purchase. Based on the findings, the study recommended that marketers should build and maintain a proper mechanism through social media for enhanced consumer patronage. As social media characteristics have a high impact on consumer purchase experiences, manufacturers of mobile phones and other volition controlled production should engage the social media as a veritable e-marketing channel.
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INTRODUCTION

Social media as one of the new platforms that marketers currently deploy in the marketing of their goods and services, has created a novel means to share marketing information. Not only is social media able to connect people, but also enables them to express and share ideas, thoughts, and opinions with others. It also eliminates the spatial and time constraint that are inherent in traditional methods of communication. These features placed the social media as ready means to advertise goods and services and promote the image of brands and organisations (Mainye, 2017).

Unlike the traditional media, social media enabled by the internet have features that enable huge amounts of information to be stored at different locations to be shared and accessed by many at less costs (Hewage & Madhuhansi, 2019). This makes it possible for consumers to obtain the desired information at the click of a few buttons. Consumers use this modern information technology through the computer and phones to assess information about companies and their products. This common meeting point for consumers and the marketers is enhanced through social media, either by joining a page or following a friend by someone. A number of social media platforms that enable this are Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, MySpace and lots of others. Almost all students of Nigerian universities use social media surfing enabled phones to share information, discuss issues and entertain themselves.

Unlike the traditional media, the social media platform has unique characteristics capable of arousing interest of consumers on products. Some reasons are proposed in favour of the Social media as against the traditional media strategies include facilitation in a natural discovery of new content, boost in traffic numbers, strong relationship building, as well as being a cheap alternative to marketing (Weinberg, 2009). Social media has brought an ease to the stages in the process of consumer buying decisions. Therefore, the number of people who use social media as a platform for business activities has increased rapidly.

Africa has the largest and fastest-growing number of mobile users, which probably reflects significant investment in the telecommunications sector (Schoentgen & Gille, 2017). Nigeria is no exception as the most populous African country with approximately 180 million people: it has the largest economy and mobile market on the continent (Onyeajuwa, 2017). Mobile phone devices have become an essential part of daily life and a valuable means of information dissemination since its evolution in the late 1990s’ in Nigeria and in most developing countries. Several studies have found the use of mobile phones among youth, particularly students to be very important. In Nigeria, the emergence of mobile phones with internet services has brought about a profound and diverse pool of knowledge.

The use of social media has taken a centre stage in Nigeria as a communication channel, with over 98.39 million internet users, with about 54% of them having access to the internet on a daily basis and 12% (24 million) have active social media accounts (Business Insider, 2019). The report also noted that 3 hours 17 minutes is the average amount of time Nigerians spend on social media. This social media usage average for Nigeria is higher than the global average which is 3 hours 14 minutes. This shows that Nigerians are very active on social media. The penetration rate of a number of social media platforms into Nigeria is shown in Figure 1. WhatsApp, and Facebook can be a goldmine for social media marketing in Nigeria.
Figure 1: Penetration of leading social networks in Nigeria as of 3rd quarter 2017

The tremendous growth in social media usage in Nigeria confirms that consumer purchase can be influenced by social media through group communication. Social media provides the platform to exchange information about products and services. Social media is now one of the platforms for interacting with consumers and influencing their attitude towards product purchase. Social media has therefore become an important media to introduce and market products and also to do surveys. Hence, it becomes necessary to perceive how social media affects consumer decisions.

Increasing focus on global development and the expansive use of technology in marketing, advertising and promotion have led to shifts in the way in which companies focus on consumers (Quelch & Jocz, 2008). Social media penetration into Nigeria is a challenge for marketers. The way and manner marketers manage the nature of information shared about them and their products among friends and groups in social media is capable of making or marring their product marketability. On the strength of the above, the study examined the effect of social media characteristics on consumer purchase of mobile phones in South-East Nigeria.

The specific objectives are to:

1. To ascertain the influence of accessibility on consumer purchase of mobile phones.
2. Investigate the influence of interactivity on consumer purchase of mobile phones.
3. Determine the influence of connectedness on consumer purchase of mobile phones.
4. Establish how conversation through social media influences consumer purchase of mobile phones.
5. Examine the influence of communality on consumer purchase of mobile phones.
Development of Hypotheses

As social media platforms are used by customers to post reviews, recommendations, ratings, and raise queries to the firms, it can serve as a marketing tool capable of helping the consumers to make purchase decisions (Chandwani, 2016). A plausible relationship between social media characteristics and consumer purchases can be conjured by tracing possible hypothetical links among the variables in the study.

Social Media Accessibility and Consumer Purchase

The use of social media gives consumers access to colleagues, family members, and a variety of information that flies across the globe. Social media is a platform with less or no restriction to belonging to and sharing information. Mayfield (2008) noted that virtually every social media platform gives free access to joining any use social media and in using such as medium to create, edit, communicate, and comment on contents. This characteristic of social media creates an atmosphere that encourages participation and sharing information. Contents can be created on social media for sharing; however, accessibility is the most important exposure for marketing communication. It is thus essential for marketers to always test the social media channels for accessibility (Dewan & Spindel, 2020).

The contents shared on the social media are largely democratic and neutral, and as such consumers begin to accept the social media and make more frequent visits to various types of social media in search of information for their purchasing decisions (Asma & Misbah, 2018). Consumers obtain vital product information for their purchase decisions through the external online sources like the social media (Wang & Chang, 2013). The more access to information about a product the better enhanced the purchasing decisions of the consumer (Wang, Yu & Wei, 2012). There is therefore a positive relationship between accessibility and consumer purchase on social media.

According to Sternthal and Craig (1982), it is the sufficiency of the information accumulated that enables consumers to undertake an alternative evaluation based on their motives or goals. Social media in the present day, provides more information to users than other sources of indirection experiences.

Furthermore, Hill, Provost, and Volinsky’s (2006) research establishes that a firm can benefit from social networks to predict the likelihood of purchase intention. This can be done by taking into account a firm’s choice of network (i.e. Facebook, Instagram, Pinterest etc) and by examining that network’s data. Assessing a network’s data substantially improves a company’s marketing efforts because it provides the company with vital information on the network’s users, which helps determine the best social media tactics for that particular site (Hill, Provost, and Volinsky 2006). Based on this study, it can further be argued that knowing which social media sites a company’s target market utilizes is another key factor in guaranteeing that online marketing will be successful.

Ho1: Accessibility does not have significant influence on consumer purchase of mobile phones.
Social Media Interactivity and Consumer Purchase

Interactivity entails human collaboration (van Dijck, 2013). Interactivity is thus the extent to which two or more persons are actively engaged in the communication in contrast to lurking, passively observing or monologues (Burgoon, Bonito, Bengsson, Ramirez, Dunbar & Miczo, 2000). The social media engenders two-way feedbacks, wherein “one party creates content and shares on the platform to arouse the interest of the other party so that they will actively contribute and give feedback. The two-way communication in social media helps to remove the line between the media and audience “as everyone can become creators, communicators, readers and consumers of contents on the platforms and each individual’s identity is shifting all the time” (Mayfield, 2008).

Social Media triggers unsatisfied needs in consumers through advertisements and discussions with friends that could make them identify an unfulfilled need (Tanwar, 2017; Hewage & Madhuhansi, 2019). The users of the social media are exposed to information about various products and services. Friends, family members, celebrities, and even companies post, share, discuss, comment, like information about the experiences and trends about products brands.

One main characteristic that engenders the use of social media in information search is the user interactivity that is capable of influencing the decision-making process of consumers (Wang & Chang, 2013). The higher the level of interactivity of social media, the tendency for use in information search. For instance, interactivity exposes consumers to others. As such, Wang and Lin (2011) posit that individuals tend to align the choices of others instead of making their own decisions to avert the cognitive effort needed to handle the many online information they may be exposed to. Since consumers see product and company information on the social media as reliable, they tend to depend on them for buying decisions (Sinclaire & Vogus, 2011).

Ho2: Interactivity does not have significant influence on consumer purchase of mobile phones.

Social Media Conversation and Consumer Purchase

The power of two-way communication inherent in social media enhances the level of conversation among participants. With the social media platforms, the free speech (free actions and activities) that essentially takes place only in a face to face platform can now be broadcast even from a sofa. Social media has become a powerful tool for compelling the world to engage in conversation (Bornfree, 2020).

Social media has created a new landscape in supporting the socialization of information (Solis, 2011), as a result it has facilitated and enhanced communication flow by making it easier and to more people, and to spread useful information with potentially vast online audiences (Smith & Zook, 2011), in which the conversation may be taken place on media locally but lead to a global impact. Conversation is the most valuable aspect of social media in building and maintaining a feedback loop, as the conversations are more dynamic and flow in two-way. According to Evans (2008), social media relates to a self-generated, authentic conversation between people about a particular subject of mutual interest, built on the thoughts and experiences of the participant. Any subject or mechanism that sustains interest builds trust and drives social volition. Thus, social media conversation has influence on consumer purchase.

Ho3: Conversation does not have significant influence on consumer purchase of mobile phones.
Social Media Connectedness and Consumer Purchase

Social media is a vehicle that can bring and keep individuals close, connected, and it also enhances the feeling of belongingness and affiliation in them better than the traditional social network. It brings all the social media participants – customers, distributors, producers and products – together in an integrated fashion. Mayfield (2008) averred that connectedness is indeed closer to integration since it can link resources, and people in various social media platforms.

Social networking sites are defined as online applications that give Internet users the ability to create a profile, share the profile with a list of other Internet users, and view information uploaded by those connections (Boyd & Ellison 2007). Online social interaction activities (i.e., sharing and liking posts) develop social connectedness among social media users (Grieve, Indian, Witteveen, Tolan, & Marrington, 2013). As the social media users interact with each other, they tend to perceive themselves as similar in attitudes and behaviours, which in turn, enhances their sense of belonging to the brand’s community (Xiang, Zheng, Lee, & Zhao, 2016).

Social connectedness refers to the senses of belonging and affiliation that occur within close interpersonal relationships (Lee, Draper, & Lee, 2001). Studies have found that social support and feelings of belonging help users perceive warmth and build close relationships with other users in online communities (Obst & Stafurik 2010; Shaw & Gant, 2002).

Ho4: Connectedness does not have significant influence on consumer purchase of mobile phones.

Social Media Communality and Consumer Purchase

Social media communality widens the platform and provides companies a direct means to talk to, learn from, and engage their customers. Through the use of social media communality, companies are able to increase their marketing activity, engages fans, and creates advocacy and loyalty (Miller, nd). This is achieved when the company is able to belong to a social media communality where

“people enthusiastically sharing content about your brand; followers engage with your brand and start conversations about the brand; followers not only engage with your content, but the content of their peers, too; people are not only using your page to complain about customer service; they’re also discussing or sharing industry news or tips, their personal experience, or tips they have learned” (Gotter, 2018).

From Gotter’s point of view, social media communality is the engine for winning customers and brand loyalty through the social media platform.

Among the factors associated with social media influence on consumer purchasing and communication include community membership (consumers belong and admire different online social networks) (Erasmus, Bashoff & Rousseau, 2001).

According to the media dependency theory developed by Rokeach and Defleur, “there is a tripartite relationship between audience, media and society, in which the consumers largely
depend on media to satisfy individual and social needs” (Chen, 2014). Individuals can form themselves into a community of persons (audience) in a society using the platform created by social media. This agrees that at the alternative choice selection, the individuals might prefer to align with the social trends. Chen (2014) however, explains that the extent to which social media is important to an individual influences the cognitive, affective and behavioural changes in people. This suggests that consumers who visit social media more regularly are most likely to be more influenced by it in their selection process. Social media characteristics of accessibility, interactivity, connectedness, conversation and communality determines the extent of user involvement in social media and the amount of information exposed to.

Hos: Communality does not have significant influence on consumer purchase of mobile phones.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study is anchored on the Theory of Planned Behaviour by Ajzen (1991). The Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) was propounded by Ajzen (1991) as an advancement to the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA). Both theories explain that people’s behavioural intentions are their attitudes towards performing the behaviour and the subjective norms associated with the behaviour. Attitude is determined by a person’s beliefs about the outcomes or attributes of performing a specific behaviour (that is, behavioural beliefs), weighted by evaluations of those outcomes or attributes. The subjective norm of a person is determined by whether important referents (that is, people who are important to the person) approve or disapprove of the performance of a behaviour (that is, normative beliefs), weighted by the person’s motivation to comply with those referents (Ajzen & Fishbein, 1980; Montano & Kasprzyk, 2002). The theory of planned behaviour was developed owing to the assertion that the theory of reasoned action is only applicable in explaining behaviour when volitional control is high (Montano & Kasprzyk, 2002), thus the theory of planned behaviour becomes the most appropriate in explaining mobile phone buying behaviour that demands low volition control (Montano & Kasprzyk, 2002; Ajzen, 1991).

Ajzen (1991) proposed the theory of planned behaviour by adding perceived behavioural control (PBC) to the theory of reasoned action, in an effort to account for factors outside a person’s volitional control that may affect her/his intentions and behaviour. This extension was based on the idea that behavioural performance is determined by motivation (intention) and ability (behavioural control).

According to the theory of planned behaviour, perceived behavioural control is determined by control beliefs concerning the presence or absence of facilitators and barriers to behavioural performance, weighted by the perceived power or input of each factor to facilitate or inhibit behaviour. Thus, a person who holds strong control beliefs about factors that facilitate behaviour will have high perceived control, which translates into an increased intention to perform the behaviour (Ajzen, 1991; Montano & Kasprzyk, 2002). The theoretical postulation can be written in equation as BP = f(BI + BC), where BP is the behavioural performance while the influencing variables are behavioural intention (BI) and behavioural control (BC).

In the context of this study, the behavioural performance can be expressed as the purchase intention of consumers which can be influenced by factors that facilitate behaviour.
(behavioural control) and the behavioural intentions which can be the reasons for social media usage. The model of this study aims to isolate the social media characteristics which the behavioural control variables have factors that influence consumer purchase (behavioural performance).

In the contexts of mobile phones usage, this theory portends that for behaviour change to occur (consumer purchase), the individual must systematically identify and see the product as a better option. This assumes that behaviour change can be induced by adding a new belief, increasing or decreasing the favourability or unfavourability of an existing belief, and increasing or decreasing the belief strength associated with the intended behaviour. The factors that bring the consumer to accept the product as a better option can be incited through social media adoption.

**Empirical Studies**

**Table 1: Webometric analysis of the extant studies on social media characteristics and consumer purchase nexus**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Author(s)/Year</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Purpose of Study</th>
<th>Variables Used</th>
<th>Method of Study</th>
<th>Major Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Asma &amp; Misbah (2018)</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>capacity of social media marketing on the perception and purchase decisions of customers</td>
<td>Qualitative study</td>
<td>Has positive effect on consumers brand awareness &amp; purchase intentions; and negative influence on the overall commitment &amp; involvement of the consumers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Voramontri &amp; Klieb (2018)</td>
<td>South East of Asia</td>
<td>Role of social media in consumers’ decision-making process for complex purchases</td>
<td>Descriptive statistics and t-test and correlation</td>
<td>Social media usage influences consumer satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Samar &amp; Samreen (2015)</td>
<td>Survey of 200 consumers</td>
<td>consumer perception and consumer awareness on consumer buying behaviour</td>
<td>Regression analyses</td>
<td>Awareness and consumer perceptions has positive effect on consumer buying decision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 4 | Shava & Chinyamurindi (2018) | Relationship between knowledge sharing, habit and obligation in relation to social media usage | Dependent: social media usage  
Independent: knowledge sharing, habit and obligation | Descriptive statistics and Pearson’s correlation analysis | All have positive effect on social media usage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5 | Tsai, Chang, Chen, & Chang (2017) | User interface design effect on older people's intention and attitude to use SNSs | Dependent: Behavioural intention, user interface design  
Independent: System support, perceived ease of use, perceived usefulness | Pearson’s correlation analysis | Positive effects |
<p>| 6 | Swidan, Al-Shalabi, Jwaifell, Awajan &amp; Alrabea (2013) | level of social network sites (SNSs) usage among university students | Chi-Square tests, interviews and questionnaires | extent of SNS usage is high among the university students in Jordan |
| 7 | Kwasi (2016) | effect of social media on academic performance | Descriptive survey frequency distributions | social media has both positive and negative effects on academic performance |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Country/Region</th>
<th>Research Question</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Mathew, Ogedebe &amp; Ogedebe</td>
<td>Maiduguri Metropolis, Nigeria</td>
<td>influence of web advertising on consumers</td>
<td>Survey percentage frequencies</td>
<td>web advertising influence consumers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Hajli</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>effect of social media on consumers</td>
<td>Survey design</td>
<td>social media enhances social interaction of consumers, increased consumer trust and buying intentions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Onyedikachi</td>
<td>North Cyprus</td>
<td>effect of social media advertisements on university students buying decisions</td>
<td>Descriptive percentage frequencies</td>
<td>Social media advertisements have a significant influence on university students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Anh &amp; Tuan</td>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>Facebook social media interactions influence product and advertisement characteristics on consumer buying intentions</td>
<td>Correction techniques, t-test and KMO and Bartlett’s Test</td>
<td>advertisement designs, contents, and sizes are determinants of consumer buying intention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Smem</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>how social media affect consumer buying decision process</td>
<td>Qualitative narratives</td>
<td>social interactive tools have a high influence on information search about the products at different prices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Pütter</td>
<td></td>
<td>impact of social media on consumer buying intention</td>
<td>Qualitative techniques</td>
<td>social media enhance brand awareness and buying intentions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Ioanăs &amp; Stoica</td>
<td></td>
<td>impact of social media on consumer behaviour</td>
<td>Univariate and bivariate analyses</td>
<td>social media has effect on consumer behaviour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Study Title</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Ugonna, Okolo, Nebo, &amp; Ojieze (2017)</td>
<td>Owerri, Imo State Nigeria</td>
<td>influence of online marketing on consumer patronage</td>
<td>mean and Z-test analysis</td>
<td>online marketing has significant effect on consumer patronage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Lee (2013)</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>to explain effect social media impacted on consumer decision process</td>
<td>structured questionnaire Percentage frequency</td>
<td>social media is a powerful tool for information collection about the product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Jindoliya (2017)</td>
<td>Navi Mumbai</td>
<td>Effect of social media marketing on the buying behaviour of consumers</td>
<td>frequency distribution table and chi-square tests</td>
<td>Social media has a significant effect the buying behaviour of consumers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Cox, Burgess, Sellitto &amp; Buultjes (2009)</td>
<td>New South Wales in Australia</td>
<td>role of social media on consumers’ travel process</td>
<td>online survey Destructive analyses</td>
<td>Social media websites are useful to travellers holiday tourism selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Goyal (2016)</td>
<td>Jaipur region</td>
<td>effect of social media influence consumer selection of restaurants to patronage</td>
<td>content analyses</td>
<td>social media are channel for making decisions about restaurant patronage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Raithel (2018)</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Link between consumers actual behaviour on social media and their purchase behaviour</td>
<td>survey study</td>
<td>social media has higher influence on female consumers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Bharucha (2018)</td>
<td>145 young social media users in India</td>
<td>effect of the flow of social media marketing content on consumer decision-making</td>
<td>Dependent: Social media variables Independent: advertising campaign and the attitude</td>
<td>Frequency distribution and charts. social media has influence on consumer purchase decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Dependent Variable</td>
<td>Independent Variables</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Poturak &amp; Softić (2019)</td>
<td>Impact social media communication on brand equity and purchase intention of consumers</td>
<td>Firm generated social media communication (FGC), User generated social media communication (UGC) and Brand equity (BE)</td>
<td>Factor analysis, correlation and multiple regression</td>
<td>Social media dimensions (eWOM, FGC, UGC and BE) have significant positive effects on customer’s decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Montague, Gazale &amp; Wiedenbек (2019)</td>
<td>Impacts of social media marketing on decision-making process</td>
<td>Perceptions of use, influence on</td>
<td>Frequency distribution and charts, 928 respondents</td>
<td>Social media usage influenced their decision to purchase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Pradeep &amp; Nair (2018)</td>
<td>Influence of social media on buying behaviour</td>
<td>Decision processes</td>
<td>Frequency distribution and t-statistics</td>
<td>Social media significant influence on all the purchase decision making processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Icoz, Kutuk &amp; Icoz (2018)</td>
<td>Influence of social media on decision-making process</td>
<td>Knowledge about tourism services in the media</td>
<td>Structural Equation Modelling (SEM)</td>
<td>Social media influence decision-making process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Abstract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Allahawi ah &amp; Tarawneh (2018)</td>
<td>Student users of internet in the Faculty of karak in southern region of Jordan effect of social networks on consumer purchasing decision</td>
<td>Dependent variable: consumer purchasing decision Independent variables: visual appeal, ease of use, and trust multiple regression visual appeal and ease of use had positive and significant effect on consumer purchase behaviour while trust had significant negative effects.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Khatib (2016)</td>
<td>310 individuals in Aseer Region in Saudi Arabia influence of Social Media on consumers’ purchasing decision making process</td>
<td>Dependent variable: The five consumer buying processes Independent variables: Ease of use, Interaction and broad participation, Fun and entertainment during use, Ease of communicating information to the public, High credibility multiple regression social media influence was more on information post purchase stage, followed by purchase decision stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Mainye</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Nairobi County, Kenya</td>
<td>Impact of social media on decision making process</td>
<td>Descriptive analyses based on mean, standard deviation and charts and correlation analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-purchase stage, purchase stage and post-purchase stage</td>
<td>Social media has significant impact on consumer’s pre-purchase, purchase stage, and post-purchase behaviour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Hewage &amp; Madhuhansi</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>Influence of social media characteristics on each stage of the consumer buying decision process</td>
<td>Regression analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dependent: Five consumer buying decision process, Independent: Openness, Participation, Connectedness, Conversation, and Communalit</td>
<td>Social media characteristics influence on all the stages of consumer buying decision process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Madni</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>Effect of social networks on purchasing behaviour</td>
<td>Descriptive statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Descriptive statistics</td>
<td>Social media has a significant impact on consumption behaviour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Akar, Yüksel &amp; Bulut</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Effect of social influence on the phases of decision-making process</td>
<td>Dependent: Social media source and social media activities, Independent: Need recognition, information search, evaluation of alternatives, actual/purchase and post-purchase evaluation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The review of empirical studies reveals that social media has become a vogue in marketing. The studies reviewed influence concerns between the social media usage, activities and characteristics, on consumer purchase or the buying processes. Majority of the studies found that social media has an influence on consumers’ behaviours or purchase. For instance, Chukwu and Uzoma (2014), Madni (2014), Khatib (2016), Pradeep and Nair (2018), and Icoz, Kutuk and Icoz (2018) posited that social media leads to improved consumer purchase, patronise and so on. All these point to the fact that higher levels of involvement in social media can enhance liking and intention to buy a given product.

Most of these studies reviewed were not done in the South East of Nigeria; and were not developed in a manner to employ the regression technique capable of measuring the extent of effect of independent variables on the dependent variable. More so, most of the studies employed correlation analyses, or used frequency distribution to analyse for effect study, instead of a more robust tool regression analyses which can explain the degree of influence of each social media characteristics on consumer behaviour.

A number of gaps identified from extant studies was the lack of empirical study that employed regression technique that is more appropriate in investigating variable effects. Again, the study identified a locational gap in literature, as there is no study in the related area in the South East of Nigeria.

More so, the study on social media characteristics reviewed in the extant literature suggests that no study has examined the various social media characteristics on consumer purchase. The work of Hewage & Madhuhansi (2019) Sri Lanka can be replicated in a large mobile phone market as in Nigeria.

| 34 | Chukwu & Uzoma (2014) | online businesses of Jumia and Konga companies in Enugu and Lagos states | impact of social media networks on consumers’ patronage of products | ANOVA and Chi-square | social media has influenced the rate at which Nigerians patronise |
| 35 | Darban & Li (2012) | Food retailer shops in Jonkopingsg | impact of online social networks (Facebook) on consumers’ purchasing decision process | qualitative analyses of interview | that online social networks influence information search step the most, steps followed are Purchase decision and Evaluation of alternatives |
The review also showed that empirical studies have looked at buying behaviour holistically as well as the buying processes. There is a dearth of study that specifically examined the outcome of social media on consumer purchase. A study that identified this can assist marketers to apply social media properly in marketing communication to elicit the effect of the various characteristics on purchase outcome.

More so, the extant studies did not capture the nexus between mobile phone purchase and social media usage. This is a new area of study which the present study has exposed to literature.

**METHODOLOGY**

This study adopted the survey research design. The study used primary data obtained mainly through self-developed questionnaires. This source allows the researchers to distribute questionnaire items to respondents from which answers that address the research objectives can be obtained.

The study covered all the students in the Federal Universities in the SouthEast region of Nigeria. Records from the various Registry Department of the universities revealed a total population of 68,392 students in Federal Universities in south-east of Nigeria. It is worthy of note that all the five states in the South East of Nigeria have one Federal University comprising Mikael Okpara Federal University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia state, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra state, Alex Ekwueme University, Ndufu-Alike Ebonyi State, University of Nigeria (UNN), Nsukka, Enugu state, and Federal University of Technology Owerri, (FUTO), Imo State.

The sample size was 398 students in Federal Universities in South East Nigeria. The mathematical model developed by Yamane (1964) for individual sample sizes for each state of the South East using a sampling error of 5%. The formula is given as:

\[
    n = \frac{N}{1+N (e)^2}
\]

Where

- \(n\) = Sample size (?)
- \(N\) = Population (68,392)
- \(e\) = Margin of Sampling Errors (0.05)

\[
    n = \frac{68,392}{1+68,392 (0.05)^2}
\]

\[
    n = 397.63 \approx 398.
\]

The sample size is therefore, 398 undergraduate students of Federal Universities in South-East Nigeria.
The proportional sampling technique was adopted to distribute the respondents across the five Federal Universities involved in the study. The number of students from each of the five universities are shown on Table 2.

**Table 2: Proportional distribution of sample for the study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Name of School</th>
<th>Proportion of Population</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nnamdi Azikiwe University, NAU, Awka, Anambra State</td>
<td>15,177/68,392 x 398</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Federal University of Technology Owerri (FUTO), Imo State</td>
<td>13,927/68,392 x 398</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>University of Nigeria Nsukka, (UNN), Enugu state</td>
<td>18,267/68,392 x 398</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mikael Okpara Federal University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia state</td>
<td>12,845/68,392 x 398</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Alex Ekwueme University, Ndufu-Alike Ebonyi State</td>
<td>8,176/68,392 x 398</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>68,392</strong></td>
<td><strong>398</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Instrument for Data Collection**

The instrument is a self-administered and structured questionnaire. It has three sections. Section A is 8-item general information of the respondents which include the gender, age bracket, most popular social media, most visited social media pages, reasons for using social media, how often respondents are on social media, roles for which social media are used, and whiter social media triggers purchase decisions.

Section B comprises the question items that generate data on social media characteristics comprising accessibility, interactivity, connectedness, conversation, communality. Each of the five variables has three questionnaire items making up 15 items for the section B. This section is structured in a 5-point Likert type format of Very Low (VL), Low (L), Moderate (M), High (H) and Very High (VH).

Section C is the question items on consumer purchase. The question items are cue on a 5-point rating scale of Very Low (VL), Low (L), Moderate (M), High (H) and Very High (VH). It has 10 questionnaire items covering the purchase intentions of mobile phone users.

The reliability of the instrument was determined through a test of internal consistency of the questionnaire items in each of the constructs. The internal consistency is usually calculated using an alpha coefficient, which measures the interrelationship between items in the questionnaire (Cortina, 1993). Nunally (1978) argues that a reliability of 0.70 or higher is acceptable. The result of the reliability test is shown on Table 3.
Table 3. Reliability of Research Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Chronbach’s Alpha</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social Media characteristics</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Purchase</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand overall</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Extracts from SPSS result of Cronbach Alpha reliability test.

Based on the results in Table 4, all indices are acceptable (>0.7) and this justifies the reliability of the questionnaire.

The multiple regression technique was used. The technique for analyses is the Ordinary Least Square technique. The analyses were processed with the help of Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 for windows. The interpretation was based on coefficient of regression and the t-statistics.

Model Specification

The ideology on which the model was premised is obtained from the work of Hewage and Madhuhansi (2019) carried out in the western province of Sri Lanka. In the study, social media characteristics (Accessibility, Interactivity, Connectedness, Conversation, and Communality) is a function of each of the Consumer Purchase (CP). The present study modified Hewage and Madhuhansi by replacing openness with accessibility and succinctly treating participation as an element of consumer interactivity. The functional relationships is thus:

\[
CP = f(ACC, INTR, CONN, CONV, COMM)
\]

CP is the dependent variable while ACC, INTR, CONN, CONV and COMM are independent variables. The equation form of the model depicting relationship amongst the variables is as follows:

\[
CP = a_0 + \beta_1 ACC + \beta_2 INTR + \beta_3 CONN + \beta_4 CONV + \beta_5 COMM + \epsilon
\]
COMM = Communality (being a member of social media groups)

α₀ is a constant, ε is the error term while β₁-5 are the coefficient of the independent variables.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS

Table 4: OLS Regression result of relationship between social media characteristics and consumer purchase of mobile phone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>.425</td>
<td>.070</td>
<td>6.090</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility (ACC)</td>
<td>.358</td>
<td>.027</td>
<td>.325</td>
<td>13.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactivity (INTR)</td>
<td>.678</td>
<td>.025</td>
<td>.667</td>
<td>26.911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectedness (CONN)</td>
<td>.034</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>.086</td>
<td>6.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversation (CONV)</td>
<td>.034</td>
<td>.022</td>
<td>.032</td>
<td>1.537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communality (COMM)</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>.046</td>
<td>2.225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjusted Coefficient of Determination (Adj R²) = 0.928
F-statistics (P.Value) = 1029.515 (0.000)
Durbin Watson Statistics = 1.507

The result of the coefficient of determination (R²) is 0.928. This indicates about 93% explanatory power of the social media characteristics on consumer purchase (CP). This suggests that social media characteristics have a very huge explanatory capacity of 93% on consumer propensity to purchase mobile phones amongst consumers in South East of Nigeria.

The result of the F-statistics is 1029.515 with a probability value of 0.000. A probability value less than 0.05 is below the rejection point. Thus, the study posit that all the social media characteristics jointly influence about 93% consumer purchase of mobile phones.

The result of the Durbin Watson statistics is 1.507, approximately 2, indicating absence of autocorrelation in the model. This implies that the model from which this result emanates has a good fit. This justified that the result from the model can be adjudged as reliable. To answer the research questions and test the null hypotheses, the coefficient of regression and t-test are used, respectively.
DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

Influence of social media accessibility and Consumer Purchase

The result of objective one revealed that social media accessibility has a positive and significant influence on consumer purchase of mobile phones. The coefficients of regression and t-tests (coef = 0.358, T=13.228; p < 0.00) indicated that about 36% increase in the propensity for consumer purchase will be gained from one unit of increased social media accessibility. This supposes that the ability to assess the social media will expose consumers to marketing contents that are capable of driving improved purchase.

This supports the propositions of the Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB), which stated that the subjective actions of individuals (like the use of social media) is determined by the behavioural beliefs (consumer purchase) of mobile phones. This supposes that social media accessibility influences consumer purchase of mobile phones.

So many of the extant literatures to this study are supported by the outcome of the present study. The results aligned with the extant findings of Ioanăs and Stoica (2014), Khatib (2016), Jindoliya (2017), Mainye (2017), Icoz, Kutuk and Icoz (2018), Bharucha (2018), Pradeep and Nair (2018), Montague, Gazal and Wiedenbeck (2019) and Hewage and Madhuhansi (2019). Among the positions of these studies is that social media has an effect on consumer behaviour. According to Khatib (2016), this influence is more at the information post purchase stage, followed by the purchase decision stage. Of a specific is the work of Hewage & Madhuhansi (2019) which proxies accessibility as openness and found that openness has positive effect on consumer behaviour, just like the present study found that accessibility has positive influence on purchase.

Influence of social media Interactivity and Consumer Purchase

The result of objective two on the influence of social media interactivity on consumer purchase reveals a positive and significant effect. The coefficients and corresponding t-tests (coef = 0.678, T=26.911; p < 0.00) showed that social media interactivity influences 68% of consumer purchase of mobile phones.

This finding supports the previous empirical literature that social media characteristics have a positive influence on product information search behaviour. For instance, Khatib (2016) and Hewage and Madhuhansi (2019) posited that social media characteristics have a positive influence on product information search behaviour. Other studies of similar opinion has general findings which posit that social media has positive effect on consumer behaviour (Ioanăs & Stoica, 2014; Mainye, 2017; Icoz, Kutuk & Icoz, 2018; Bharucha, 2018;Pradeep & Nair, 2018;Montague, Gazal & Wiedenbeck, 2019). These studies infer that social media determines consumer behaviour. Thus, at the information search stage, users of social media could be influence in the product buying process, according to the amount of information available to him or her.

Influence of social media Connectedness and Consumer Purchase

The result of specific objective three showed that social media connectedness has a positive and significant influence on consumer purchase of mobile phones. The regression coefficient
and t-test (coef = 0.034, T=6.321; p < 0.00) reveals that about 3.4% increase in consumer purchase is significantly influenced by a unit rise in social media connectedness.

This result is in line with the work of Ioanăs and Stoica (2014), Khatib (2016), Mainye (2017), Icoz, Kutuk and Icoz (2018), Bharucha (2018), Pradeep and Nair (2018), Montague, Gazal and Wiedenbeck (2019); and Hewage and Madhuhansi (2019). Among these studies, Pütter (2017) averred that social media enhances brand awareness. It is this level of brand awareness that influences choice of products.

The study agreed with the theoretical framework that social media variables would determine consumer behaviour variables. For instance, Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) describing the behavioural performance (which is a form of purchase outcome) noted that it is largely determined by behavioural control occasioned by the social media characteristics.

**Influence of social media Conversation and Consumer Purchase**

Conversation as variable of specific objective four revealed that social media conversation has a positive but insignificant influence on consumer purchase of mobile phones. This means that conversation does not influence the extent of consumer purchase for mobile phones in southeast Nigeria. Conversation which measures freedom of posts, comments and replies within a group in social media was found to correlate with purchase but it was not a driver to purchase for mobile phones. By this, the implication is that sharing information does not necessarily translate to action.

The result does not agree with the TPA which expects a positive and commensurate significant influence from a unit change introduced by any innovation as social media conversation.

**Influence of social media Communality and Consumer Purchase**

The findings of specific objective five showed that social media communality has a positive and significant influence on consumer purchase of mobile phones. It explains that communality drives about 50% of consumer purchase intentions amongst mobile phones users in Federal Universities in the South East Nigeria. This result implies that exposure to social media influences consumer final purchase according to their level of exposure.

This supports the positions of Goyal (2016) and Ugonna, Okolo, Nebo, and Ojieze (2017) that social media is a channel that enhances decisions about patronage. Creation of communality and or belonging to a community of mobile phone users helps consumers to share ideas, experiences, and beliefs about a product. This is in line with the work of Khatib (2016), Mainye (2017), Icoz, Kutuk and Icoz (2018), Voramontri and Klieb (2018), Bharucha (2018), Pradeep and Nair (2018), Montague, Gazal and Wiedenbeck (2019), and Hewage and Madhuhansi (2019). These studies posit that social media usage influences consumer purchase.

The result also supports the position of the Theory of Planned Behaviour and implies that social media involvement influences purchase decisions. In a community of phone users, the theory of planned behaviour is only applicable in explaining behaviour when volitional control is high. For mobile phones, the outcome is that the community easily decides for members the brand, and specification and forms of mobile phone trends.
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Improved involvement with social media characteristics have a positive influence on consumer purchase. It explains about 93% of the propensity to buy a given mobile phone. Enhanced social media exposure, however, can create veritable marketing channels, at least to mobile phone marketers, in the South East Nigeria. As the extent of the status of social media characteristics of the consumers tends to improve, their purchase intentions towards certain social media censored brands would be influenced. The study has implications for e-marketing. As all the consumer purchase intentions now respond to social media involvements, online marketing can leverage on the social media for marketing and sales drive.

The findings of the study informed the following recommendations:

1. Marketers should build and maintain a proper mechanism in social media by researching consumer’s preferences, the ways to attract, satisfy and retain them since there is a high impact from social media characteristics (accessibility, interactivity, connectedness, conversation and communality) on consumer purchase experiences are shared among their peers and also there is a high tendency of accepting those opinions. Creating a bond for consumers of mobile phones for brand loyalty can be achieved via social media if product features are shared and discussed on social media.

2. It is also recommended that manufacturers of mobile phones and other volition controlled production should engage social media as a veritable e-marketing channel. Improved consumer access to social media groups where the company products are discussed would improve consumer purchase.

3. Marketers should create interactive social media platforms for their product and link other many social media platforms for e-word of mouth. This would enhance the discussion about the products and enhance its consideration for choice when demand arises.

4. Marketing of mobile phones should design, develop and maintain e-directories of phone users and tract them from time to time. This mechanism should be used to create a network of prospective mobile phone consumers.

5. Another relevant recommendation is that marketers should create a group for all the social media platforms popular in their area of operation. This would assist to develop a community of product users like the mobile phone.

REFERENCES


